												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
												Land	TWP AV Bldg	Total	Land	BOR AV Bldg	Total	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement				Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
								SANDRA L HAMEISTER,										
	1 07 - Avon	0613302017		RES	21019677	Letter		TRUSTEE	47 LAKE AVE		THIRD LAKE							
	2 07 4	0512202021		DEC	24044004			THOMAS MORTHORST,	20 LAKE AVE		THIRD LAKE							
	2 07 - Avon	0613302021		RES	21011004			TRUSTEE	39 LAKE AVE		THIRD LAKE							
								CHICAGO TITLE LAND TRUST										
	3 07 - Avon	0613303014		RES	21019844	Letter		COMPANY	35021 LINDEN AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	4 07 - Avon	0614101002		RES	21020452	Letter		LOEFFLER, CHARLES N	35670 KENNETH DR		LAKE VILLA							
	5 07 - Avon	0614101019		RES	21015315	Letter		STRESSLER, DARLENE	2305 CARILLON DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	3 07 - AVOII	0014101019		IKLS	21013313	Lettei		JINESSEEN, DANCEINE	2303 CARILLON DIX		GIATSLAKE							
								STANDARD BANK TRUST										
	6 07 - Avon	0614101063		RES	21017856	Letter		COMPANY	65 ASHBROOK CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	7 07 - Avon	0614102003		RES	21020604	Letter		JANET PABIAN	35601 KENNETH DR		LAKE VILLA							
	8 07 - Avon	0614109006		RES	21020530	Letter		NEUFELDT, DENISE	2335 ASHBROOK LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	0,07 7,0011	0014103000		ILLS	21020330	Letter		CALEB B JASMINE M	2555 NOTIBROOK EN		OTO TISE INC							
	9 07 - Avon	0614301009		RES	21019994	Letter	No Contest	SLAUGHTER GORE	1749 BELLE HAVEN DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	10 07 - Avon	0614303002		RES	21010487	Lottor		MINIARIK, THOMAS	1818 BELLE HAVEN DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	10 07 - AVOII	0014303002		KES	21010467	Letter		IVIIIVIARIK, THOIVIAS	1010 BELLE HAVEN DK		GRATSLAKE							
								RICHARD E DONNA T										
	11 07 - Avon	0614306010		RES	21013751			DEMPSEY, CO-TRUSTEES	445 ENFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	12 07 - Avon	0614307002		RES	21020626	Letter		BERNARDONI, PAUL D	95 ENFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	13 07	064.426=046		DEC	2464705			WW. LIANA L DD 4 2 2 11 2 2 11 2 2 1	442 ENERGIBLE		CDANGI ATT							
	13 07 - Avon	0614307016		RES	21017828			WILLIAM L BRADEN, TRUSTEE	143 ENFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	14 07 - Avon	0615106028		RES	21019845	Letter		BOKSA, ALLINA	1123 OAKTREE TRL		ROUND LAKE BEACH	ı						
	15 07 4	0015107000	27.5 24	DEC	21020400	Lattar		MENDEZ CADLOS	2047 OAKLEAS CID		BOUND LAKE DEACH							
	15 07 - Avon	0615107008	27-Sep-21	KES .	21020498	Letter		MENDEZ, CARLOS	2047 OAKLEAF CIR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

		Current Land	Current Plda	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
D	Hearing Date		U		AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	- Community of the Comm	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
1	27-Sep-21	39,208	182,213	221,421	39,208	182,213	221,421		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
2	1-Oct-21	51,639	93,462	145,101	51,639	93,462	145,101		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
3	27-Sep-21	60,761	120,995	181,756	60,761	120,995	181,756		0 from the subject.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
4	21-Sep-21	15,913	126,956	142,869	15,913	126,956	142,869		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
5	21-Sep-21	14,124	70,375	84,499	14,124	70,375	84,499		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
6	21-Sep-21	6,379	49,081	55,460	6,379	49,081	55,460		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
7	28-Sep-21	15,865	109,802	125,667	15,865	109,802	125,667		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
										assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
_									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	decision plus the application of appropriate	
8	21-Sep-21	6,379	50,522	56,901	6,379	50,522	56,901		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	township factor(s).	
		40.076	======		40.000				Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
9		13,276	76,926	90,202	13,276	70,049	83,325	-6,8	877 reduction is warranted.		
4.0	24 0 1 24	42.227	00 544	00.740	42 227	04.434	04.650		Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
10	21-Oct-21	13,237	86,511	99,748	13,237	81,421	94,658	-5,0	090 appellant.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
11	24 Cam 21	14,048	76,151	90,199	14,048	76,151	90,199		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
11	24-Sep-21	14,046	70,131	90,199	14,046	76,131	90,199		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
12	28-Sep-21	7,320	68,422	75,742	7,320	68,422	75,742		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
12	20-3ep-21	7,320	00,422	73,742	7,320	00,422	13,142		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
13	24-Sep-21	7,320	80,851	88,171	7,320	80,851	88,171		0 reduction.		
13	24-36p-21	7,320	00,031	00,171	7,320	00,031	00,171		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
14	21-Sep-21	11,696	74,257	85,953	11,696	74,257	85,953		0 acceptable range.		
17	21 3cp 21	11,000	7-7,237	55,555	11,030	7-1,237	05,555		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
	21-Oct-21	12,159	60,809	72,968	12,159	45,169	57,328	15.0	640 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
												Land	TWP AV Blo	-	Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement		Settlement			Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	16 07 - Avon	0615200015		FA	21020111			BLOCK, RICHARD	21022 ROLLINS RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	10 07 711011	0010100013			21020111			DEC CITY THE IN THE	ZZGZZ NGZZNONO	UNIT	OTO TIGHT							
	17 07 - Avon	0615300047		СОМ	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	116	GRAYSLAKE							
										UNIT								
	18 07 - Avon	0615300048		СОМ	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	117	GRAYSLAKE							
	19 07 - Avon	0615300049		СОМ	21020551			CHODEWOOD DARTNERS II.C	1960 II DOLITE 93	UNIT 118	GRAYSLAKE							
	19 07 - AVOII	0615300049		COIVI	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1000 IL ROUTE 05	UNIT	GRATSLAKE							
	20 07 - Avon	0615300050		сом	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	119	GRAYSLAKE							
										UNIT								
	21 07 - Avon	0615300051		СОМ	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	120	GRAYSLAKE							
										UNIT								
	22 07 - Avon	0615300052		СОМ	21015904		No Contest	GEOMAR LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	122	GRAYSLAKE							
	23 07 - Avon	0615300053		СОМ	21015904		No Contest	GEOMAR LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	UNIT 123	GRAYSLAKE							
	25 07 - AVOIT	0013300033		COIVI	21013304		NO CONTEST	GLOWAN LLC	1000 11 1000 12 03	UNIT	GIATSLAKE							
	24 07 - Avon	0615300055		сом	21020551			SHOREWOOD PARTNERS, LLC	1860 IL ROUTE 83	115	GRAYSLAKE							
	25 07 - Avon	0615303016		RES	21017599			CALIENDO, ANTHONY	930 CARRIAGE CT		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	26 07 - Avon	0615304020		RES	21018344	Letter		JANSSEN, SCOTT MIDWEST PROPERTIES	1419 BRIGHTON LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	27 07 - Avon	0616100029	27-Sep-21	СОМ	21016489			DEVELOPMENT MGMT LLC	2 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	28 07 - Avon	0616100077		сом	21019648			ROUND LAKE BEACH LP	76 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH	1						
	29 07 - Avon	0616102001		СОМ	21020578			TF ROUND LAKE BEACH IL LLC	400 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	30 07 - Avon	0616104001		СОМ	21020581			NIPOTI LLC	230 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	31 07 - Avon	0616104004		СОМ	21020740			ROUND LAKE BEACH LAND, LLC	270 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	32 07 - Avon	0616200070		сом	21020571			HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.	2050 IL ROUTE 83		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	33 07 - Avon	0616202016		СОМ	21019992			MEIJER STORES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	750 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

ID	Hearing Date		Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
16	22-Oct-21	58,547	69,354	127,901	58,547	69,354	127,901		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
17		18,007	36,571	54,578		,	54,578		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered 0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
18	14-Oct-21	18,009	36,571	54,580	18,009		54,580		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered 0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
19	14-Oct-21	18,009	36,571	54,580	18,009	36,571	54,580		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered 0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
20	14-Oct-21	18,009	36,571	54,580	18,009	36,571	54,580		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered insufficient to warrant a reduction. N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
21	14-Oct-21	37,506	65,137	102,643	37,506	65,137	102,643		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction. Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
22		18,007	36,571	54,578	18,007	11,989	29,996	-24,5	reduction is warranted. Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
23		36,391	52,805	89,196	36,391	20,609	57,000	-32,1	96 reduction is warranted. N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
24		18,007	36,567 66,794	54,574 78,744	,	36,567 66,794	54,574 78,744		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction. N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The appraisal of the subject property 0 and/or the sales used in the appraisal are not within an acceptable time frame.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	
26	21-Sep-21	11,725	62,045	73,770	11,725		73,770		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	
27	14-Oct-21	142,141	630,345	772,486	33,330	74,993	108,323	-664,1	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
28	14-Oct-21	203,168	809,904	1,013,072	203,168	698,742	901,910	-111,1	Income and Expense - The change is based on the income and expense evidence supplied by the appellant.		
29	27-Oct-21	470,889	1,329,315	1,800,204	470,889	995,631	1,466,520	-333,6	84 Evidence - The change is based on the evidence from the appellant. Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
30	22-Oct-21	330,715	998,766	1,329,481	330,715	795,839	1,126,554	-202,9	appellant. Income and Expense - The change is based on the income and expense evidence		
31		391,722	796,812	1,188,534		,	, ,		73 supplied by the appellant. Evidence and Testimony - The change is based on the evidence and testimony from		
32		371,387 514,234	1,184,896 4,088,314	1,556,283 4,602,548				-27,8	 N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value is not warranted. 	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	

				Property		Appear by				Situs		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date			Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address		Situs City	Offer		Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
								MEIJER STORES LIMITED										
34	4 07 - Avon	0616202017		СОМ	21019992			PARTNERSHIP	750 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
35	5 07 - Avon	0616202018		сом	21019829	Letter		EQUITIES ASSOCIATES CORP IV	0 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
36	6 07 - Avon	0616302037		RES	21019846	Letter		PAGE, CORINNE	1622 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
37	7 07 - Avon	0616302051		RES	21020685	Letter		CISNEROS, LURDES	1524 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
38	8 07 - Avon	0616305008		RES	21016317			SANROMAN, R	1617 POPLAR AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
39	9 07 - Avon	0616305010		RES	21013015	Letter		ALCALA, LUIS MANUEL	1611 POPLAR AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
40	0 07 - Avon	0616305013	27-Sep-21	СОМ	21020629			KHAN, KAMAL	205 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
41	1 07 - Avon	0616305014	27-Sep-21	СОМ	21020629			KHAN, KAMAL	1630 ELM AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
43	2 07 - Avon	0616305015	27-Sep-21		21020629			KHAN, KAMAL	1628 ELM AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
72	Z O7 AVOIT	0010303013	27 3CP 21	COIVI	21020023			KIIAN, KAINAL	1020 ELWIAVE		NOOND EARE BEACH							
43	3 07 - Avon	0616305016	27-Sep-21	СОМ	21020629			KHAN, KAMAL	1626 ELM AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								JJ PROPERTY INVESTMENTS										
44	4 07 - Avon	0616308008		RES	21015905	Letter		LLC	1513 ROUND LAKE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
45	5 07 - Avon	0616314008		RES	21015907	Letter		MORALES, DIEGO A	1423 OAK AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								CHICAGO TITLE TRUST										
46	6 07 - Avon	0616321005		RES	21015316	Letter		COMPANY	14 CLARENDON DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
47	7 07 - Avon	0616322004		RES	21015598	Letter		BOULEVARD BANK	1421 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
		0646222067		DEC	24045525			DOLUSIAND DANK	4440 1451 19055 11/5		BOUND LAVE BETTO							
48	8 07 - Avon	0616322005		RES	21015597	Letter		BOULEVARD BANK	1419 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
10	9 07 - Avon	0616322006		RES	21015595	Letter		BOULEVARD BANK	1417 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

		Current Land			BOR Land			Change			
	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/O A	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
34	14-Oct-21	317,179	100,408	417,587	317,179	100,408	417,587		0 is not warranted.	township factor(s).	
				450.004					Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
35	14-Oct-21	159,881	0	159,881	103,921	. 0	103,921	-55,9	60 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
36	21-Sep-21	5,402	26,593	31,995	5,402	26,593	31,995		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
37	28-Sep-21	. 7,993	43,564	51,557	7,993	43,564	51,557		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
38	24-Sep-21	7,384	44,087	51,471	7,384	44,087	51,471		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
39	21-Sep-21	7,143	33,901	41,044	7,143	33,901	41,044		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
40	14-Oct-21	. 11,275	5,540	16,815	11,275	5,540	16,815		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
41	. 14-Oct-21	11,219	2,002	13,221	11,219	2,002	13,221		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
42	14-Oct-21	10,925	0	10,925	10,925	0	10,925		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
43	14-Oct-21	12,090	46,143	58,233	12,090	13,609	25,699	-32,5	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
44	21-Sep-21	7,200	40,441	47,641	7,200	40,441	47,641		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
45	21-Sep-21	6,841	46,375	53,216	6,841	46,375	53,216		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
46	21-Sep-21	7,200	13,402	20,602	7,200	13,402	20,602		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
47	21-Oct-21	5,572	3,254	8,826	5,572	3,254	8,826		0 is not warranted.		
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
48	21-Oct-21	5,665	0	5,665	5,665	0	5,665		0 is not warranted.		
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
49	21-Oct-21	5,367	37,252	42,619	5,367	37,252	42,619		0 is not warranted.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement		Settlement	Settlement	Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	50.07	0545335003		DEC	24040404			DEDET MICHAUM	4.407. 1451. 19055. 1975		DOLLARS DE A CIL							
	50 07 - Avon	0616325002		RES	21018404	Letter		PEREZ, MIGDALIA	1407 MELROSE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	51 07 - Avon	0616325022		RES	21019002	Letter		JAIME RAUL CABRAL	1402 OAK AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								KCRE LLC 1310 NORTH										
	52 07 - Avon	0616326034		RES	21019782	Letter		POPLAR AVENUE	1310 POPLAR AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								RO PROPERTY MANAGEMENT										
	53 07 - Avon	0616328025		RES	21016856	Letter			1322 PINE GROVE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	54 07 - Avon	0616332038		RES	21015909	Letter		CHICAGO TITLE LAND TRUST	213 WILDWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	55 07 - Avon	0616401016		RES	21014810	Letter		CABALLERO, EFRAIN	502 CLARENDON DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	33 07 - AVOII	0010401010		NLO	21014610	Letter		CABALLERO, LFRAIN	JUZ CLARLINDON DR		ROUND LAKE BLACIT							
	56 07 - Avon	0616409073		RES	21015569	Letter		MOUNCE, DAVID	1518 HAINESVILLE RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	57 07 - Avon	0616419017		RES	21018752	Letter		AYALA RIOS, REBECCA A	1307 RIDGEWAY AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	58 07 - Avon	0616419024		RES	21020651	Letter		VIRUET, CHRIS	1328 KENMORE AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	59 07 - Avon	0616420021		RES	21019807	Letter		BIGALKE, SR, JOSEPH	1326 NORTH AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	60 07 - Avon	0617105024	23-Sep-21	RES	21020826	Letter		ZAGAL, ROBERTO	1928 CEDARWOOD CIR E		ROUND LAKE HEIGHTS				6,532	8,133	14,665	
	00 07 AVOIT	0017103024	25 3cp 21	INLO	21020020	Letter		ZAGAL, NOBERTO	1320 CEDARWOOD CIRC		ROUND LAKE				0,332	0,133	14,000	
	61 07 - Avon	0617109007	27-Sep-21	RES	21020642			RICARDO LOPEZ	1801 LOTUS DR		HEIGHTS				7,021	24,609	31,630	
								ANDREA GONZALEZ ROBERT										
	62 07 - Avon	0617125023		RES	21018406	Letter		MARKUS	538 NORMANDIE LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	63 07 - Avon	0617126041		RES	21015910	Letter		POLK, MARGARET M	428 MEADOW HILL LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								KAITLYNN CAMARDO JOHN										
	64 07 - Avon	0617127005		RES	21018274	Letter	No Contest	CLEVER	457 MEADOW HILL LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

)	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV	1	Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
50	21-Sep-21	7,200	41,402	48,602	7,200	41,402	48,602	(0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
51	21-Sep-21	6,841	39,113	45,954	6,841	39,113	45,954	(0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
52	21-Sep-21	7,200	24,293	31,493	7,200	24,293	31,493	(0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
53	28-Sep-21	6,751	36,598	43,349	6,751	36,598	43,349	(0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
54	21-Sep-21	6,841	44,828	51,669	6,841	44,828	51,669	(0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
55	21-Sep-21	6,998	59,653	1 66,649	6,998	59,651	66,649	(0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
56	21-Sep-21	10,975	62,049	73,024	10,975	62,049	73,024	(0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
57	21-Sep-21	7,364	47,609	54,973	7,364	47,609	54,973	(0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	·	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
58	28-Sep-21	7,260	38,299	45,559	7,260	38,299	45,559	(0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
59	21-Sep-21	5,670	32,176	37,846	5,670	32,176	37,846	(0 acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
60		6,532	41,920	48,452	6,532	8,133	14,665	-33,787	7 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
		- 25	20.5-				000		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
61		7,021	29,779	36,800	7,021	24,609	31,630	-5,170	0 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
	24.5 = -		22.5-						properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
62	21-Sep-21	8,376	36,983	45,359	8,376	36,983	45,359	(0 reduction.		
										11/0 0 0 11 11 71/7	
										N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	_	
	24.6	7.000	27.2		7.000	27.242	***		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	finds that the comparables presented by the	
63	21-Sep-21	7,602	37,242	2 44,844	7,602	37,242	44,844	(0 acceptable range.	appellant are very different from the subject.	
٠.			40			20.000	40.000	2.00	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
64		8,442	43,773	52,215	8,442	39,886	48,328	-3,887	7 reduction is warranted.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement		Settlement	Settlement	Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Townshi	o PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	65 07 - Avor	0617127043		RES	21020540			ILIEV, NICK	543 MEADOW HILL LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	03 07 - AVOI	001/12/043		NLJ	21020340			MON AMI REALTY LLC - RIYAN	343 WILADOW HILL LIN		ROUND LAKE BLACIT							
	66 07 - Avor	0617200008		IND	21020022	Letter		LLC	1800 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								HILLCREST DEVELOPMENT,										
	67 07 - Avor	0617200009		СОМ	21020586	i i			0 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								HILLCREST DEVELOPMENT,										
	68 07 - Avor	0617200010		СОМ	21020586			LLC	1740 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	69 07 - Avor	0617200011		СОМ	21020586			HILLCREST DEVELOPMENT, LLC	0 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	05 07 AV01	0017200011		COIVI	21020300	'			O ROLLING RD		NOOND LAKE BLACIT							
	70 07 - Avor	0617200021		IND	21020567	Letter		PIERCE BUILDING GROUP LLC	1840 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	71 07 4	0647300037		IND	24020700			JOSEPH C FEHSENFELD,	4744 CIDCUIT DD		DOLIND LAKE BEACH							
	71 07 - Avor	0617200027		IND	21020788	5		TRUSTEE	1741 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	72 07 - Avor	0617200029		СОМ	21018096	5		FIRST MIDWEST BANK	1777 CEDAR LAKE RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								JOSEPH C FEHSENFELD,										
	73 07 - Avor	0617200039		IND	21020788	3		TRUSTEE	0 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								MIDWEST PROPERTIES										
	74 07 - Avor	0617200057	27-Sep-21	COM	21016489			DEVELOPMENT MGMT LLC	0 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	75 07 - Avor	0617204006	17-Sep-21	COM	21016492			MAHMOOD, KHALID	300 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH	67,680	48.975	116,655				20 Can 21
	75 07 - AVOI	0617204006	17-3ep-21	COIVI	21010492			IVIANIVIOOD, KNALID	300 KOLLINS KD		ROUND LAKE BEACH	07,080	40,973	110,055				20-Sep-21
	76 07 - Avor	0617207046		RES	21019935	Letter		DOMINGUEZ, OSCAR	2055 EVERGREEN LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	77 07 4	0647046677		6014	24046722			A D.C. DIVIA ST. 44 004 11 C	250 DOLLING DD		DOLLARD LAWE DE COL							
	77 07 - Avor	0617210075		СОМ	21016782			ARC BKMST41001 LLC HILLCREST DEVELOPMENT,	250 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	78 07 - Avor	0617214010		IND	21020586				0 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	3 2 3 7 11 01	111,111,010						HILLCREST DEVELOPMENT,			22.12 2.112 22.1011							
	79 07 - Avor	0617214011		IND	21020586	5			0 CIRCUIT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	80 07 - Avor	0617303003		СОМ	21020428	Letter		BUENO, ERIC	629 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH	10,013	145,844	155,857				
	01 07 4	001720204		COM	21020420	Lotton		DUENO EDIC	C20 POLLING PD		DOLIND LAKE BEACH							
	81 07 - Avor	0617303004		СОМ	21020428	Letter		BUENO, ERIC	629 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	82 07 - Avor	0617303005		СОМ	21020428	Letter		BUENO, ERIC	629 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			120,20													
	83 07 - Avor	0617303006		СОМ	21020428	Letter		BUENO, ERIC	629 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

					2021			a.			
ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
	9 - 2 - 2								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
65	24-Sep-21	6,479	42,225	48,704	6,479	42,225	48,704		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
66	22-Oct-21	52,799	99,429	152,228	52,799	99,429	152,228		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
67	22.0.124	25.005		25.005	25.005		25 005		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
67	22-Oct-21	25,805	0	25,805	25,805	0	25,805		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
68	22-Oct-21	104,159	575,937	680,096	104,159	427,540	531,699	1.40.20	Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
00	22-001-21	104,159	3/3,93/	080,096	104,159	427,540	331,099	-140,3	97 appellant. N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
69	22-Oct-21	13,100	0	13,100	13,100	0	13,100		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
- 03	22 001-21	13,100		15,100	15,100		13,100		Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
70	27-Oct-21	52,795	128,713	181,508	52,795	112,543	165,338	-16.1	70 application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
71	. 27-Oct-21	107,338	144,691	. 252,029	107,338	144,691	252,029		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a reduction.	
72	22-Oct-21	247,338	686,414	933,752	247,338	512,586	759,924	-173,83	28 appellant.		
70	27.0 . 24	F2 254		52.254	F2 254		F2 2F4		N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
73	27-Oct-21	53,251	0	53,251	53,251	0	53,251		0 change in assessment. Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
74	14-Oct-21	176,395	0	176,395	33,330		33,330	142 0	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
/4	14-001-21	170,393	U	170,393	33,330	0	33,330	-143,0	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
75		67,680	61,570	129,250	67,680	48,975	116,655	-12 50	75 reduction is warranted.		
,,,		07,000	01,370	123,230	07,000	40,373	110,033	12,3.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
76	21-Sep-21	7,767	51,242	59,009	7,767	51,242	59,009		0 acceptable range.		
	i i								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
77	14-Oct-21	220,322	179,037	399,359	220,322	179,037	399,359		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
78	22-Oct-21	57,618	0	57,618	57,618	0	57,618		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
79	22-Oct-21	55,043	0	55,043	55,043	0	55,043		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
80	22-Oct-21	10,013	161,957	171,970	10,013	146,638	156,651	-15,3	19 reduction is warranted.		
64	22.0-1.24	10.043		10.013	10.013	_	10.013		N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
81	. 22-Oct-21	10,013	0	10,013	10,013	0	10,013		0 change in assessment. N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
82	22-Oct-21	10,013	0	10,013	10,013		10,013		O change in assessment.		
02	22-001-21	10,013		10,013	10,013	0	10,013		N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
83	22-Oct-21	10,013	0	10,013	10,013	0	10,013		0 change in assessment.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
				_								Land	TWP AV Blo	-	Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	(Settlement Offer)
	Township	FIIV	Neview Date	Class	Case IVO	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Jitus Address	Oilit	Situs City	Offici	Office	Offici	Offici	Offici	Offici	Offer
	84 07 - Avon	0617306010		RES	21020345	Letter		GOLDBERG, RICHARD	1525 IDLEWILD DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	85 07 - Avon	0617306029		RES	21020502	Letter		CAMACHO, ROBERTO	1602 JUNEWAY TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	86 07 - Avon	0617308026		RES	21017251	Letter		PACKER, JOSHUA	1612 GOLDENROD TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	87 07 - Avon	0617310001	27-Sep-21	RES	21012957			SARA VALADEZ	607 LAGOON TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	7.1.0.1	001/010001	27 000 22		21012337			0,110,17,12,15,12	007 12100011 1211		NOONS E WE SENON							
	88 07 - Avon	0617312025		RES	21013204	Letter		CSMA BLT LLC	1504 WALNUT DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	89 07 - Avon	0617315012		RES	21019847	Letter		LASTOVICH, PAUL M	519 GOLFVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	90 07 - Avon	0617315019		RES	21017311	Letter		RODRIGUEZ, JOSE A	520 MORNINGSIDE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	91 07 - Avon	0617322016		RES	21020724			KARPIAK, KIMBERLY	1418 MEADOWBROOK DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	02 07 4	0647224045	27.6 24	DEC	24040000			LETICIA ARCINIEGA & JOSE	4247 LOTUS DD		DOLLARD LAKE DEACH				4.05	42.252	40.222	
	92 07 - Avon	0617324015	27-Sep-21	KES	21010880			AVALOS	1317 LOTUS DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH				4,969	13,363	18,332	4
								KCRE LLC 1303 IDLEWILD										
	93 07 - Avon	0617330027		RES	21019784	Letter		DRIVE	1303 IDLEWILD DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	94 07 - Avon	0617403014		RES	21015382	Letter		FALES, DAVID L	1607 CEDAR LAKE RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	95 07 - Avon	0617404025		RES	21020499	Letter		JCP HOMES LLC	206 GENEVA TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	33 07 - AVOII	0017404023		INLO	21020499	Lettel		JCI TIOIVILS LLC	200 GLIVLVA ILK		NOOND LAKE BLACH							
	96 07 - Avon	0617407005		СОМ	21020113			MAHMOOD, KHALID	5 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	97 07 - Avon	0617407006		СОМ	21020113			MAHMOOD, KHALID	3 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	37 07 - AVOII	0017407000		COIVI	21020113			IVIAITIVIOOD, KHALID	3 NOLLING NO		NOUND LAKE BEACH							
	98 07 - Avon	0617407023		сом	21020113			MAHMOOD, KHALID	1624 ROUND LAKE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

D	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
84	21-Sep-21	6,912	32,759	39,671	6,912	32,759	39,671		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
85	21-Sep-21	6,293	48,003	54,296	6,293	48,003	54,296		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
86	21-Sep-21	6,912	17,998	24,910	6,912	17,998	24,910		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
87	22-Oct-21	7,766	51,552	59,318	7,766	51,552	59,318		0 is not warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
88	21-Sep-21	6,912	37,863	44,775	6,912	37,863	44,775		0 reduction.		
	·								N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
89	21-Sep-21	7,915	57,555	65,470	7,915	57,555	65,470		0 acceptable range.		
		,-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
90	21-Sep-21	7,636	34,851	42,487	7,636	34,851	42,487		0 acceptable range.		
		,	, , , , ,	, -	,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,				
										N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is	
										to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board	
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	finds a preponderance of evidence does not	
91	28-Sep-21	10,049	46,755	56,804	10,049	46,755	56,804		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	warrant a reduction.	
			,			10,100			Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
92		4,969	23,970	28,939	4,969	13,363	18,332	-10.6	507 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
52		.,505	20,570	20,000	.,503	10,000	20,002	23,0	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
93	21-Sep-21	7,947	40,638	48,585	7,947	40,638	48,585		0 acceptable range.		
		7,547	.0,000	.0,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.5,550	.0,000		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
94	21-Sep-21	6,912	33,982	40,894	6,912	33,982	40,894		0 reduction.		
54	21 3cp 21	0,312	33,302	70,034	0,512	33,362	40,034		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
95	21-Sep-21	6,912	34,007	40,919	6,912	34,007	40,919		0 acceptable range.		
93	21-3ep-21	0,312	34,007	40,313	0,312	34,007	40,313		N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
96	14-Oct-21	10,630	0	10,630	10,630	0	10,630		0 change in assessment.		
90	14-001321	10,030		10,030	10,030	, 0	10,030		Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
97	14-Oct-21	11,959	79,572	91,531	11,959	73,635	85,594	_5.0	application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
37	14-001-21	11,555	15,512	31,331	11,339	73,055	65,394	-5,5	N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
98	14-Oct-21	11,367	0	11,367	11,367	,	11,367		0 change in assessment.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	1 -	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	Township		neriew Bute	Ciuss	cuse ito	Letter	TTO CONTEST	- Curiei	Sicus Pladicus	Onne	Situs City	Offici	One:	- Circi	O.i.e.	O.I.C.	O.I.C.	Circiy
	99 07 - Avon	0617407024		сом	21020113			MAHMOOD, KHALID	1622 ROUND LAKE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								JAVIER VAZQUEZ OSORNIO										
1	100 07 - Avon	0617407041		RES	21015239		No Contest	ANNEL ZINAHY	1612 ROUND LAKE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
1	101 07 - Avon	0617408015		RES	21020152	Letter		ROMAN, VANESSA SAN	212 FAIRFIELD TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
1	102 07 - Avon	0618109053		RES	21020693	Letter		OLSON, JR, FORREST E	24697 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE							
1	103 07 - Avon	0618110024		RES	21019013	Letter		VIP HOLDINGS CO	24564 CLINTON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
1	104 07 - Avon	0618110039		RES	21016849	Letter		ROJAS, RUBY	24571 ROLLINS RD		ROUND LAKE							
1	105 07 - Avon	0618110060		RES	21020607			BENNING, GARY	24612 CLINTON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
	106 07 - Avon	0618112026	27 Can 21	DEC	21020815	Lattar		BENJAMIN J THOMPSON ARIADNE KHTAIB	24780 LAKE SHORE DR		ROUND LAKE							
	106 07 - AVOIT	0018112020	27-Sep-21	I NES	21020613	Letter		ANIADINE KITTAIB	24760 LAKE SHOKE DK		ROUND LAKE							
	107 07 Avon	0618113034		RES	21018492	Lottor		VIP HOLDING CO	24621 CLINTON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
-	107 07 - Avon	0010113034		KES	21016492	Letter		VIP HOLDING CO	24631 CLINTON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
1	108 07 - Avon	0618113044		RES	21018521	Letter		VIP HOLDINGS	24613 CLINTON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
	100 07 71011	0010113011		IKES	ZIOIOSZI	Letter		VII HOLDINGS	24013 CENTION TVE		ROUND LAKE							
1	109 07 - Avon	0618201039	6-Oct-21	IND	21020442			ROBERT J SCHUSTER JR TRUST	960 ROLLINS RD		HEIGHTS	91,012	65,639	156,651	L			6-Oct-21
								OSCAR BARRIOS GARCIA AND			ROUND LAKE							
1	110 07 - Avon	0618203001	23-Sep-21	RES	21020883			MAXIMO BARRIOS	920 WARRIOR DR		HEIGHTS				8,94	59,381	. 68,326	5
											ROUND LAKE							
1	111 07 - Avon	0618204007		RES	21015912	Letter		MAKELA, ROBERT	905 WARRIOR DR		HEIGHTS							
											ROUND LAKE							
1	112 07 - Avon	0618207006		RES	21016763	Letter		AMBRIZ, JOSE M	912 TOMAHAWK TRL		HEIGHTS							
											ROUND LAKE							
1	113 07 - Avon	0618207009		RES	21016737	Letter		AMBRIZ, ALONDRA	1712 BRENTWOOD DR		HEIGHTS							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
D	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
99	14-Oct-21	11,072		11,072	11,072	. 0	11,072		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
100		11,016	57,476	68,492	11,016	5,649	16,665	-51,82	reduction is warranted.		
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
										comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
101	21-Sep-21	6,841	44,153	50,994	6,841	. 44,153	50,994		0 reduction.	range.	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
102	28-Sep-21	8,224	64,094	72,318	8,224	64,094	72,318		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
103	21-Sep-21	8,201	30,941	39,142	8,201	30,941	39,142		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
104	21-Sep-21	8,201	42,375	50,576	8,201	. 42,375	50,576		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
105	21-Oct-21	10,683	84,421	95,104	10,683	84,421	95,104		0 from the subject.		
									N/C D : DODD :: The state of th		
100	22 0-+ 24	22.422	107.00	140.005	22.422	107.003	1 40 005		N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
106	22-Oct-21	32,123	107,962	140,085	32,123	107,962	140,085		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	N/C Drive DOD Desiries. The second	
									N/C Campa Cancidarably Different After carefully examining the evidence the	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate	
107	21 Can 21	7 755	E7 921	65,576	7 755	E7 021	65 576				
107	21-Sep-21	7,755	57,821	05,576	7,755	57,821	65,576		0 from the subject.	township factor(s).	
									N/C Sales Comps. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate	
108	21-Sep-21	10,370	92,681	103,051	10,370	92,681	103,051		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
108	21-3ep-21	10,570	92,083	105,051	. 10,570	92,081	105,051		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further	township factor(s).	
109	14-Oct-21	91,012	80,592	171,604	91,012	65,639	156,651	-14.05	reduction is warranted.		
109	14-001-21	31,012	00,392	1/1,004	91,012	05,059	130,031	-14,33	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
110		8,945	62,194	71,139	8,945	59,381	68,326	_2 21	3. Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
110		0,943	02,13	, ,,,,,,,,	3,545	33,361	00,320	-2,01	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
111	21-Sep-21	6,616	34,412	41,028	6,616	34,412	41,028		0 acceptable range.		
111	21-3ep-21	0,010	34,412	41,020	0,010	J+,+12	41,028		o deceptable lange.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	-	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
112	21-Sep-21	10,208	33,797	44,005	10,208	33,797	44,005		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
112	21 3cp-21	10,200	33,737	77,003	10,200	. 33,737	44,003		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	to many records.	
113	21-Sep-21	10,029	27,120	37,149	10,029	27,120	37,149		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
113	21-3ch-21	10,023	27,120	37,143	10,023	27,120	37,143		insufficient to warrant a reduction.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
				Bronorty		Annoar by				Situs		Land	TWP AV Bld	Total Settlement	Land	BOR AV Bldg Settlement	Total Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address		Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer		Offer)
	114 07 - Avon	0618300031		RES	21015570	Letter		SMITH, SUSAN M	35194 THOMPSON ST		ROUND LAKE							
	115 07 - Avon	0618304020	27-Sep-21	RES	21014860	Letter		MQM HOMES LLC	35330 NIELSEN DR		ROUND LAKE							
	116 07 - Avon	0618306027		RES	21019943			SWINDLE, FRANK H	24650 BRORSON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
	110 07 - AVOIT	0018300027		NLS	21019943			SWINDLE, FRANK II	24030 BRORSON AVE		ROUND LAKE							
	117 07 - Avon	0618402032		RES	21020615	Letter		CARTWRIGHT, ROBIN L	24410 HILLSIDE AVE		ROUND LAKE							
	118 07 - Avon	0618406017		RES	21015319	Letter		BOULEVARD BANK	1531 KILDEER DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	119 07 - Avon	0618415015		RES	21019005	Lottor		GASSER, VIRGINIA M	1427 BEVERLY DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	119 07 - AVOII	0010415015		RES	21019005	Letter		GASSER, VIRGINIA IVI	1427 BEVERLY DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	120 07 - Avon	0618416010		RES	21017343	Letter		GUZMAN, MARIA	1501 CHEROKEE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	121 07 - Avon	0618416015		RES	21020184	Letter		CHICAGO TITLE LAND TRUST	1516 KILDEER DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	422 07 4	0540440043		DEC	24040040			DAGE CODININE	4500 TURNBUU DR		DOLLARD LAKE DEAGL							
	122 07 - Avon	0618419043		RES	21019848	Letter		PAGE, CORINNE	1509 TURNBULL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	123 07 - Avon	0618424044		RES	21014866	Letter		PACHECO, VERONICA	1320 BEVERLY DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								CHICAGO TITLE TRUST										
	124 07 - Avon	0618425029		RES	21015663	Letter		COMPANY	1324 CHEROKEE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	125 07 - Avon	0618425035		RES	21020843	Letter		PICKLE, S	1312 CHEROKEE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								,										
	126 07	0640426020		DEC	24040007			DITZ DANDALL A	124C KII DEED DD		DOLIND LAKE BEACH							
	126 07 - Avon	0618426030		RES	21019007	Letter		RITZ, RANDALL A	1316 KILDEER DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	127 07 - Avon	0618429020		RES	21010843	Letter		ZISSMAN, JASON C	1406 WOODRIDGE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	128 07 - Avon	0618430018		RES	21015402	Letter		CSMA BLT LLC	1412 LOTUS DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							

Board of Review Meeting Report 11/4/2021 Page 15

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
114	21-Sep-21	33,048	83,070	116,118	33,048	43,710	76,758	-39,3	application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
115	22-Oct-21	4,059	18,885	22,944	4,059	18,885	22,944		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
116	24-Sep-21	30,280	116,496	146,776	30,280	116,496	146,776		0 reduction.		
117	20 Can 21	8.941	59,272	68,213	8.941	59.272	60 212		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
117	28-Sep-21	8,941	59,272	08,213	8,941	59,272	68,213		0 assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
118	21-Sep-21	8,276	16,367	24,643	8,276	16,367	24,643		0 reduction.		
	·	ŕ	,						N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
119	21-Sep-21	6,290	46,469	52,759	6,290	46,469	52,759		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
120	21-Sep-21	6,279	58,111	64,390	6,279	58,111	64,390		0 acceptable range.		
121	21-Sep-21	6,616		6,616	4,666	0	4,666	1.0	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further reduction is warranted.		
121	21-3ep-21	0,010		0,010	4,000	U	4,000	-1,5	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
122	21-Sep-21	7,823	46,315	54,138	7,823	46,315	54,138		0 acceptable range.		
123	21-Sep-21	6,869	44,978	51,847	6,869	44,978	51,847		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered 0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
124	21-Oct-21	5,816	27,603	33,419	5,816	27,603	33,419		0 is not warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
125	28-Sep-21	5.644	27.161	32,805	5,644	27,161	32,805		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
123	28-3ep-21	3,044	27,101	. 32,803	3,044	27,101	32,803		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
126	21-Sep-21	8,744	38,604	47,348	8,744	38,604	47,348		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
127	21-Sep-21	6,532	34,977	41,509	6,532	34,977	41,509		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
420	24.5 24	7 7-7	27.62	45 204	7	27.624	45 204		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
128	21-Sep-21	7,757	37,634	45,391	7,757	37,634	45,391		0 reduction.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV Total	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	129 07 - Avon	0618430028		RES	21020818	Letter		REYES, MARCO A	1318 LOTUS DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT										
	130 07 - Avon	0619101019		RES	21020294	Letter		PROPERTIES TEN LLC	1170 VILLAGE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	131 07 - Avon	0619105103		RES	21012767	Letter		CHADHA, DAMAN KAMALIIT	1/80 SPRING RROOK CT	LINIT 2B	ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	131 07 AVOII	0013103103		INLO	21012707	Letter		CHADHA, DAMAN KAMABII	1400 SI KING BROOK CI	OIVII ZD	NOOND LAKE BLACH							
								SREENIVASAMURTHYVAR,										
	132 07 - Avon	0619105149		RES	21019890	Letter		PRAVEEN KUMAR	1545 CRYSTAL ROCK CT	UNIT 1A	ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								SIMONOV, OLEG										
	133 07 - Avon	0619105201		RES	21017334	Letter		ALEKSANROCICH	1498 SAND BAR CT	APT 1B	ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								ANAEDICANI LIONAEC A DENIT										
	134 07 - Avon	0619106017		RES	21020293	Letter		AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT PROPERTIES ONE LLC	1232 VILLAGE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	135 07 - Avon	0619106018		RES	21013890	Letter		FERRARA, LUZ J	1212 VILLAGE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
								ZISSMAN, JASON C MELISSA										
	136 07 - Avon	0619109026		RES	21010852	Letter		M	1404 SPLIT OAK CIR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	427.07. 4	0640400055	22.6	DEC	24042546			IDDECIDENTIALCIA	4225 DED OAK OID		DOLLAR LAKE BEACH							
	137 07 - Avon	0619109055	23-Sep-21	KES	21012516	Letter		IPRESIDENTIALS LLC	1225 RED OAK CIR	UNII 2	ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	138 07 - Avon	0619204001		RES	21016771	Letter		AMBRIZ, NORMA	1229 BARBERRY LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	139 07 - Avon	0619218008		RES	21020493	Letter		ANTUNEZ, ROSA M.	1101 BARBERRY LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	140 07 - Avon	0619218020		RES	21010865			AFON, NATALIE	1110 HILLWOOD CIR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	141 07 - Avon	0619222004		RES	21017415	Letter		SAN ROMAN, GUILLERMINA	1111 LOTUS DP		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	141 07 - WOLL	0013222004		INLO	2101/415	Letter		JAN ROWAN, GUILLERIVINA	TITI LOTOS DK		NOOND LAKE BEACH							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
129	28-Sep-21	6,912	42,619	49,531	6,912	42,619	49,531		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
130	21-Sep-21	1,801	41,986	43,787	1,801	1 41,986	43,787		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
131	21-Sep-21	1,801	25,872	27,673	1,801	1 25,872	27,673		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
132	21-Sep-21	1,801	30,144	31,945	1,801	1 30,144	31,945		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
133	21-Sep-21	1,801	30,577	32,378	1,801	1 30,577	32,378		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
134	21-Sep-21	1,801	41,986	43,787	1,801	1 41,986	43,787		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
135	21-Sep-21	1,801	41,076	42,877	1,801	1 41,076	42,877		0 change in assessment.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
136	21-Sep-21	1,801	44,190	45,991	1,801	1 44,190	45,991		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sale Not Within Proper Time Frame - The sales of the subject property is not		
									within an acceptable time frame to be considered a good indication of the market		
137	21-Oct-21	1,801	32,699	34,500	1,801	1 32,699	34,500		0 value for the assessment.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	·	
4.5.									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
138	21-Sep-21	. 7,503	37,112	44,615	7,503	37,112	44,615		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
									N/C C I C TI D II	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
400	24.5	7.000	F.4.0==	64.000	7.00		C4 000		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
139	21-Sep-21	. 7,829	54,057	61,886	7,829	54,057	61,886		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C Described Heifermate. Analysis of the	N/C Insufficient Fulder 5 : 1	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	1	
4.40	24.5 24	0.000	45 344	F 4 353	0.000	45 344	F4 353		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
140	24-Sep-21	. 8,909	45,344	54,253	8,909	9 45,344	54,253		0 acceptable range.	warrant a reduction.	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
4.44	24.5 24	7 500	24.402	44.000	7.500	24.402	44.000		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
141	21-Sep-21	7,503	34,483	41,986	7,503	34,483	41,986		0 acceptable range.		

ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement	BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer		Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
142	07 - Avon	0619223024	27-Sep-21	RES	21020745	Letter		CARRANZA, RAFAEL V	803 CORONA CT		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
	07 - Avon	0619230006		RES	21019787			KCRE LLC 1001 BONNIE BROOK LANE	1001 BONNIE BROOK LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
144	07 - Avon	0619230022		RES	21015914	Letter		J J PROPERTY INVESTMENTS LLC	914 SOUTHMOOR LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
145	07 - Avon	0619301052		RES	21019774	Letter		LAUD, PATRICK R	578 FOX TRL		ROUND LAKE						
146	07 - Avon	0619304078		RES	21020517	Letter		PONCE, SANDRA	1438 REMINGTON LN		ROUND LAKE						
147	07 - Avon	0620101002		RES	21020844			GONZALEZ, ENRIQUE	1229 SUNSET DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
148	07 - Avon	0620101029		RES	21017348	Letter		TRINIDAD CARRANZA ELIDA GUZMAN	1218 MEADOWBROOK DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
149	07 - Avon	0620105013		RES	21020515	Letter		COMACHO, ARTURO	513 HAWTHORNE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
150	07 - Avon	0620109005	27-Sep-21	RES	21019995	Letter		DESAI, SHAILESH	412 SOUTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH			7,728	19,769	27,497	,
151	07 - Avon	0620111010		RES	21020535			ILIEV, NIKOLAI	505 BEACHVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
152	07 - Avon	0620201003		RES	21020512	Letter		CAMACHO, ARTURO	1227 NORTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
153	07 - Avon	0620208026		RES	21015320	Letter		JOHNSON, MARK	19 NORTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						
154	07 - Avon	0620208032		RES	21015059	Letter		SULEIMAN, RAED B	31 NORTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH						

		Current Land	_		BOR Land			Change			
ID	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sale Not Within Proper Time Frame - The sales of the subject property is not		
1.12	21 Oct 21	7 000	20.02	27.020	7 000	20.821	27.020		within an acceptable time frame to be considered a good indication of the market		
142	21-Oct-21	7,998	29,83	1 37,829	7,998	29,831	37,829		0 value for the assessment.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
143	21-Sep-21	7,728	24,404	32,132	7,728	24,404	32,132		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
144	21-Sep-21	6,869	46,017	52,886	6,869	46,017	52,886		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
145	21-Sep-21	1,801	54,229	56,030	1,801	54,229	56,030		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
146	21-Sep-21	7,486	58,345	65,831	7,486	58,345	65,831		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
147	28-Sep-21	7,503	44,104	51,607	7,503	44,104	51,607		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
148	21-Sep-21	7,136	31,547	38,683	7,136	31,547	38,683		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	carefully examining the evidence, the Board	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	finds that the comparables presented by the	
149	21-Sep-21	6,901	41,113	48,014	6,901	41,113	48,014		0 reduction.	appellant are very different from the subject.	
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
150		7,728	39,037	46,765	7,728	19,769	27,497	-19,2	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
151	24-Sep-21	10,132	47,549	57,681	10,132	47,549	57,681		0 reduction.		
			,			,			N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
152	21-Sep-21	11,818	74,698	86,516	11,818	74,698	86,516		0 reduction.		
-52	CCP 21	22,010	, .,550	23,310	12,510	7 .,050	55,510				
										N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After	
										carefully examining the evidence, the Board	
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	finds that the comparables presented by the	
153	21-Sep-21	7,584	34,784	42,368	7,584	34,784	42,368		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	appellant are very different from the subject.	
133	21-3ep-21	7,364	J 4 ,/0	42,300	7,364	34,764	42,308		o insumment to warrant a reduction.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
										comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C Salas Comps. The Board's responsibility is to apply a salas of similar		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
4	24.5 5.	0.455	40.00	,		40.00-	F. 455		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
154	21-Sep-21	8,123	48,29	56,420	8,123	48,297	56,420		0 reduction.	range.	

				Property		Appear by				Situs			TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date				No Contest	Owner	Situs Address		Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer		Offer	Offer)
	5 07 - Avon	0620215022		RES	21020617			TMAC INVESTMENTS LLC	127 NORTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
156	6 07 - Avon	0620215023		RES	21020620			TMAC INVESTMENTS LLC	125 NORTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	I						
157	7 07 - Avon	0620218004		RES	21017250	Letter		PACKER, ALLISON	103 SOUTH CHANNEL DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
158	8 07 - Avon	0620218015		RES	21015373	Letter		CERBERUS SFR HOLDINGS LP	1102 SHORELAND CT		ROUND LAKE BEACH	I						
159	9 07 - Avon	0620218019		RES	21020715	Letter		NAVARRO, JUAN D	1024 SHORELAND CT		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
160	0 07 - Avon	0620219011		RES	21020350	Letter		GNAPP, KELLY	24 FERNDALE DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	l						
16:	1 07 - Avon	0620222025		RES	21020497	Letter		ANTUNEZ RIOS, ANCENIO	210 BEACHVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	I						
162	2 07 - Avon	0620224028		RES	21020354	Letter		KALAYIL, TIMMY	329 BEACHVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	ı						
163	3 07 - Avon	0620226006		RES	21018192	Letter		MANUEL ACOSTA ERIKA CHAIREZ	111 BEACHVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	I						
164	4 07 - Avon	0620227019		RES	21019008	Letter		AYALA, FORTINA	316 WOODLAND DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	ı						
165	5 07 - Avon	0620228038	24-Sep-21	RES	21020884			MUNOZ, JESUS	134 WOODLAND DR		ROUND LAKE BEACH	I			9,177	47,151	56,328	3
	6 07 - Avon 7 07 - Avon	0620301001 0620301003	27-Sep-21	IND	21020103			STORAGE ASSOCIATES LLC PIERCE BUILDING GROUP LLC	812 SUNSET DR		ROUND LAKE	34,713	89,801	124,514				
	8 07 - Avon	0620404022	2, 3cμ 21	RES	21010077			VICTORIA GOMEZ	306 NORTH ROSEDALE CT		ROUND LAKE							
169	9 07 - Avon	0620404046		RES	21015664	Letter		CHICAGO TITLE TRUST COMPANY	314 NORTH BERNICE CT		ROUND LAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented	
455	20.6 24	7.074		7.074	7.074		7.074		Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
155	28-Sep-21	7,871	C	7,871	. 7,871	0	7,871		0 from the subject.	warrant a reduction.	
										N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After	
										carefully examining the evidence, the Board	
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	finds that the comparables presented by the	
156	28-Sep-21	7,247	29,843	37,090	7,247	29,843	37,090		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	appellant are very different from the subject.	
130	20-3ep-21	7,247	23,643	37,030	7,247	23,643	37,030		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	appendit are very different from the subject.	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
157	21-Sep-21	8,695	21,431	30,126	8,695	21,431	30,126		0 reduction.		
137	21 3cp 21	0,093	21,731	30,120	0,093	21,731	30,120		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
158	21-Sep-21	5,419	38,387	43,806	5,419	38,387	43,806		0 acceptable range.		
	22 00p 22	3,.13	00,007	.5,555	3,123	30,007	.5,555		N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
159	21-Oct-21	11,832	49,355	61,187	11,832	49,355	61,187		0 is not warranted.		
		,	,	,	,		,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
160	21-Sep-21	6,901	41,956	48,857	6,901	41,956	48,857		0 reduction.		
	·								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
161	21-Sep-21	6,556	43,482	50,038	6,556	43,482	50,038		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
162	21-Sep-21	9,519	45,599	55,118	9,519	45,599	55,118		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
163	21-Sep-21	6,556	70,429	76,985	6,556	70,429	76,985		o from the subject.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
164	21-Sep-21	8,581	52,800	61,381	8,581	52,800	61,381		0 acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
165		9,177	49,224	58,401	9,177	47,151	56,328	-2,0	73 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
166	27-Oct-21	36,483	94,381	130,864	36,483	88,031	124,514	-6,3	50 reduction is warranted.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
167	27-Oct-21	27,547	171,364	198,911	27,547	110,439	137,986	-60,92	25 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
4.00			76.00	00.000		60 701	76.650		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
168		6,868	76,995	83,863	6,868	69,791	76,659	-/,20	04 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
100	21 0 -+ 21	0.000		0.020	0.030		0.020		comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
169	21-Oct-21	8,939	C	8,939	8,939	0	8,939		0 is not warranted.		

													TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Data Dasidad
					Property		Appear by				Situs		Land Settlement	Settlement			Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	T	ownship	PIN	Review Date		Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
					- Ciuco	0.000.110		110 00000		0.1440.7.1444.000		o.ca.o o.cy	0		0	0			J,
	170 0	7 - Avon	0620404087		RES	21020426			AMADOR-LUNA, NORMA	320 NORTH ROSEDALE CT		ROUND LAKE							
	171 0	7 - Avon	0620405051		RES	21020013	Lottor		MACIAS, MARIA DE LOURDES	722 DARK DD		ROUND LAKE							
	1/1 0	77 - AVOII	0020403031		NLS	21020013	Letter		IVIACIAS, IVIANIA DE LOUNDES	722 FARR RD		ROUND LAKE							
	172 0	7 - Avon	0620407004		RES	21015574	Letter	No Contest	LOPEZ, ANDRES	313 NORTH ROSEDALE CT		ROUND LAKE							
									METROPOLITAN TR SAVINGS										
	173 0	7 - Avon	0620416001		СОМ	21020776			BANK OF	214 WASHINGTON ST		ROUND LAKE							
									METROPOLITAN TR SAVINGS										
	174 0	7 - Avon	0620416005		СОМ	21020776			BANK OF	210 WASHINGTON ST		ROUND LAKE							
	175 0	7 - Avon	0620417034		RES	21014831	Letter	No Contest	JIN, SIMON J	SPANKEY CT		ROUND LAKE							
	170 0	7 - Avon	0620417035		RES	21014832	Lattar	No Contact	IINI CINAONI I	SPANKEY CT		ROUND LAKE							
	1/6 0	77 - AVON	0620417035		KES	21014832	Letter	No Contest	JIN, SIMON J	SPAINKEY CI		ROUND LAKE							
:	177 0	7 - Avon	0620420031		RES	21020138			RAYMOND MINOR	516 CATALPA DR		ROUND LAKE							
	178 0	7 - Avon	0620422006		RES	21020525	Letter		MINDRUT, JEAN F	525 BEECHWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE							
	179 0	7 - Avon	0620422007		RES	21020525	Letter		MINDRUT, JEAN F	523 BEECHWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE							
	173 0	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0020422007		INES	21020323	Letter		14111121101, 3E71141	323 BEEGHWOOD BIK		NOOND ENE							
	180 0	7 - Avon	0620422008		RES	21020525	Letter			521 BEECHWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE							
	404 5	-	0620425055		DEC	24020=22			REGENCY HOMES	E44 LINDEN DD		DOLIND LAKE							
		7 - Avon 7 - Avon	0620425020 0620425026		RES RES	21020730 21016396				514 LINDEN DR 529 SYCAMORE DR		ROUND LAKE							
	162 0	77 - AVOII	0620423026		NES	21010390	Letter		nako, nodo	329 STCAIVIONE DR		ROUND LAKE							
	183 0	7 - Avon	0621101022		RES	21020219	Letter		JOHNSON, STEVEN B	0 LAKE PARK AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH	1						
	184 0	17 - Avon	0621102011		RES	21017165	Letter		CALIENDO, JR, JOSEPH J	298 LAKEVIEW AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH	1						
	185 0	7 - Avon	0621102020		RES	21013211	Letter		JAN FIEDORCUK	325 LANE CT		ROUND LAKE BEACH	1						
			3022202020									DENCE							
:	186 0	7 - Avon	0621108023		RES	21015356	Letter		INDRILIUNAS, DAINIUS	56 LAKEVIEW AVE		ROUND LAKE BEACH	1						

D	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg	Current Total AV	BOR Land	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
,	nearing Date	AV	AV	Total Av	AV	BOR Blug AV	AV	Amount	BON Findings neason 1	N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented	BON Findings Neason 3
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	by the appellant to substantiate a change in	
170	24-Sep-21	13,472	42,939	56,411	13,472	42,939	56,411		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	assessment.	
170	2 + 3cp 21	13,472	12,555	30,111	13,172	12,333	30,111		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	ussessificite.	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
171	21-Sep-21	7,301	62,515	69,816	7,301	62,515	69,816		0 reduction.		
1/1	21-3ep-21	7,301	02,313	05,810	7,301	02,313	03,810		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
172		6,868	57,852	64,720	6,868	55,401	62,269	2.45	11 reduction is warranted.		
1/2		0,808	37,832	04,720	0,808	33,401	02,209	-2,43	Treduction is warranted.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
										assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									N/C Insufficient Fuidence Fuidence presented by the appellant was considered	·	
470	27.0 . 24	25.670	55.077		25.670		00.755		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	decision plus the application of appropriate	
173	27-Oct-21	35,678	55,077	90,755	35,678	55,077	90,755		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.	township factor(s).	
										N/C lastificion Friday	
									N/C D : DODD :: The second of	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented	
		======				460.00			N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
174	27-Oct-21	58,369	160,027	218,396	58,369	160,027	218,396		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	warrant a reduction.	
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
175		3,797	С	3,797	2,666	0	2,666	-1,13	1 reduction is warranted.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
176		3,797	С	3,797	2,666	0	2,666	-1,13	1 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Land Assessment Equitable - The Board has determined that a uniform		
177	28-Sep-21	4,705	С	4,705	4,705	0	4,705		0 methodology in the land assessment was utilized by the Assessor.		
									N/C. Land and Bldg Constitute One Value - The Board of Review considers the total		
178	21-Oct-21	7,449	С	7,449	7,449	0	7,449		0 assessment to be one single valuation. The Board finds the value to be equitable.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
179	21-Oct-21	8,196	42,219	50,415	8,196	27,292	35,488	-14,92	7 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Land and Bldg Constitute One Value - The Board of Review considers the total		
180	21-Oct-21	3,725	C	3,725	3,725	0	3,725		0 assessment to be one single valuation. The Board finds the value to be equitable.		
									Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
181				-,					0 appellant.		
182	21-Sep-21	8,317	57,364	65,681	8,317	51,160	59,477	-6,20	4 Evidence - The change is based on the evidence from the appellant.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
183	21-Sep-21	9,007	C	9,007	4,381	. 0	4,381	-4,62	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The appraisal of the subject property		
184	21-Oct-21	11,241	49,155	60,396	11,241	49,155	60,396		0 and/or the sales used in the appraisal are not within an acceptable time frame.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
185	27-Sep-21	15,877	32,399	48,276	15,877	32,399	48,276		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
186	21-Sep-21	13,580	63,859	77,439	13,580	63,859	77,439		0 reduction.		

				Proporty		Appear by				Situs		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
1	.87 07 - Avon	0621202060		RES	21020744	Letter		AVALOS, FERNANDO	1108 HICKORY TER		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
1	.88 07 - Avon	0621204032	27-Sep-21	DEC	21013030			FORT, ILES W KRISTIN L	524 MERRIWOOD LN		ROUND LAKE BEACH							
	OU OF AVOIT	0021204032	27 3CP 21	IKES	21013030			TORT, ILLS W KRISTINE	324 WERRING OF EN		NOOND LAKE BEACH							
1	.89 07 - Avon	0621205014		RES	21019933	Lottor		SKVARCE, CARLY	496 CEDAR DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
	.65 07 - AVOII	0021203014		NL3	21019933	Letter		SKVARCE, CARLI	490 CLDAN DN		ROUND LAKE FARK							
1	90 07 - Avon	0621301059		RES	21020853	Letter		GIAMBELUCA, STEVEN J	438 LAKE SHORE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
1	.91 07 - Avon	0621307042	2-Sep-21	RES	21015253	Letter		FLORES, MARCO	511 FOREST GLEN DR		ROUND LAKE PARK	6,612	26,963	33,575				2-Sep-21
1	.92 07 - Avon	0621310008		RES	21019913	Letter		PUTHUMANA, GEORGE C	511 GREENWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
1	.93 07 - Avon	0621314006		RES	21017328	Letter		MALDONADO, RUDY	511 PROSPECT DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
1	94 07 - Avon	0621400014	23-Sep-21	RES	21020312	Letter		ANA MARCOCI	34259 HAINESVILLE RD		ROUND LAKE							
1	95 07 - Avon	0621400035		RES	21012022	Letter		CALIENDO, DALE	34490 CIRCLE DR		ROUND LAKE							
1	.96 07 - Avon	0621402023		RES	21017331	Letter		PHANNAVINH, KANECH	811 OAK ST		ROUND LAKE PARK							
1	.97 07 - Avon	0621402037		RES	21010394	Letter		TEUBERT, JOHN R	719 SANDY POINTE LN		ROUND LAKE PARK							
	00 07 4	0634 405004		DEC	24.04.0002	1 -44		GERALD R JOAN C CARLSON,	2420F CIDCLE DD		DOLIND LAKE							
1	.98 07 - Avon	0621405001		RES	21018993	Letter		TRUSTEES	34305 CIRCLE DR		ROUND LAKE							
1	.99 07 - Avon	0621405014		RES	21020592	Letter		ROBERT ANNE RIZZOLO LLC	34459 CIKCLE DR		ROUND LAKE							
2	00 07 - Avon	0621405018		RES	21019996	Letter	No Contest	OSWALD, DAVID	34481 CIRCLE DR		ROUND LAKE							
								RICHARD TODD MARYBETH										
2	01 07 - Avon	0621405027	23-Sep-21	RES	21020529				34335 CIRCLE DR		ROUND LAKE							
								CHRISTINE A SHIELDS TRUSTEE										
2	02 07 - Avon	0621406004		RES	21020438	Letter			746 WATERVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Chango			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
	3										, 0 ,,
187	28-Sep-21	7,333	52,141	59,474	7,333	48,136	55,469	-4,00	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
										N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The	
										appraisal of the subject property and/or the	
									N/C. Not A Typical Sale - It is the opinion of the Board that the sale of this property	sales used in the appraisal are not within an	
188	21-Oct-21	. 22,830	96,998	119,828	22,830	96,998	119,828		0 was atypical and not a good indicator of its market value.	acceptable time frame.	
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
189	21-Oct-21	41,480	36,000	77,480	41,480	36,000	77,480		0 is not warranted.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
190	28-Sep-21	14,905	41,745	56,650	14,905	41,745	56,650		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
191	21-Sep-21	6,612	30,434	37,046	6,612	26,963	33,575	-3,47	71 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
192	21-Sep-21	7,449	51,055	58,504	7,449	51,055	58,504		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
193	21-Sep-21	. 7,227	40,522	47,749	7,227	40,522	47,749		0 acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
194	21-Oct-21	52,206	28,362	80,568	52,206	24,453	76,659	-3,90	D9 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.	N/C D is 111 if is A 1 is fill	
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
									N/O.C.I. O. TI. D. II. H. H. H. H. H. F. H.	comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
105	24 5 24	17.044	F 4 7 4 6	72.500	47.044	F 4 7 4 0	72 500		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
195	21-Sep-21	17,841	54,749	72,590	17,841	54,749	72,590		0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	range.	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
100	21 Cam 21	7.466	50,588	58,054	7.466	50,588	E0.0E4				
196	21-Sep-21	7,400	50,588	58,054	7,400	50,588	58,054		0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
197	21-Sep-21	18,717	53,127	71,844	18,717	53,127	71,844		0 reduction.		
197	21-3ep-21	. 10,/1/	35,127	/1,044	10,/1/	55,127	71,044		Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
198	21-Sep-21	38,740	119,174	157,914	38,740	113,338	152,078	E 02	application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
150	21-3ep-21	. 36,740	119,172	137,914	36,740	113,336	132,078	-3,63	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
199	21-Sep-21	40,227	43,636	83,863	40,227	43,636	83,863		0 acceptable range.		
199	21-3ερ-21	40,227	+3,030	05,803	40,227	45,030	05,803		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
200	21-Oct-21	38,740	65,650	104,390	38,740	44,585	83,325	-21 NA	55 reduction is warranted.		
200	21 000-21	. 50,740	03,030	104,330	, 30,740	, ++,565	05,525	21,00	- COMMITTEE -	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's	
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the	request. The Board finds no further reduction is	
201	21-Oct-21	40.838	47,425	88,263	40.838	26.822	67,660	-20.60	33 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.	warranted.	
201	21 000 21	10,030	17,723	30,203	10,030	20,022	37,500	20,00	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
202	21-Sep-21	13,663	45,523	59,186	13,663	45,523	59,186		0 acceptable range.		
	21 JCP 21	15,005	13,320	33,100	15,005	3,323	33,100		o acceptance cange.	I	

ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class		Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City		TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer	Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
203	3 07 - Avon	0621406011		RES	21012043	Letter		JOSEPH A KATHLEEN M JUNIUS, CO-TTEES	750 WATERVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE PARK						
204	1 07 - Avon	0621408008		RES	21017254	Letter		PETERSEN, CRAIG P	788 HAINESVILLE RD		ROUND LAKE PARK						
205	5 07 - Avon	0621415015		RES	21020653			PONIENTE PROPERTIES LLC	509 RAVINE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK						
206	5 07 - Avon	0621415016		RES	21020635			PONIENTE PROPERTIES LLC	507 RAVINE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK						
207	7 07 - Avon	0621416016		RES	21020610	Letter		AMIR ELVIA SABRI	34129 SOUTH CIRCLE DR		GRAYSLAKE						
208	3 07 - Avon	0621417016	24-Sep-21	RES	21020255			EDWARDS, JESSICA P	34069 HAINESVILLE RD		ROUND LAKE	18,746	34,005	52,751			27-Sep-21
209	9 07 - Avon	0621420010		RES	21020695			JANIULENE, LILIJA	862 WATERVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE PARK						
210	07 - Avon	0622102004		RES	21020356	Letter		OBRIEN, JOHN R	569 PENNY LN		GRAYSLAKE						
211	07 - Avon	0622102005		RES	21020357	Letter		NARDOMARINO, MARYELLEN	559 PENNY LN		GRAYSLAKE						
212	07 - Avon	0622102020		RES	21020487		No Contest	STEWART, JOHN ALISHA	490 ARLINGTON LN		GRAYSLAKE						
213	07 - Avon	0622104023		RES	21017682			SUNDELL, KYLE	1347 LOCUST CT		GRAYSLAKE						
214	1 07 - Avon	0622105013		RES	21020803	Letter		DOVEALA, ERIC	1414 CHURCHILL LN		GRAYSLAKE						
215	07 - Avon	0622105024		RES	21019780	Letter		GEOGHEGAN, JAMES	1360 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE						
216	5 07 - Avon	0622105048		RES	21016466	Letter		KARAGAS, ANTHONY G	1324 BERKSHIRE LN		GRAYSLAKE						

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
203	21-Sep-21	16,174	50,539	66,713	16,174	50,539	66,713		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
204	21-Sep-21	8,271	50,715	58,986	8,271	50,715	58,986		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
205	28-Sep-21	5,999	4,164	10,163	5,999	4,164	10,163		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
200	20 Cam 21	F 000	24 250	27.255	F 000	21 250	27.255		i i	1	
206	28-Sep-21	5,999	31,256	37,255	5,999	31,256	37,255		0 reduction.	warrant a reduction.	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
207	20.5 21	25.757	47.000	02.500	25.757	47.000	02.500		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
207	28-Sep-21	35,757	47,803	83,560	35,757	47,803	83,560		0 acceptable range.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
208		20,240	52,142	72,382	18,746	34,005	52,751	-19,63	reduction is warranted.		
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
209	28-Sep-21	10,498	49.001	59.499	10.498	49.001	59,499		0 reduction.	range.	
			,	50,100		10,000	55,155		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
210	21-Sep-21	12,854	82,642	95,496	12,854	82,642	95,496		0 reduction.		
	22 000 22	12,00	02,012	33,130	12,00	02,012	33,130		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
211	21-Sep-21	12,963	64.129	77.092	12.963	64.129	77,092		0 reduction.		
211	21 JCp-21	12,303	07,123	77,032	12,303	0-7,123	77,032		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
212		12,803	78,327	91,130	12,803	72,188	84,991	-6 13	99 reduction is warranted.		
212		12,603	10,321	91,130	12,003	72,100	04,331	-0,13	Evidence and Testimony - The change is based on the evidence and testimony from		
213	24-Sep-21	11,579	67,762	79,341	9,900	64,100	74,000	_5 2 <i>/</i>	the appellant.		
213	24-36h-21	11,379	07,702	75,341	3,300	04,100	74,000	-3,34	tie appenditt	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
214	28-Sep-21	13,067	85,869	98,936	13,067	85,869	98,936		o reduction.	township factor(s).	
214	20-3ep-21	13,067	85,869	98,936	13,067	85,869	98,936			township ractor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
245	22.6 24	12.011	04.500	104 500	12.011	04.500	104 500		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
215	22-Sep-21	12,941	91,568	104,509	12,941	91,568	104,509		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
a									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
216	22-Sep-21	12,943	82,321	95,264	12,943	82,321	95,264		0 reduction.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
217	7 07 - Avon	0622106032		RES	21015387	Letter		LITTLE, SUSAN D	1305 CHURCHILL LN		GRAYSLAKE							4
218	8 07 - Avon	0622106038		RES	21020696	Letter		MATAYA, JAMES P	1331 WOODSIDE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
219	9 07 - Avon	0622107001		RES	21016820			MATHEWS, FREDDIE	1490 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
		252242722									05.11/01.11/5							
220	0 07 - Avon	0622107008		RES	21020164	Letter		BIRCH, JULIE A MICHAEL P	1434 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
221	1 07 - Avon	0622108001		RES	21015916	Letter		CHAVERS, CANDACE	1397 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
222	2 07 - Avon	0622108004		RES	21018194	Letter		LISA M CLAUSSEN TTEE	1385 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
								RICHARD CAROL EGBERT										
223	3 07 - Avon	0622109006		RES	21019781	Letter		TTEES UTD 4/5/04	1338 CHURCHILL LN		GRAYSLAKE							
224	4 07 - Avon	0622109008		RES	21019014	Letter		HEATH LAURAN SMITH, TTEES	1318 CHURCHILL LN		GRAYSLAKE							
225	5 07 - Avon	0622110012		RES	21009799	Letter		FEENEY, LINDA	625 ARLINGTON LN		GRAYSLAKE							
								,										
224	6 07 4	0522444004		DEC	24020640			CLIV LICA	4220 1444/54/51/51		CD AVCL AVE							
226	6 07 - Avon	0622111001		RES	21020640			GUY, LISA	1339 MAYFAIR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
227	7 07 - Avon	0622111010		RES	21014067	Letter		LISA ANSELMINO, TRUSTEE	1318 CAMDEN CT		GRAYSLAKE							
								MICHAEL NELSON										
228	8 07 - Avon	0622112003		RES	21019704		No Contest	MARIAGABRIELA CERVANTES	1324 CHESTERFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
								RENGANARAYANAN,										
229	9 07 - Avon	0622113002		RES	21018168	Letter		NARAYANI	1282 BERKSHIRE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
230	0 07 - Avon	0622200003		FA	21020646			KEITH, MICHAEL DAVID	21279 SHOREWOOD RD		GRAYSLAKE							
								SYNERGY PROPERTY										
231	1 07 - Avon	0622200036	6-Oct-21	COM	21018090			HOLDINGS LLC	34786 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE	20,382	2	20,382	2			6-Oct-21

	1	I	1	1		1	1			I	
		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV		AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
										N/C. Prior PTAB Decision - The present	
										assessment reflects a prior Property Tax Appeal	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	Board decision plus the application of	
217	22-Sep-21	12,867	88,699	101,566	12,867	88,699	101,566		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	appropriate township factor(s).	
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
218		13,109	,		-		,		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
219	24-Sep-21	12,893	81,819	94,712	12,893	75,355	88,248	-6,46	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
220	22-Sep-21	12,949	63,650	76,599	12,949	63,650	76,599		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
224	22.5	42.020	74.560	07.500	42.020	74.500	07.500		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
221	22-Sep-21	13,020	74,568	87,588	13,020	74,568	87,588		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
222	22.5 24	42.402	02.500	06 704	42.402	02.500	06.704		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
222	22-Sep-21	13,182	83,599	96,781	13,182	83,599	96,781		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
223	21-Sep-21	12,917	86,331	99,248	12,917	86,331	99,248		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
223	21-Sep-21	12,917	80,331	99,248	12,917	80,331	99,248		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
224	21-Sep-21	12,927	75,069	87,996	12,927	75,069	87,996		o acceptable range.		
224	21-3ep-21	12,927	75,069	67,990	12,927	75,009	67,990		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
225	22-Sep-21	13,542	75,521	89,063	13,542	75,521	89,063		0 reduction.		
223	22-36μ-21	13,342	73,321	89,003	13,342	73,321	85,003		reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
226	28-Sep-21	13,250	74,325	87,575	13,250	74,325	87,575		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
	20 0cp 21	20,200	7 1,023	07,575	10,200	7 1,020	0.75.75			termismp rueter (e).	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
227	22-Sep-21	12,935	78,075	91,010	12,935	78,075	91,010		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
			, . , .	,:20	,	,.,.	,-20		the state of the s		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
228		12,903	103,548	116,451	12,903	98,753	111,656	-4,79	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
229	21-Sep-21	12,749	64,162	76,911	12,749	64,162	76,911		0 acceptable range.		
									Evidence and Testimony - The change is based on the evidence and testimony from		
230	22-Oct-21	55,692	72,734	128,426	55,692	69,206	124,898	-3,52	the appellant.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
231	14-Oct-21	22,315	0	22,315	20,382	0	20,382	-1,93	reduction is warranted.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
													TWP AV Blo		Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs				Settlement			Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner SYNERGY PROPERTY	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	232 07 - Avon	0622200048	6-Oct-21	сом	21018090			HOLDINGS LLC	0 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE	86,906		0 86,90	06			6-Oct-21
								SYNERGY PROPERTY										
	233 07 - Avon	0622200049	6-Oct-21	СОМ	21018090			HOLDINGS LLC	34738 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE	84,360		0 84,3	50			6-Oct-21
	234 07 - Avon	0622201001		RES	21019690	Lattar		BOONE, LAURIE	231 LENOX CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	234 U7 - AVOII	0622201001		KES	21019690	Letter		BOONE, LAURIE	231 LENUX CI		GRAYSLAKE							
	235 07 - Avon	0622201016		RES	21015918	Letter		MIRZA, ABIDA	211 LEXINGTON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	226 07 Aven	0622201020		RES	21016062	Lattar		KUHLMAN, LANIE	226 LEXINGTON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	236 07 - Avon	0622201020		KES	21016062	Letter		KUHLIVIAN, LANIE	226 LEXINGTON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	237 07 - Avon	0622201023		RES	21020412	Letter		THR PROPERTY ILLINOIS LP	208 LEXINGTON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	238 07 - Avon	0622203010		RES	21020396	Letter		IH3 PROPERTY ILLINOIS LP	1580 PORTSMOUTH CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	239 07 - Avon	0622204004		RES	21020018	Letter		JAKUBOWSKI, DONNA M	367 FAIRFAX LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	240 07 - Avon	0622204024		RES	21020358	Letter		TANKERSLEY, BOYCE E	340 GATEWOOD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	241 07 - Avon	0622204034		RES	21015783	Letter	No Contest	GARR, SANJEEV GEETIKA	409 FAIRFAX LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	242 07 - Avon	0622205013		RES	21020359	Letter		BOUDREAU, DAVID	485 GATEWOOD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	242.07 Aven	0033308005		DEC	21012202	Lattar		CURIC HOWARD	1207 DEDDDDCE CT		CDAVCLAKE							
	243 07 - Avon	0622208065		RES	21012393	Letter		CHRIS HOWARD	1387 REDBRIDGE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	244 07 - Avon	0622208102		RES	21013123	Letter		ORLOV, SOFIA	58 KEENELAND CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	245 07 - Avon	0622300038		RES	21010542			COFFEE, TIFFANY	34457 CEDAR LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	246 07 - Avon	0622300049		RES	21020123	Lottor	No Contest	KATIE LYNN CORIE LYNN	34476 HICKORY LN		ROUND LAKE							
	240 U7 - AVUII	0022300049		NES	21020123	Letter	NO Contest	NVATE NA 2 VI	544/0 MICKURY LIN		ROUND LAKE							
	247 07 - Avon	0622303011		RES	21020180	Letter		ROSE MARIE BLOOMER	21769 LAKE AVE		ROUND LAKE							

		Current Land	Current Rida	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
232	14-Oct-21	95,150	0	95,150	86,906	0	86,906	-8,24	4 reduction is warranted.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
233	14-Oct-21	92,363	0	92,363	84,360	0	84,360	-8,00	3 reduction is warranted.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
234	21-Sep-21	12,660	72,792	85,452	12,660	70,665	83,325	-2,12	7 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
235	21-Sep-21	12,853	66,627	79,480	12,853	66,627	79,480		0 acceptable range.		
									Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
236	21-Oct-21	13,449	108,858	122,307	13,449	94,207	107,656	-14,65	1 appellant.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
237	22-Sep-21	12,853	92,668	105,521	12,853	92,668	105,521		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
238	21-Sep-21	12,206	67,674	79,880	12,206	67,674	79,880		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
220	24.6 24	42.020	CE COE	70 722	42.020	CE COE	70 700		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
239	21-Sep-21	13,038	65,695	78,733	13,038	65,695	78,733		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
240	21-Sep-21	12,998	73,479	86,477	12,998	73,479	86,477		0 reduction.		
						45.040			Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
241		12,546	66,949	79,495	12,546	45,948	58,494	-21,00	1 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
242	24 6 24	12.000	00.067	02.076	42.000	00.067	02.076		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
242	21-Sep-21	12,809	80,067	92,876	12,809	80,067	92,876		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
242	22.5 24	6 635	44400	50.035	C C25	44.400	F0.035		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
243	22-Sep-21	6,635	44,190	50,825	6,635	44,190	50,825		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
244	22 Cor 24	6.635	46 345	F2.050	C C35	46.245	F2.050		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
244	22-Sep-21	6,635	46,315	52,950	6,635	46,315	52,950		o reduction.	N/C Practical Uniformity Analysis of the	
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
									N/C Sales Comps. The Peard's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
245	24 Can 21	10.070	40 624	67.704	10.070	10 624	67.704		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
245	24-Sep-21	19,070	48,634	67,704	19,070	48,634	67,704			range.	
246		14,960	98,483	113,443	14,960	86,863	101,823	11 62	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further 0 reduction is warranted.		
240		14,960	30,483	113,443	14,900	00,003	101,023	-11,02	N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
		30,804	159,679	190.483	30.804	159,679	190,483		0 from the subject.		

			Pı	roperty		Appear by				Situs		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement	1	BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date Cl	lass	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
24	8 07 - Avon	0622312001	RI	ES	21016064	Letter		REAL, JOSE	1247 CHESTERFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
24	9 07 - Avon	0622312012	RI	ES	21013509	Letter		BEALE, THOMAS J	1208 WARWICK CT		GRAYSLAKE							
25	0 07 - Avon	0622312013	RI	ES	21020699	Letter		FAY, HEATHER	1202 WARWICK CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	1 07 - Avon	0622401016		ES	21020704			JENICH, DAWN L	77 JAMESTOWN CT		GRAYSLAKE							
25	2 07 - Avon	0622401017	PI	ES	21020256			KARCZEWSKI, HENRY K	55 JAMESTOWN CT		GRAYSLAKE							
23	2 07 - AVOII	0022401017	K		21020230			RANCZEWSKI, HENNI K	33 JAIVIESTOWN CT		GNATSLAKE							
25	3 07 - Avon	0622401025	RI	ES	21019837			RICK DISHMAN	1016 POTOMAC CT		GRAYSLAKE							
25	4 07 - Avon	0622401029	RI	ES	21018522	Letter		GERFIN, CANDIS M	1085 POTOMAC CT		GRAYSLAKE							
					2.2.525													
	5 07 - Avon 6 07 - Avon	0622401030 0622401031		ES ES	21016065 21020708			KESKE, CASEY E SCHMITT, DANIEL M	1073 POTOMAC CT 1061 POTOMAC CT		GRAYSLAKE GRAYSLAKE							_
23	0,0,7,000	0022-101031			21020700	Letter		Jennini, Branze W	1001 TOTOWING CT		GIVITSETIKE							
25	7 07 - Avon	0623101002	RI	ES	21013215	Letter		MAHONEY, ASHLEY S	1160 WILLIAMSBURG CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
25	8 07 - Avon	0623101004	DI	ES	21019771			DIVIACCHI, BETH	1148 WILLIAMSBURG CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
23	6 07 - AVOII	0023101004	K		21013771			MOMO TEFO, YANNICK M	1146 WILLIAWSDONG CIN		GNATSLAKE							
25	9 07 - Avon	0623101012	27-Sep-21 RI	ES	21020446	Letter		DIANA A	1200 WILLIAMSBURG CIR		GRAYSLAKE				14,840	78,484	93,32	4
20	0.07 Augs	0633104001	22 Cam 21 DI	FC.	21020125	Latter		KOEDKE TIMOTUV D	42 CRISFIELD DR		GRAYSLAKE				12.046	04.276	00.22	4
26	0 07 - Avon	0623104001	23-Sep-21 RI	ES	21020125	Letter		KOEPKE, TIMOTHY D	42 CRISFIELD DR		GRAYSLAKE				13,948	84,376	98,32	+
26	1 07 - Avon	0623105001	RI	ES	21020382	Letter		IH2 PROPERTY ILLINOIS LP	1610 FAIR PORT DR		GRAYSLAKE							
26	2 07 - Avon	0623105004	27-Sep-21 RI	ES	21019119	Letter		BRANDON J VINCENT VICTORIA FERSTEIN	101 OXFORD CIR		GRAYSLAKE				12,950	67,042	79,99	2
	3 07 - Avon	0623105004		ES	21020014			DE JESUS, MARILYN P	171 OXFORD CIR		GRAYSLAKE				12,550	07,042	, 5,55	
		0.0004																
26	4 07 - Avon	0623106047	Co	OM	21020783		No Contest	FRANK MANNA	1227 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE							
26	5 07 - Avon	0623106048	CC	OM	21020783		No Contest	FRANK MANNA	0 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE							
26	6 07 - Avon	0623107008	RI	ES	21015167	Letter		QUDDUS, MOHAMMAD	1536 BELLE HAVEN DR		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
248	21-Sep-21	13,406	82,453	95,859	13,406	82,453	95,859		o reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
249	21-Sep-21	12,923	87,189	100,112	12,923	87,189	100,112		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
250	28-Sep-21	12,814	104,480	117,294	12,814	104,480	117,294		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
250			93,232					E 2'	12 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
231	20-3ep-21	14,/3/	33,232	107,909	14,/3/	67,920	102,037	-3,3.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
252	24-Sep-21	14,516	92,812	107,328	14,516	92,812	107,328		0 acceptable range.		
			,		_ ,,==.	5 2,5 2 2			Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
253	21-Oct-21	14,520	100,458	114,978	14,520	87,137	101,657	-13,32	appellant.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
254	22-Sep-21	14,769	101,783	116,552	14,769	101,783	116,552		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
255			121,931				136,494		o from the subject.		
256	28-Sep-21	14,371	107,116	121,487	14,371	95,618	109,989	-11,49	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
257	21-Oct-21	16,032	100,918	116,950	16,032	83,804	99,836	-17,13	14 application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
250	24 0-+ 24	44.565	100.000	124 554	14.565	07.004	111 656	12.00	Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
258	21-Oct-21	14,565	109,989	124,554	14,565	97,091	111,656	-12,89	98 appellant.		
259		14,840	91,378	106,218	14,840	78,484	93,324	12.00	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
259		14,840	91,378	106,218	14,840	78,484	93,324	-12,8	94 Board finds to be a good indication of market value. Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
260		13,948	88,455	102,403	13,948	84,376	98,324	-4 0	79 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
200		13,540	00,433	102,403	13,340	04,370	30,324	7,0	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
261	22-Sep-21	14,110	65,635	79,745	14,110	65,635	79,745		0 reduction.		
		,			,	,			Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
262		12,950	69,658	82,608	12,950	67,042	79,992	-2,63	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
263	22-Sep-21	12,940	69,431	82,371	12,940	64,552	77,492	-4,87	79 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
264		84,511	260,213	344,724	84,511	244,582	329,093	-15,63	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
265		39,014	0	39,014	39,014	0	39,014		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
266	22-Sep-21	13,032	65,635	78,667	13,032	65,635	78,667		0 change in assessment.		

													TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
											s		Land	TWP AV Bldg		Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
ID	To	ownship	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	(Settlement Offer)
		o v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v		neview bate	Ciuss	cuse ivo	Letter	NO COMEST	Owner	Jitus Muuless	- Cinic	Situs City	Onc.	Onci	U II.C.I	O.I.C.	Unci	One:	One.,
	267 07	7 - Avon	0623107030		RES	21018169	Letter		DIETRICH, STEVEN	1421 FAIR PORT DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	268 07	7 - Avon	0623107034		RES	21009626	Letter		SKIBA, RONALD K	1375 FAIR PORT DR		GRAYSLAKE							
:	269 07	7 - Avon	0623109018		RES	21020360	Letter		SHUTE, JOHN	1409 CHERITON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	270 07	7 - Avon	0623112007		RES	21014818	Letter		STAPLETON, ANDREW	1526 OXFORD CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	271 07	7 - Avon	0623301011		RES	21019904	Lattor		BOND, MIKE J	308 ROCK HALL CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
•	2/1 0/	7 - AVOII	0023301011		NL3	21019904	Letter		BOND, WIRE J	308 ROCK HALL CIR		GRATSLARL							
	272 07	7 - Avon	0623301025		RES	21016066	Letter		PARRISH, CATHY B	392 ROCK HALL CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	273 07	7 - Avon	0623301034		RES	21020181	Letter		MCLAUGHLIN III, WILLIAM J	486 ROCK HALL CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	274 07	7 - Avon	0623302018		RES	21018195	Letter		RHONDA K HALL, TRUSTEE	451 ROCK HALL CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	275 07	7 - Avon	0623303019		RES	21012066	Letter		BRINGLE, JAMES G	1059 POPES CREEK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	276 07	7 - Avon	0623303030		RES	21020710	Letter		BRIGHT, SCOTT A	1013 POPES CREEK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
:	277 07	7 - Avon	0623303045		RES	21019905	Letter		CHAPMAN, JAMIE	871 CHESAPEAKE BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
	270 0-		0622202052		6014	24047656			WALCEENS	460 MASHINGTON ST		CD AVCLARE							
	2/8 0/	7 - Avon	0623303053		СОМ	21017650			WALGREENS	460 WASHINGTON ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	279 07	7 - Avon	0623304005		RES	21020361	Letter		MORRIS, DAVID W HORST W OR MARY F FIEDLER	1052 NORFOLK LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	280 07	7 - Avon	0623304017		RES	21016068	Letter		TTEES	1073 TALBOT LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	281 07	7 - Avon	0623305002		RES	21014167	Letter		DERANGO, JOY L JAMES	1041 NORFOLK LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	201 07	AVOII	3023303002		INCO	21014107	Letter		EDWARD A KOULA A KANNE,	1011 NOW OLK LIV		CIATOLAKE							
	282 07	7 - Avon	0623305008		RES	21016807	'		TRUSTEES	1126 POPES CREEK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		J		AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
267	22-Sep-21	12,950	50,687	63,637	12,950	50,687	63,637		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
2.50			c= 0c0						properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
268	22-Sep-21	12,971	65,863	78,834	12,971	65,863	78,834		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
269	22-Sep-21	12,950	63,839	76,789	12,950	63,839	76,789		0 reduction.		
203	22-3ep-21	12,930	03,633	70,789	12,930	03,839	70,783		leduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
270	22-Sep-21	13,862	71,611	85,473	13,862	71,611	85,473		O decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
		-5,532	,	22,176		,	22, 0		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
271	22-Sep-21	13,940	88,860	102,800	13,940	88,860	102,800		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
272	22-Sep-21	13,528	76,718	90,246	13,528	76,718	90,246		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
273	22-Sep-21	13,980	79,652	93,632	13,980	79,652	93,632		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
274	22 Cap 21	14 142	80,966	95,109	14,143	80,966	OF 100		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
2/4	22-Sep-21	14,143	80,900	95,109	14,145	80,900	95,109		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
275	22-Sep-21	12,950	84,646	97,596	12,950	84,646	97,596		0 acceptable range.		
276		12,950	77,380					-7,0	005 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
277	22-Sep-21	12,927	89,986	102,913	12,927	89,986	102,913		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									Evidence and Testimony - The change is based on the evidence and testimony from		
278	14-Oct-21	267,515	309,314	576,829	244,204	282,360	526,564	-50,2	the appellant.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
	20.0	40.05	=		40.0-		24.25		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
279	22-Sep-21	12,951	78,953	91,904	12,951	. 78,953	91,904		0 reduction.		
280	22-Sep-21	12,950	64,505	77,455	12,950	61,876	74,826	2.6	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further reduction is warranted.		
280	22-3ep-21	12,950	04,303	11,455	12,950	01,876	74,620	-2,0	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
281	22-Sep-21	13,999	84,052	98,051	13,999	84,052	98,051		0 acceptable range.		
		25,555	3 .,332	55,051	23,333	3 .,032	33,331				
282	27-Sep-21	13,991	75,860	89,851	13,991	71,227	85,218	-4.6	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV	202 41/21	BOR AV	
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
283	3 07 - Avon	0623306026		RES	21020861	Letter		DUVNIL, ANTHONY M JOSEPH RUNGREN NICOLE	1084 TALBOT LN		GRAYSLAKE							4
284	1 07 - Avon	0623307028		RES	21020524		No Contest		1008 CHESAPEAKE BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
285	07 - Avon	0623307030		RES	21020700			PASDAR, SANAZ	992 CHESAPEAKE BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
286	6 07 - Avon	0623307039		RES	21009788	Letter		DELAMAR, LISA A	947 TYLERTON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
287	7 07 - Avon	0623308008		RES	21020516			GREVER, CATHERINE	876 CHESAPEAKE BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
								JOHN B MELISSA										
288	07 - Avon	0623308014		RES	21009808	Letter		INDLECOFFER CO-TTEES	268 CARTERS GROVE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
289	07 - Avon	0623308058		RES	21020713	Letter		MARGARET BAJON	804 TYLERTON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
290	07 - Avon	0623308069		RES	21018196	Letter		KOPSTAIN, DONALD J	1000 TYLERTON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
291	07 - Avon	0623308070		RES	21017095	Letter		BAUR, MICHAEL H	972 TYLERTON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
292	2 07 - Avon	0623308083		RES	21010415			VAN DONSELAAR, MARK KAGAY REVOCABLE LIVING	920 TYLERTON CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
293	07 - Avon	0623309010		RES	21020362	Letter		TRUST	1048 CHESAPEAKE BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
294	1 07 - Avon	0623310001	23-Sep-21	RES	21017648	Letter		NICHOLAS NIERMAN MELODY N DICKES	1136 WILLIAMSBURG CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
25	7,4011	0023310001	23 3cp 21	ILES	21017010	Letter		IN DICKES	1130 WILLIM WISSONG CIT		OTO TISES INC							
295	07 - Avon	0623310004	27-Sep-21	RES	21019691	Letter		DASTA, VINCENT JENNY	1112 WILLIAMSBURG CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
296	07 - Avon	0623405007		RES	21018197	Letter		LEVIN, SVETLANA	838 SHAKESPEARE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
297	7 07 - Avon	0623406044	27-Sep-21	RES	21017049	Letter		HAUZ LLC	840 CHERRY CREEK DR		GRAYSLAKE							
								DIANE M KOVACEK TTEE UTD										
298	07 - Avon	0623407025		RES	21016070	Letter		7/12/18	1093 CHADWICK DR		GRAYSLAKE							

	I		I	1	I		I			I	
		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV		AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
283	28-Sep-21	12,950	78,110	91,060	12,950	78,110	91,060		0 reduction.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
284		13,551	86,845	100,396	13,551	77,773	91,324	-9,07	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
285			86,968		12,862				0 reduction.		
286	22-Sep-21	12,950	78,097	91,047	12,950	70,375	83,325	-7,72	Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.		
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
										comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
207	27.6 24	45.070	75.020	00.000	45.070	75.020	00.000		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
287	27-Sep-21	15,070	75,828	90,898	15,070	75,828	90,898		0 reduction.	range.	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
288	22-Sep-21	12,950	85,266	98,216	12,950	85,266	98,216		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
200	22-3ep-21	12,550	83,200	38,210	12,330	83,200	36,210		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
289	28-Sep-21	13,419	72,883	86,302	13,419	72,883	86,302		0 reduction.		
		-,	,			,			N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
290	22-Sep-21	13,974	82,345	96,319	13,974	82,345	96,319		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
291	22-Sep-21	13,681	77,356	91,037	13,681	77,356	91,037		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
292	27-Sep-21	13,912	81,719	95,631	13,912	81,719	95,631		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
222	22.6	44.050	440.5=0	422 522	44.000	444 = 22	426.67				
293	22-Sep-21	14,856	118,652	133,508	14,856	111,798	126,654	-6,85	54 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.		
294	21-Oct-21	14,303	99,544	113,847	14,303	85,687	99,990	12.00	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
294	21-001-21	14,303	99,544	113,847	14,303	85,087	99,990	-13,85	Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
295	21-Oct-21	14,840	96,355	111,195	14,840	82,484	97,324	-13 87	Appellant. S Appraisar - The change is based on the appraisar submitted by the 71 appellant.		
293	21-00321	14,040	30,333	111,133	14,040	02,404	31,324	-13,07	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
296	23-Sep-21	3,601	47,560	51,161	3,601	47,560	51,161		0 reduction.		
		.,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , ,	-,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , ,				
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
297	21-Oct-21	8,180	46,771	54,951	8,180	46,771	54,951		decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
298	22-Sep-21	8,179	47,081	55,260	8,179	47,081	55,260		0 reduction.		

					Duamantu		A				Siture		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	т	ownship	PIN	Review Date	Property Class		Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
		-		nerien zute	0.000	0.00110					- C		0.10.	0.10.	U	0.10.	0.1101	0	J
2	299 0	7 - Avon	0623407040		RES	21018524	Letter		MUKHERJEE, MODHURIMA	1082 ELLSWORTH DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	300 0	7 - Avon	0624203003		RES	21020717	Letter		KRUPICA, ISABEL C	318 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
9	201 0	7 - Avon	0624203030		RES	21016233	Letter		SCHLAGETTER, DAVID B	12 PORTSIDE CT		THIRD LAKE							
	301 0	7 - AVOII	0024203030		INES	21010233	Letter		QUENTIN M SUSAN D	12 FORTSIDE CT		TIIND LAKE							
3	302 0	7 - Avon	0624204023		RES	21010243	Letter			6 LIGHTHOUSE LN		THIRD LAKE							
3	303 0.	7 - Avon	0624204028		RES	21020595	Letter		JILL T ROHRER TRUSTEE	224 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
	300 0	711011	002 120 1020		1120	21020333	Lotto.		JULE I HOTHIER HOOTEL										
3	304 0	7 - Avon	0624204038		RES	21019078	Letter		FREEMAN SR, RONALD P	200 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
3	305 0	7 - Avon	0624204041		RES	21019772			BRITTAN, ALICE S	6 CAT SCHOONER LN		THIRD LAKE							
	306 0.	7 - Avon	0624204043		RES	21019766			SALLY MARIE JONES, TRUSTEE	10 CAT SCHOONER IN		THIRD LAKE							
	300 0	AVOIT	0024204043		IKES	21013700			SALLT WARRE JOINES, TROSTEE	10 CAT SCHOONER EN		THIND LAKE							
3	307 0	7 - Avon	0624204044		RES	21019914	Letter		CHAMBERLAIN, JAMES	12 CAT SCHOONER LN		THIRD LAKE							
3	308 0	7 - Avon	0624204045		RES	21019751			EASTBURG, RITAJANE	14 CAT SCHOONER LN		THIRD LAKE							
	300 U.	7 - Avon	0624204062		RES	21016234	Letter		MARKESE, MICHAEL R	293 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
	303 0	AVOII	0024204002		IKES	21010254	Letter		WARRESE, WICHAEL IX	255 WANDALE DIC		THIND LAKE							
3	310 0	7 - Avon	0624204064		RES	21019849	Letter		PATTERSON, DANIEL S	297 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
3	311 0	7 - Avon	0624204069		RES	21020447	Letter		KORTENKAMP, THERESA L	307 MAINSAIL DR		THIRD LAKE							
	312 0	7 - Avon	0624401003		RES	21020363	Letter		SANCHEZ, GABRIEL	881 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	J12 ()	AVUII	0024401003		ILLU	21020303	Letter		JANGIEZ, GADINEL	OOT TILLDALL LIV		GIATSLAKE							
3	313 0	7 - Avon	0624401007		RES	21020723	Letter		GLENN KUTSCHERA, TRUSTEE	817 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							

ID	Hearing Date		Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
	meaning Date	AV	AV	Total Av	AV	DON DIUG AV	AV	Amount	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	DONT IIIuiiigs Neason 2	DON'T III UIII ga Neason 3
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
299	22-Sep-21	8,179	45,941	54,120	8,179	45,941	54,120		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
300	28-Sep-21	13,214	86,428	99,642	13,214	86,428	99,642		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
301	22-Sep-21	12,747	63,478	76,225	12,747	63,478	76,225		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
302	27-Sep-21	40,406	122,370	162,776	40,406	109,291	149,697	-13	,079 application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
303	3 27-Sep-21	. 39,712	109,489	149,201	39,712	109,489	149,201		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
304	1 27-Sep-21	49,680	185,998	235,678	49,680	185,998	235,678		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
305	1-Oct-21	. 42,642	93,189	135,831	42,642	93,189	135,831		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
306	1-Oct-21	41,140	153,828	194,968	41,140	153,828	194,968		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
			400.040						properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
307	7 27-Sep-21	41,458	189,943	231,401	41,458	189,943	231,401		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
200	1 0-+ 24	45 504	07.074	142.655	45 504	07.074	142.655		Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
308	3 1-Oct-21	45,581	97,074	142,655	45,581	97,074	142,655		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
309	22-Sep-21	12.933	66.876	79.809	12.933	66.876	79,809		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
305	22-3ep-21	12,933	00,670	73,009	12,533	00,070	79,009		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
310	27-Sep-21	49,343	97,171	146,514	49,343	97,171	146,514		0 acceptable range.		
310	27 3CP-21	75,545	57,171	140,314	45,545	37,171	170,314		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
311	27-Sep-21	33,880	116,996	150,876	33,880	116,996	150,876		0 acceptable range.		
	_, ocp 21	33,300		200,070	55,500		_55,576		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
312	22-Sep-21	15,537	113,146	128,683	15,537	113,146	128,683		0 reduction.		
		.,		-,	.,	.,	.,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
313	3 28-Sep-21	17,285	106,345	123,630	17,285	106,345	123,630		0 reduction.		

					Dunantu		A				C:t		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement	1	BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	т	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
3	314 0	07 - Avon	0624401008		RES	21020364	Letter		LOCKERBIE, WILLIAM H	801 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	315 0	07 - Avon	0624401022		RES	21020432			HEIDECKE, DEBORAH	728 CAITLIN CT		GRAYSLAKE							
3	316 0	07 - Avon	0624401031		RES	21020351	Letter		JANIS, ABRAM D	896 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	317 0	07 - Avon	0624401032		RES	21020455	Letter		TOBIE, MATTHEW BRANDY MARIE	880 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	318 0	07 - Avon	0624401040		RES	21009362	Letter		ZINK, SARAH JUNE	866 JEANNE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
3	319 0	07 - Avon	0624401049	27-Sep-21	RES	21016006			ZAHRA, MOHAMMAD	740 FIELDALE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	320 0	07 - Avon	0624404008		RES	21020365	Letter		GOLDMAN, SR, JAMES H	208 WINDJAMMER LN		THIRD LAKE							
	321 0	07 - Avon	0624404033		RES	21020366	Letter		SHARI B DALZIEL, TRUSTEE	5 MAINSAIL CT		THIRD LAKE							
		07 - Avon 07 - Avon	0625101010 0625102020		RES RES	21020367 21016936			RUDOBIELSKI, ANDRZEJ NIXON, GREG W	471 ATTENBOROUGH WAY 390 DORCHESTER LN		GRAYSLAKE GRAYSLAKE							
	525 0	D7 - AVOII	0623102020		NES	21016936	Letter		NIXON, GREG W	390 DORCHESTER LIN		GRATSLAKE							
3	324 0	07 - Avon	0625106019		RES	21016235	Letter		TYRPAK, KATHY	1082 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE							
									·										
3	325 0	07 - Avon	0625106061		RES	21018526	Letter		PERRI, DEBORAH	800 CROSSLAND DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	326 0	07 - Avon	0625107005		RES	21020591	Letter		MALOUF, DOLORES S	928 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	327 0	07 - Avon	0625107006		RES	21019906	Letter		MENAKER, IGOR	936 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	328 0	07 - Avon	0625107016		RES	21018198	Letter		RICH, EILEEN P	844 NEWBURY CT		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
D	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
314	22-Sep-21	18,087	90,847	108,934	18,087	90,847	108,934		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
315	28-Sep-21	15,513	99,598	115,111	15,513	99,598	115,111		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
316	22-Sep-21	18,721	86,980	105,701	18,721	86,980	105,701		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/O D : DODD : : The second se		
0.4									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
317	22-Sep-21	16,265	90,349	106,614	16,265	90,349	106,614		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
										N/C Calca Carrage The D. III	
										N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is	
									N/C D: DODD :: The state of the	to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board	
242									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	finds a preponderance of evidence does not	
318	21-Oct-21	19,188	99,913	119,101	19,188	99,913	119,101		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	warrant a reduction.	
240	24 0 1 24	45.057	00.000	402.200	45.053	00 767	05.034		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
319	21-Oct-21	15,057	88,332	103,389	15,057	80,767	95,824	-/,5	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C Drive DOD Desiries. The assessment and acts a grice Desiries.		
220	22 6 24	12 200	74 252	02.742	12.200	74.252	02.742		N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
320	22-Sep-21	12,390	71,353	83,743	12,390	71,353	83,743		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
224	22 6 24	42.000	00.722	102.720	12.000	00.722	102 720		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
321	22-Sep-21	13,998	88,732	102,730	13,998	88,732	102,730		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
222	22 Cam 21	0.670	76.066	05 530	9.670	70.000	95 536		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
322 323	22-Sep-21 23-Sep-21		76,866 61,169						0 reduction. 20 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
323	23-3ep-21	16,014	61,169	77,183	16,014	58,049	74,003	-2,5			
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
324	22-Sep-21	8.414	60.171	68,585	8.414	60.171	68,585		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
324	22-3ep-21	0,414	60,171	. 00,565	0,414	60,171	00,303		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
325	22-Sep-21	12,776	52,216	64,992	12,776	52,216	64,992		0 reduction.		
323	22-3ep-21	12,776	52,210	04,992	12,770	52,216	04,992		o reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
326	22-Sep-21	8.084	70,733	78,817	8,084	70,733	78,817		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
320	22-36p-21	5,064	70,733	70,617	5,084	70,733	70,817		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
327	22-Sep-21	8,728	78,607	87,335	8,728	78,607	87,335		0 acceptable range.		
321	22-36p-21	0,720	70,007	07,333	0,720	75,007	01,333		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
328	22-Sep-21	12,337	59,859	72,196	12,337	59,859	72,196		o reduction.		

ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class		Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement Offer	BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
329	9 07 - Avon	0625107032		RES	21020488	Letter		LUCY, LISA R	823 EASTON CT		GRAYSLAKE					
330	0 07 - Avon	0625108008		RES	21011410	Letter		BAMBERG, BRADLEY	955 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE					
33:	1 07 - Avon	0625108016		RES	21019907	Letter		KIRKEENG, GAIL LILJA	915 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE					
332	2 07 - Avon	0625110001		RES	21019908	Letter		BUCKLEY, KRISTINE A	1047 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE					
								THE AUDRA E HOYER								
	3 07 - Avon	0625110014		RES	21017277			REVOCABLE TRUST	1085 BLACKBURN DR		GRAYSLAKE					
334	4 07 - Avon	0625302017		RES	21017327	Letter		HOWARD, STEFANY A	1167 MOCKINGBIRD CT		GRAYSLAKE					
221	5 07 4	0635304004		DEC	24020724	1 -44		71140	1212 1454 DOWN A DIVIN		CDAVCI AKE					
33:	5 07 - Avon	0625304004		RES	21020731	Letter		ZHAO, J	1212 MEADOWLARK LN		GRAYSLAKE					
								MICHAEL GONZALEZ SR								
336	6 07 - Avon	0625304029		RES	21020168	Letter		MICHELLE FIGUEROA	1221 SANDPIPER CT		GRAYSLAKE					
330	O O AVOII	0023304023		ILLS	21020100	Letter		WICHELL FIGULIOA	1221 SANDI II EK CI		GIVATSEARE					
								DEAN MICHAEL CASELLI,								
337	7 07 - Avon	0625307004		RES	21011429	Letter		TRUSTEE	241 BUCKINGHAM DR		GRAYSLAKE					
338	8 07 - Avon	0625307011		RES	21017280	Letter		GALATSIANOS, DINOS T	207 BUCKINGHAM CT		GRAYSLAKE					
224	0.07	0635300004		DEC	24020502			VEDEC LUBOVICO	222 DRAYTON CT		CD AVCLARE					
	9 07 - Avon	0625308001		RES	21020602			VERES, LUDOVIC D	222 BRAXTON CT		GRAYSLAKE					
340	0 07 - Avon	0625308004		RES	21016236	retter		FILAS, MARIA D	234 BRAXTON CT		GRAYSLAKE					
34:	1 07 - Avon	0625308010		RES	21020735	Letter		GARAY, JACK	186 BRAXTON WAY		GRAYSLAKE					
	, , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						,								
342	2 07 - Avon	0625309011		RES	21019767	Letter		KUGLER, ROBERT	261 BRAXTON WAY		GRAYSLAKE					
343	3 07 - Avon	0625311001		RES	21019628	Letter		CAMPEOL, MATTHEW	3 CAMBRIDGE DR		GRAYSLAKE					

					_						
ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
	Treating Pare					20112118711				N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	John Manney Meason 5
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
329	22-Sep-21	14,945	54,647	69,592	14,945	54,647	69,592		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
330	22-Sep-21	10,682	64,084	74,766	10,682	64,084	74,766		0 reduction.	NI/C Dun skinnlillmifn marker. Annah sain af skinn	
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
									N/C Comps Considerably Different After carefully examining the evidence the	comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different	assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
331	22-Sep-21	10,978	62,973	73,951	10,978	62,973	73,951		0 from the subject.	range.	
332			49,350		-	-		-2 9	O Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.	runge.	
332	23 3cp 21	14,032	13,330	01,012	11,032	10,300	01,032	 ,	Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
333	23-Sep-21	8,713	63,583	72,296	8,713	63,215	71,928	-30	68 application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
334	27-Sep-21	16,361	75,331	91,692	16,361	70,690	87,051	-4,6	41 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
335	28-Sep-21	16,203	82,810	99,013	16,203	82,810	99,013		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
336	27-Sep-21	16,137	92,475	108,612	16,137	92,475	108,612		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C Dries DTAD Desision. The present	
										N/C. Prior PTAB Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Property Tax Appeal	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	Board decision plus the application of	
337	22-Sep-21	14,274	75,608	89,882	14,274	75,608	89,882		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	appropriate township factor(s).	
			,	00,000			55,552		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	ppp op nace to memp to see (c).	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
338	22-Sep-21	13,495	74,915	88,410	13,495	74,915	88,410		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
339		18,961	85,105			-	- ,		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
340	23-Sep-21	17,488	83,392	100,880	17,488	80,182	97,670	-3,2	10 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C Solos Compo. The Decydle vectorial in the sure land of size in	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
341	28-Sep-21	16,926	97,944	114,870	16,926	97,944	114,870		o reduction.	decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	
341	20-3ep-21	10,920	37,344	114,070	10,920	51,944	114,670		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	נטאוואן ומכנטונאן.	
342	23-Sep-21	14,451	85,196	99,647	14,451	85,196	99,647		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
512	20 00p 21	1.,131	23,130	33,017	2.,131	55,130	33,347		Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
343	23-Sep-21	17,936	83,515	101,451	17,936	80,147	98,083	-3,3	68 application of the appropriate township factor(s).		

					Property		Appear by				Situs		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Towns	ship	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
3.	44 07 - Av	von	0625312007		RES	21020368	Letter		ERIC A JUDY A ANDERSON COTTEES	330 DORCHESTER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	45 07 - Av	von	0625312011		RES	21020369	Letter		CHRISTOPHERSEN, GLEN	314 DORCHESTER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	46 07 - Av	von	0625312021		RES	21019788	Letter		CACHOLA, OLIVER M	958 BRAYMORE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	47 07 - Av	von	0625313001		RES	21016237	Letter		FAROOQ, SAAD	357 DORCHESTER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3-	48 07 - Av	von	0625313007		RES	21019850	Letter		SWAJKOWSKI, MATTHEW	331 DORCHESTER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	49 07 - Av	von	0625313012		RES	21019851	Letter		OAS, CAROLINE M	352 BUCKINGHAM DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	50 07 - Av	von	0625317008	27-Sep-21	RES	21020528			PEARSON, PATRICK DONNA	351 CAMBRIDGE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	51 07 - Av	von	0625317030		RES	21020021	Letter	No Contest	MOURTOKOKIS, PETER JENNA	175 CAMBRIDGE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	52 07 - Av	von	0625317034		RES	21020128	Letter	No Contest	GAAFAR, JAMES CHRISTINA	93 CAMBRIDGE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	53 07 - Av	von	0625318014		RES	21020804	Letter		RODRIGUEZ, CYNTHIA A	326 CLAREWOOD CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	54 07 - Av	von	0625319011		RES	21020062	Letter		SVANS, DIGNA	311 CLAREWOOD CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	55 07 - Av	von	0625321001		RES	21020846	Letter		MARVIN, CHRISTOPHER C	242 BRAXTON WAY		GRAYSLAKE							
3	56 07 - Av	von	0625321003		RES	21019796	Letter		MICHAEL W LINDA L HOUGHTON, TRUSTEES	258 BRAXTON WAY		GRAYSLAKE							
3.	57 07 - Av	von	0626101025		RES	21020752	Letter		HUSSAIN, AZRA	354 HEATHER AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
3	58 07 - Av	von	0626107002		RES	21020833	Letter		SKWIOT, ROMAN	211 HIGHLAND RD		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	,	1	BOR Land			Change			
D	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
244	22 5 24	11 000	74.05	02.72	11.00	74.057	02.720		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
344	22-Sep-21	11,882	71,85	7 83,739	9 11,882	71,857	83,739		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
345	23-Sep-21	16,174	75,84	92,020	16,174	75,846	92,020		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
343	25-3ep-21	10,174	75,64	92,020	16,172	1 /5,640	92,020		o reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
346	22-Sep-21	13,910	74,68	88,593	13,910	74,683	88,593		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
340	22-3ep-21	13,310	74,00	3 88,33.	13,510	74,083	88,333		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
347	22-Sep-21	14,460	68,94	2 83,402	14,460	68,942	83,402		0 reduction.		
3-17		11,100	00,34	33, 402		00,542	33,132		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
348	23-Sep-21	13,596	75,19	88,795	13,596	75,199	88,795		0 acceptable range.		
	•		,	,	·	,			N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
349	23-Sep-21	12,961	74,96	87,92	1 12,961	74,960	87,921		0 acceptable range.		
	·									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sale Not Within Proper Time Frame - The sales of the subject property is not	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									within an acceptable time frame to be considered a good indication of the market	decision plus the application of appropriate	
350	21-Oct-21	16,029	69,79	4 85,823	16,029	69,794	85,823		0 value for the assessment.	township factor(s).	
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
351		15,960	77,88	93,845	5 15,960	75,697	91,657	-2,18	8 reduction is warranted.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
352		15,359	81,81	97,175	5 15,359	71,299	86,658	-10,51	7 reduction is warranted.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
353	28-Sep-21	16,807	76,78	93,589	9 16,807	76,782	93,589		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
254	22 5 24	45.053	05.20	1111111	45.053	05.264	444 242		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
354	23-Sep-21	15,952	95,36	1 111,313	3 15,952	95,361	111,313		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
355	28-Sep-21	15,263	72,48	87,743	3 15,263	72,480	87,743		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
333	20-3eh-21	15,203	72,48	07,74	15,203	72,480	67,743		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
356	23-Sep-21	14,451	79,76	94,213	3 14,451	1 79,762	94,213		0 reduction.		
330	23-36p°21	14,431	73,70	24,21	14,40	73,702	34,213		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
357	21-Oct-21	10,429	67,59	4 78,023	3 10,429	67,594	78,023		0 reduction.		
						1.,25	,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
358	28-Sep-21	9,410	68,23	77,645	9,410	68,235	77,645		0 reduction.		
358	28-Sep-21	9,410	68,23	77,645	9,410	68,235	77,645				

													TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total	Date Decided
					Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID		Γownship	PIN	Review Date			Letter	No Contest		Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
3	359 0	07 - Avon	0626107021		RES	21016238	Letter		DUFFIN, JODY L	242 BONNIE BRAE AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
4	360 0	07 - Avon	0626110001		RES	21020834	Letter		YOUNG, ALAN	259 BRIARGATE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	361 0	07 - Avon	0626110004		RES	21020741	Letter		HUDAK, HARALD	277 BRIARGATE DR		GRAYSLAKE							4
2	262 0	07 - Avon	0626111043		RES	21020743	Lottor		HOCIN, JAMES	256 NORMANDY LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	302 0	J7 - AVOII	0020111043		NLO	21020743	Letter		TIOCIN, JAIVIES	230 NORIVIANDI LIV		GRATSLAKE							
3	363 0	07 - Avon	0626111072		RES	21020489	Letter		OMALLEY WILSON, MELISSA	658 STUART ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	264		0000111070		DEC	24020747			WORDEN NATALIE	CEO CTILART CT		CD AVCLAVE							
3	364 0	07 - Avon	0626111073		RES	21020747	Letter		WORDEN, NATALIE	652 STUART ST		GRAYSLAKE							
2	365 0	07 - Avon	0626112001	27-Sep-21	RES	21019836	Letter		BLOCK, RYAN KORRI	657 LAKE ST		GRAYSLAKE				17,879	62,113	79,992	,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0020112001	27 366 21		22023000	Lotto.			037 2 1112 01		O.U. (102) title				27,073	02,220	73,332	
									RODRIGUEZ HERNANDEZ,										
3	366 0	07 - Avon	0626113011		RES	21020373	Letter		YAZMIN A	624 KENILWORTH ST		GRAYSLAKE							
_									ALICIA RAMIREZ FERNANDO										
3	36/ 0	07 - Avon	0626114004	27-Sep-21	RES	21020563			GARCIA	611 KENILWORTH ST		GRAYSLAKE				9,090	47,571	56,661	4
3	368 0	07 - Avon	0626115009	27-Sep-21	RES	21020533	Letter		BECK, JEFFREY	430 BRIARGATE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	369 0)7 - Avon	0626116003		RES	21019891	Letter		RICHARDSON, MICHAEL	435 BRIARGATE DR		GRAYSLAKE							4
	270 0	07 - Avon	0626205008	27-Sep-21	DEC	21019997	Lottor		MANNING, MOLLY	542 QUAIL CREEK DR		GRAYSLAKE				6,240	30,390	36,630	
3	370 0	J7 - AVUII	0020203008	27-3ep-21	NES	21019997	Letter		IVIANNING, IVIOLLY	542 QUAIL CREEK DK		GRATSLAKE				0,240	30,390	30,030	
3	371 0	07 - Avon	0626205037		RES	21019016	Letter		PASENELLI, LORI A	557 QUAIL CREEK DR		GRAYSLAKE							
3	3/2 0	07 - Avon	0626207008		RES	21020157	Letter		WELCH, MIRANDA	634 DOVE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
3	373 0	07 - Avon	0626209013		RES	21017668	Letter		LEIST, DARRIN ROBERT	562 CHARD CT		GRAYSLAKE							
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
3	374 0	07 - Avon	0626210022		RES	21020010	Letter		GODOVSKIY, RUSLAN	470 MERRILL LN		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date				AV	BOR Bldg AV		-	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
359			68,394	77,990	9,596		58,994		Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
360	28-Sep-21	7,935	60,795	68,730	7,935	60,795	68,730	0	reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
361	28-Sep-21	8,657	55,772	64,429	8,657	55,772	64,429	0	reduction.		
	·								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
362	28-Sep-21	10,429	44,348	54,777	10,429	44,348	54,777	0	reduction.		
	•								N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
363	23-Sep-21	9,379	60,752	70,131	9,379	60,752	70,131		acceptable range.		
			,	,			,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
364	28-Sep-21	9.378	71,191	80,569	9,378	71,191	80,569		reduction.		
						, -	,		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
365		17,879	70,155	88,034	17,879	62,113	79,992		Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
			,	55,55		52,220	,	-	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
366	23-Sep-21	9,596	54,495	64,091	9,596	54,495	64,091		reduction.		
	20 0ch 21	3,330	3 1, 133	0.,032	3,330	5 1, 155	0.,032		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
367		9,090	52,933	62,023	9,090	47,571	56,661		Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
307		3,030	32,333	02,023	3,030	47,371	30,001	-	N/C. Sale Not Within Proper Time Frame - The sales of the subject property is not		
									within an acceptable time frame to be considered a good indication of the market		
368	21-Oct-21	7,935	41,899	49,834	7,935	41,899	49,834		value for the assessment.		
300	21 000 21	7,333	11,033	13,031	7,555	41,033	13,031		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
369	23-Sep-21	8,235	55,091	63,326	8,235	55,091	63,326		acceptable range.		
309	25-5εμ-21	0,233	33,031	03,320	0,233	33,031	03,320		Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
370		6,240	38,717	44,957	6,240	30,390	36,630		Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
370		0,240	30,717	44,557	0,240	30,330	30,030	-	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
371	23-Sep-21	6,240	39,570	45,810	6,240	39,570	45,810		acceptable range.		
3/1	23-3ep-21	0,240	39,370	43,610	0,240	39,370	45,610		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
372	23-Sep-21	6,252	34,475	40,727	6,252	34,475	40,727		reduction.		
3/2	23-3ep-21	0,232	34,473	40,727	0,252	34,473	40,727		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
272	22 Cor 21	4 021	21 000	26 517	4 021	21 000	26 517		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
373	23-Sep-21	4,821	31,696	36,517	4,821	31,696	36,517		acceptable range. Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
274	22 500 24	12.475	01 242	04.740	12 475	70 504	00.036		·		
374	23-Sep-21	13,475	81,243	94,718	13,475	76,561	90,036	-4,682	application of the appropriate township factor(s).	<u> </u>	

													TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
														TWP AV Bldg		Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
					Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Tov	wnship	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	375 07 -	- Avon	0626214001	23-Sep-21	RES	21019621			JAMES MATSAS	855 SHEFFIELD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
		-																	
	376 07 -	- Avon	0626218087		RES	21016239	Letter		TAKESHI CHIKAZAWA TTEE	547 SHAKESPEARE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	377 07 -	- Avon	0626219077		RES	21015388	Letter		EKATERINA POPOW	732 DILLON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	378 07 -	- Avon	0626300006		сом	21019115			401 CENTER STREET LLC	401 CENTER ST		GRAYSLAKE	105,568	344,387	449,955				
	379 07 -	- Avon	0626302018		RES	21019941	Letter		TAMWORTH LLC 366 SLUSSER	366 SLUSSER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	380 07 -	- Avon	0626305001		RES	21019950	Letter		TAMWORTH LLC 281 SLUSSER	281 SLUSSER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	381 07 -	- Avon	0626312015		сом	21020556			BECKORD, CHRISTOPHER M	454 CENTER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	382 07 -	- Avon	0626312016		сом	21020556			BECKORD, CHRISTOPHER M	454 CENTER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	383 07 -	- Avon	0626312022		сом	21020556			•	0 HAWLEY ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	384 07 -	- Avon	0626400047		IND	21017185			COMPX SECURITY PRODUCTS INC	715 CENTER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	385 07 -	- Avon	0626401001	4-Oct-21	СОМ	21019814			VITKIN, MARINA	430 BARRON BLVD		GRAYSLAKE	31,111	53,261	84,372				6-Oct-21
									RALPH WESTFIELD ELENA										
	386 07 -	- Avon	0626401006		RES	21016240	Letter		LARCO	340 BARRON BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
	387 07 -	- Avon	0626402014		СОМ	21020777		No Contest	55 N BARRON BLVD, LLC	55 BARRON BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
	388 07 -		0626407031		RES	21020718				767 WALTON LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	389 07 -	- Avon	0626407049		RES	21019678	Letter		THOMAS, CHRISTOPHER	234 BANBURY LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	369 07	AVUIT	0020407043		IXLJ	21013078	Letter		THOMAS, CHRISTOFFIER	234 DANBORT LIN		GIATSLAKE							
	390 07 -	- Avon	0626407065		RES	21018199	Letter		LYTHCKE, DENISE M	273 BANBURY LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	391 07 -	- Avon	0626407079		RES	21020758	Letter		REED, SCOTT B	872 LANGLEY CT		GRAYSLAKE							

ID	Hearing Date		Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
וט	nearing Date	AV	AV	TOLAI AV	AV	DON DIUG AV	AV	Amount	DON Fillulings neason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOK Fillulings Reason 5
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	N/C. Not A Typical Sale - It is the opinion of the	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	Board that the sale of this property was atypical	
375	21-Oct-21	13,475	63,266	76,741	13,475	63,266	76,741	(0 acceptable range.	and not a good indicator of its market value.	
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
376	22-Sep-21	9,753	54,768	64,521	9,753	54,768	64,521	(reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
377	22-Sep-21	9,585	54,675	64,260	9,585	54,675	64,260	(0 from the subject.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
378	22-Oct-21	105,568	433,750	539,318	105,568	344,387	449,955	-89,363	3 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
379	23-Sep-21	8,281	55,388	63,669	8,281	55,388	63,669	(0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
380	23-Sep-21	8,281	53,553	61,834	8,281	53,553	61,834	(0 acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
381	27-Oct-21	23,704	30,444	54,148	13,038	16,745	29,783	-24,36	5 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
382	27-Oct-21	23,790	3,601	27,391	13,085	1,981	15,066	-12,32	5 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
383	27-Oct-21	15,394	0	15,394	8,468	0	8,468	-6,92	6 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
384	22-Oct-21	1,178,981	951,184	2,130,165	1,178,981	820,819	1,999,800	-130,36	5 reduction is warranted.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
385	14-Oct-21	31,111	71,862	102,973	31,111	53,261	84,372	-18,60	1 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
386	23-Sep-21	9,384	68,722	78,106	9,384	68,722	78,106	(0 reduction.		
20-		22.012	407.500	120 5=2	22.010	00.000	422.005	40.50	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
387		32,040					128,986	•	6 reduction is warranted.		
388	28-Sep-21	13,361	100,888	114,249	13,361	97,462	110,823	-3,420	6 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
200	22.5 21	14 (12	02.050	00.530	14 (12	02.050	00.570		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
389	23-Sep-21	14,612	83,958	98,570	14,612	83,958	98,570		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
390	23-Sep-21	13,368	95,623	108,991	13,368	95,623	109 001	,	properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
390	25-5ep-21	13,368	95,623	108,991	13,368	95,623	108,991				
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
201	20 Can 21	16 007	00 E 40	106 426	16 007	90 F40	106 426		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
391	28-Sep-21	16,887	89,549	106,436	16,887	89,549	106,436	(0 reduction.		

											TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg		BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date Class	·	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	(Settlement Offer)
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date Class	s case NO	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Onit	Situs City	Offer						
3:	92 07 - Avon	0626409006	RES	21013	34 Letter		TEVERBAUGH, JESSE W	375 BANBURY LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3	93 07 - Avon	0626410026	RES	21020	50		MAZZA, MICHAEL F	282 BANBURY LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	93 07 - AVOII	0020410020	RLS	21020	50		IVIAZZA, IVIICITALL F	202 BAINBORT LIN		GRATSLARL							
3:	94 07 - Avon	0627101003	RES	21020	49 Letter		BOOS, JOHN P	698 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
3	95 07 - Avon	0627101008	RES	21015	57 Letter		THOMAS J MOTTOLA, TRUSTEE	630 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
3:	96 07 - Avon	0627101041	RES	21017	29		DEBRINE, KRISTA L	388 DEER CROSSING CT		HAINESVILLE							
2	97 07 - Avon	0627102001	RES	21020	90 Letter		ALIEE DVAN I	715 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
3:	97 U7 - AVUII	0627102001	RES	21020	90 Letter		ALIFF, RYAN J	/15 WEST IKLIN		GRATSLARE							
3:	98 07 - Avon	0627102030	RES	21019	26 Letter		ALBERT, AUBREY L	470 JENNIFER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
3:	99 07 - Avon	0627102034	RES	21020	37		BLEIER, MICHAEL B	434 JENNIFER LN		GRAYSLAKE							
4	00 07 - Avon	0627102054	RES	21018	00 Letter		MORRISSEY, MARILYN	497 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
4	01 07 - Avon	0627105010	RES	21020	50 Letter		TURNER, DEREK	896 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
4	02 07 - Avon	0627105015	RES	21019	05 Letter		REVELLE, T	502 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
		06276272			40			706 111507 771 11		GD AVGL : VI							
4	03 07 - Avon	0627105030	RES	21020	10		LASALLE BANK	726 WEST TRL N		GRAYSLAKE							
4	04 07 - Avon	0627105040	RES	21020	72		HARNESS, ELIZABETH B MORENO, IVAAN MARILU	296 SANCTUARY CT		HAINESVILLE							
4	05 07 - Avon	0627105046	24-Sep-21 RES	21020	29 Letter		CARABALLO	356 SANCTUARY CT		HAINESVILLE				11,191	53,803	64,994	i

ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	_	Current Total AV	BOR Land	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
									N/C Calas Cannas The Bassella manageribility in the analysis of similar	comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
392	23-Sep-21	15,271	87,191	102,462	15,271	87,191	102,462		0 reduction.	range.	
	20 00p 21	10)272	0.,202	102,102	20,272	07,232	102) 102		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	i anger	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
393	28-Sep-21	16,191	79,789	95,980	16,191	79,789	95,980		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
394	23-Sep-21	18,962	99,238	118,200	18,962	99,238	118,200		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
205	22.5 24	10.063	02.242	111 205	40.003	02.242	444 205		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
395	23-Sep-21	18,962	92,343	111,305	18,962	92,343	111,305		0 reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
396	27-Sep-21	11,418	84,914	96,332	11,418	84,914	96,332		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
	·	,	,	,	,	ŕ	,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
397	28-Sep-21	18,255	91,861	110,116	18,255	91,861	110,116		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
398	23-Sep-21	23,488	111,551	135,039	23,488	111,551	135,039		0 acceptable range.	N/C D: DODD :: The	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
399	27-Sep-21	18,133	85,926	104,059	18,133	85,926	104,059		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
555	27 00p 21	10,100	03,320	10 1,000	20,200	03,320	20 1,000		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	to mismp ractor(o).	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
400	24-Sep-21	16,151	91,831	107,982	16,151	91,831	107,982		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
401	24-Sep-21	19,848	93,390	113,238	19,848	93,390	113,238		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
402	24.50= 21	10 710	70.004	94,783	16.719	70.004	04 703		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
402	24-Sep-21	16,719	78,064	94,783	16,719	78,064	94,783		o reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
403	27-Sep-21	15,839	79,822	95,661	15,839	79,822	95,661		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
404	21-Oct-21	11,131	64,119	75,250	11,131	64,119	75,250		0 change in assessment.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
405		11,191	67,644	78,835	11,191	53,803	64,994	-13,8	41 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV Total	Date Decided
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	(Settlement Offer)
ID .	Township	FIIN	neview Date	Class	Case NO	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Offic	Situs City	Offer	Ollei	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offerj
406	07 - Avon	0627105047		RES	21015577	Lottor		MOLITOR, JEFFERY T	366 SANCTUARY CT		HAINESVILLE							
	07 - Avon	0627105051		RES	21013577			TOMAN, JOHN	382 SANCTUARY CT		HAINESVILLE							
								,										
408	07 - Avon	0627106004		RES	21020490	Letter		CONNET, BARBARA F	346 DEER LAKE DR		HAINESVILLE							
409	07 - Avon	0627106005		RES	21018640) Letter		KELLY L ANDERSON NICHOLAS A NILSSON	356 DEER LAKE DR		HAINESVILLE							
410	07 - Avon	0627106010		RES	21017255	Letter		KATHREIN, JOHN M	400 WHITE TAIL DR		HAINESVILLE							
411	. 07 - Avon	0627107023		RES	21020782	! Letter		MARTINEZ, DONNA M	302 HUNTERS WAY		HAINESVILLE							
412	07 - Avon	0627110012		RES	21018643	Letter		KIM, PETER	347 BIG HORN DR		HAINESVILLE							
413	07 - Avon	0627110013		RES	21020880)		MURPHY, LISA A	357 BIG HORN DR		HAINESVILLE							
414	07 - Avon	0627111005		RES	21020453	Letter		CECIL, WILLIAM	357 SANCTUARY CT		HAINESVILLE							
415	07 - Avon	0627201017		RES	21013239	Letter		CASIMIR G KSIAZEK, III TTEE	32 HILLSIDE AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
416	07 - Avon	0627204015		RES	21020015	Letter		SCHUMACHER, JONATHAN M	575 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
417	' 07 - Avon	0627204022		RES	21020329	Letter	No Contest	BAUMKIRCHNER, JAMES A	699 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
418	07 - Avon	0627204027		RES	21016241	Letter		BROWN, SCOTT A	639 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
419	07 - Avon	0627205004		RES	21016242	! Letter		SHANNON, DARCY	276 WILLOWBY CT		GRAYSLAKE							
420	07 - Avon	0627205016		RES	21016243	Letter		JOSEPH P MARY E ROYSTON, TRUSTEES	815 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							

		Commont I and	Commont Blds	Commont	BOR Land		DOD Tatal	Change			
D	Hearing Date	Current Land AV		Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
406 407	24-Sep-21 24-Sep-21	11,222 10,805	63,543 84.822					0.01	O reduction.		
407	24-3ep-21	10,603	04,022	95,027	10,603	70,008	00,015	-0,01	L4 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
408	24-Sep-21	11,008	69,513	80,521	11,008	69,513	80,521		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a reduction.	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
409	24-Sep-21	11,008	64,782	75,790	11,008	64,782	75,790		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
410	24-Sep-21	11,008	67,569	78,577	11,008	67,569	78,577		0 reduction.		
110	24 3CP 21	11,000	07,303	70,311	11,000	01,303	70,377		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
411	28-Sep-21	10,691	68,182	78,873	10,691	68,182	78,873		0 reduction.		
412	24-Sep-21	10,602	86,294	96,896	10,602	76,211	86,813	-10,08	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
										comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C Dries BOD Decision. The proceed accomment reflects a prior Decision.	assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
413	20-Oct-21	10,602	79,775	90,377	10,602	79,775	90,377		N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review 0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	range.	
713	20 000 21	10,002	75,775	30,377	10,002	13,113	30,377		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	runge.	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
414	24-Sep-21	11,196	74,627	85,823	11,196	74,627	85,823		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
415	23-Sep-21	10,233	56,546	66,779	10,233	56,546	66,779		0 reduction.		
416	23-Sep-21	17,552	78,633	96,185	17,552	71,683	89,235	6.05	50 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
410	23-3ep-21	17,332	76,033	30,103	17,332	71,063	65,233	-0,53	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
417	23-Sep-21	16,819	76,065	92,884	16,819	71,558	88,377	-4.50	77 reduction is warranted.		
			,			,	20,011	.,	N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
418	23-Sep-21	16,036	71,538	87,574	16,036	71,538	87,574		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
							222		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
419	23-Sep-21	18,274	90,475	108,749	18,274	90,475	108,749		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
420	23-Sep-21	19,171	90,683	109,854	19,171	90,683	109,854		o reduction.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement				Settlement	(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date		Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	421 07 - Avon	0627205029		RES	21015384	Letter		RUDOLPH, RICK M	707 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
								,										
	422.07. Aven	0627205020		RES	21010027	Lottor		LISA M JOSEPH G MCKERNAN,	CEO MATEREORD DR		CDAVCLAKE							
	422 07 - Avon	0627205038		NES	21016937	Letter		TRUSTEES	659 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	423 07 - Avon	0627205039		RES	21016938	Letter		KOZIOL, DONNA M	671 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	424 07 - Avon	0627205051		RES	21020454	Letter		GIERNOTH, WALTER R	206 PRAIRIEVIEW AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	425 07 - Avon	0627206002		RES	21020456	Letter		KOHRMAN, JAMES H	872 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	426 07 - Avon	0627206004		RES	21020458	Letter		CUMMINGS, TRACY MARK	859 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	427 07 - Avon	0627206008		RES	21019028	Letter		SMYTH, MELISSA	854 DEEP WOODS CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	428 07 - Avon	0627206025		RES	21020859			OKEEFE, THOMAS	764 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
								MARSHALL, GREGORY S										
	429 07 - Avon	0627208001		RES	21016939	Letter		AMANDA M	291 GALWAY ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	430 07 - Avon	0627208005		RES	21020692			KNAPP, STEVEN H	783 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	431 07 - Avon	0627208010		RES	21020762	Letter		KURZENBERGER, ANDREAS	279 GALWAY ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	432 07 - Avon	0627208013		RES	21020462	Letter		GRIFFIN, HUGH F	243 GALWAY ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	433 07 - Avon	0627208026		RES	21020763	Letter		ORIGER, PAUL MICHAEL	632 WATERFORD DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	434 07 - Avon	0627209002		RES	21009815	Letter		KRICKL, JOHN J	731 BROOKSTONE RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	435 07 - Avon	0627209008	27-Sep-21	RES	21020140	Letter		KLEIN, ALAN H	671 BROOKSTONE RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	436 07 4	0627200024		DEC	21010042	Letter		BAYSINGER, JOSEPH J	7EQ ALLECHANIVED		CDAVCLAVE							
	436 07 - Avon	0627209021		RES	21016943	Letter		NATALIE M	758 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							

Board of Review Meeting Report 11/4/2021 Page 55

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
421	. 23-Sep-21	16,324	75,511	91,835	16,324	75,511	91,835		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
422	23-Sep-21	19,359	107,307	126,666	19,359	107,307	126,666		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
423	23-Sep-21	17,553	93,370	110,923	17,553	93,370	110,923		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
424	23-Sep-21	19,103	93,899	113,002	19,103	93,899	113,002		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
425	24-Sep-21	15,547	88,466	104,013	15,547	88,466	104,013		0 reduction.		
426	23-Sep-21	17,552	105,895	123,447	17,552	76,772	94,324	-29,1	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
427	23-Sep-21	19,034	96,862	115,896	19,034	96,862	115,896		0 acceptable range.		
428	20-Oct-21	18,161	87,278	105,439	18,161	84,496	102,657	-2,7	782 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
429	23-Sep-21	18,102	97,014	115,116	18,102	97,014	115,116		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
430	20-Oct-21	15,529	94,802	110,331	15,529	94,802	110,331		0 reduction.		
431	. 28-Sep-21	15,662	88,819	104,481	15,662	85,661	101,323	-3,1	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
432	24-Sep-21	15,903	107,097	123,000	15,903	107,097	123,000		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
433	28-Sep-21	15,868	95,120	110,988	15,868	95,120	110,988		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
434	24-Sep-21	15,547	75,731	91,278	15,547	75,731	91,278		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
435	21-Oct-21	15,547	82,886	98,433	15,547	82,886	98,433		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
436	24-Sep-21	14,327	80,167	94,494	14,327	80,167	94,494		0 reduction.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
				D		A				C:4		Land	TWP AV Bldg		Land	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	Offer	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	(Settlement Offer)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,																	
	427.07. A.z.	0627244044		DEC	24020465	1 -44		MALAYIL TIBABAY	EZ LUCIU AND DD		CDAVCLAKE							
	437 07 - Avon	0627211011		RES	21020465	Letter		KALAYIL, TIMMY	57 HIGHLAND RD		GRAYSLAKE							
								SAMUEL B GIROUARD										
	438 07 - Avon	0627211014		RES	21010429	Letter			75 HIGHLAND RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	439 07 - Avon	0627211017		RES	21020806	Letter		BARCENAS, CANDELARIA	93 HIGHLAND RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	433 07 AVOII	0027211017		INES	21020000	Letter		DARCEIVAS, CAIVDELANIA	55 MIGHEAND ND		GNATSEARE							
	440 07 - Avon	0627211027	23-Sep-21	RES	21019998	Letter		FLOJ LLC	0 WHISPERING OAKS LN		GRAYSLAKE				5,000	0	5,000	
	441 07 - Avon	0627212006		RES	21020129	Letter	No Contest	CARRILLO, JAIME JORDAN C	44 HIGHLAND RD		GRAYSLAKE							
								·										
	442 07 - Avon	0627212014		RES	21016944	Letter		ZIELKE, SUSAN S	715 HIGHLAND CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	442 07 AVOII	0027212014		INES	21010344	Letter		ZIELKE, JOSAN J	713 HIGHEARD CI		GIATSEAKE							
	443 07 - Avon	0627300014		RES	21018407	Letter		VIP HOLDINGS, CORP 7 VS MORTGAGE INVESTMENT	BELVIDERE RD		HAINESVILLE							
	444 07 - Avon	0627300018		RES	21020182	Letter			21895 BELVIDERE RD		HAINESVILLE							
	445 07 - Avon	0627301007		RES	21020764	Letter		REILY, DANIEL L	420 HARVEY AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	446 07 - Avon	0627302013		RES	21018644	Letter		BLACK, MARY K	283 WEST TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	140 07 70011	0027302013		ILLO	21010011	Letter		DETICITY IVIII	203 WEST THE		SIV (132) (IC							
	447.07. 4	0027202040		DEC	21010245	Latter		VANILAEKE JOYCE	C ALLECHANIVED		CDAVCLARE							
	447 07 - Avon	0627302048		RES	21018345	Letter		VAN LAEKE, JOYCE	6 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	448 07 - Avon	0627302051		RES	21018202	Letter		WHITE, CHERYL	165 PARKER DR		GRAYSLAKE							
								LINDA WEGGE SLIPKE ROBERT										
	449 07 - Avon	0627303009		RES	21017281	Letter		L SLIPKE,	402 WEST TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	450 07 - Avon	0627303031		RES	21015306	Letter		CHANDRAN, RAJ	116 WEST TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	451 07 - Avon	0627303044		RES	21020468	Letter		ROBERT J BLAKLEY, TRUSTEE	35 CARIBOU DR		HAINESVILLE							

ID	Hearing Date	Current Land AV	•	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
437	24-Sep-21	15,547	85,653	101,200	15,547	85,653	101,200		0 reduction.		
438	23-Sep-21	13,644	91,418	105,062	13,644	91,418	105,062		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.	
439	28-Sep-21	15,735	87,713	103,448	15,735	87,713	103,448		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
		-,		,					Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
440		9,287	0	9,287	5,000	0	5,000	-4,28	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
441		12,961	104,242	117,203	12,961	96,361	109,322	-7,88	31 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
442	23-Sep-21	18,162	83,796	101,958	18,162	83,796	101,958		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
443	21-Sep-21	19,548	51,184	70,732	19,548	51,184	70,732		0 from the subject.		
444	21-Oct-21	10,621	0	10,621	10,621	0	10,621		N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a 0 change in assessment.		
	21 000 21	10,021		10,021	20,022		10,021		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
445	28-Sep-21	8.469	79,541	88,010	8.469	79.541	88,010		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
446	23-Sep-21	18,034	99,004	,	,		,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
447	23-Sep-21	20,129	103,454	123,583	20,129	103,454	123,583		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
448	23-Sep-21	18,624	110,533	129,157	18,624	110,533	129,157		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
	·		·		·				N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
449	23-Sep-21	18,034	82,622	100,656	18,034	82,622	100,656		0 acceptable range.		
450	23-Sep-21	22,220	85,895	108,115	22,220	85,895	108,115		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered insufficient to warrant a reduction.	
451	24-Sep-21	11,724	75,832	87,556	11,724	75,832	87,556		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		

													TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
					Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement		1 -	Settlement		(Settlement
ID	-	Township	PIN	Review Date			Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	452 (07 - Avon	0627303051		RES	21018645	Letter		GAGNON, STEVEN M	370 BUCK DR		HAINESVILLE							
	453 (07 - Avon	0627303052		RES	21015578	Letter		SCHAEFER, KIMBERLY A	378 BUCK DR		HAINESVILLE							
	454 (07 - Avon	0627305009		RES	21016244	Letter		REIM, JOHN A	528 KELLY AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	455	07 4	0627200000		DEC	24045224	1 -44	No Comboot	KATONA BRIANIK	72 LICK DD		LIAINEC\/IIIE							
		07 - Avon	0627308008 0627308021		RES RES	21015321 21012389			KATONA, BRIAN K	72 LISK DR 228 LISK DR		HAINESVILLE HAINESVILLE							
	450 (07 - Avon	0627308021		KES	21012389	Letter		DORLACK, MICHAEL R WILLIAM BRUSENBACH	228 LISK DK		HAINESVILLE							
	457 (07 - Avon	0627308038	23-Sep-21	RES	21012289			BRITTANY HANSARD	133 BRITTANY LN		HAINESVILLE							
	737	or Avoil	0027300030	25 5cp 21	INES	21012203			DITTANT HANSAILD	133 DITTARTER		TIAINESVILLE							
	458	07 - Avon	0627308046		RES	21010695	Letter		PALAZZOLO, CHRISTOPHER F	41 BRITTANY LN		HAINESVILLE							
	459 (07 - Avon	0627308051		RES	21017391			PATEL, PIYUSH	11 BRITTANY LN		HAINESVILLE							
	460	07 - Avon	0627313001		RES	21014876	Letter		SOTO, LINDA	307 BUCK DR		HAINESVILLE							
		07 - Avon	0627313010		RES	21020613			SOTIROVIC, RACHAEL	328 KATHERINE DR		HAINESVILLE							
	462 (07 - Avon	0627316005		RES	21020410	Letter		THR PROPERTY ILLINOIS LP	243 LITTLETON TRL		HAINESVILLE							
									VLAD WOOD SUC TTEE										
	162 (07 - Avon	0627400006		RES	21020495	Lottor		ELIZABETH WOOD TRUST	1 HARVEY AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	403 (U7 - AVUII	0027400000		NLO	21020493	Letter		LLIZABLITI WOOD IKOSI	I HARVLI AVL		GRATSLAKL							
	464	07 - Avon	0627400046		RES	21016467	Letter		JOHNSON, LYNN H	81 HARVEY AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
	465 (07 - Avon	0627401007		RES	21016470			OCONNOR, MARTIN C	336 MITCHELL DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	466 (07 - Avon	0627401019		RES	21020808	Letter		NARANJO, ALMA D	357 WOODLAND DR		GRAYSLAKE							
									JOHNSON FAMILY REVOCABLE										
	467 (07 - Avon	0627406022		RES	21020855	Letter		TRUST	26 HARVEY AVE		GRAYSLAKE							4
	160	07 - Avon	0627407030		RES	21019149	Letter		BUSSE, DANIEL D	142 AUGUSTA ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	400	or - Avoii	0027407030		NEO	21019149	Letter		BUSSE, DAINIEL D	142 AUGUSTA ST		GRATSLAKE							
	469 (07 - Avon	0627407031		RES	21020431			MARAIST FAMILY TRUST	136 MAY ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	.05	7.1.UII	1027 107 001			22020 101						J. 3 1102 1112							
ı																			
	470	07 - Avon	0627409011		RES	21019025	Letter		ELADIA A SURIS, TRUSTEE	172 BURTON ST		GRAYSLAKE							

ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
452			63,943				66,993		17 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.	DON'T MAINES NEASON E	Box Findings Reason's
453						-	82,672		64 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.		
				,	.,	, -	- /-		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
454	23-Sep-21	21,788	135,544	157,332	21,788	135,544	157,332		0 reduction.		
				,			•		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
455		10,602	77,654	88,256	10,602	76,309	86,911	-1,34	reduction is warranted.		
456	24-Sep-21		76,973	87,575	10,602	72,070	82,672	-4,90	O3 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
457	21-Oct-21	11,328	66,088	77,416	11,328	62,331	73,659	-3,75	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
458	24-Sep-21	11,458	69,758	81,216	11,458	69,758	81,216		0 acceptable range.	warrant a reduction.	
459	27-Sep-21	11,771	62,742	74,513	11,771	60,579	72,350	-2,16	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
460	24-Sep-21	11,256	74,917	86,173	11,256	71,416	82,672	-3,50	O1 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
461			62,227	72,829			72,829		0 acceptable range.		
462	24-Sep-21	12,111	73,037	85,148	12,111	64,244	76,355	-8,79	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
463	23-Sep-21	10,515	63,821	74,336	10,515	63,821	74,336		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	by the appellant was considered insufficient to	
464	23-Sep-21	10,955	110,155	121,110	10,955	110,155	121,110		0 reduction.	warrant a reduction.	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
465	27.6 24	0.200	60.400	60.600	0.200	CO 400	CO COO		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
465			60,400				69,688		0 reduction.		
466	28-Sep-21	8,318	62,269	70,587	8,318	58,995	67,313	-3,27	74 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
467	29 San 21	8,784	54,012	62,796	8,784	54,012	62 706		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
407	28-Sep-21	0,784	54,012	02,790	0,784	34,012	62,796		0 acceptable range. N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
468	27-Sep-21	35,074	89,539	124,613	35,074	89,539	124,613		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
400	27-3ep-21	33,074	05,559	124,013	33,074	65,559	124,013		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
469	21-Oct-21	35,104	78,743	113,847	35,104	78,743	113,847		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
+03	21 000-21	33,104	70,743	113,047	33,104	70,743	113,047		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
470	23-Sep-21	8,281	59,616	67,897	8,281	59,616	67,897		0 acceptable range.		
-70		0,231	33,010	07,037	5,201	33,010	07,037			l	1

													TWP AV Bldg	Total	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg		Date Decided
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	Settlement Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	Offer	Settlement Offer	Offer	(Settlement Offer)
	Township	FIIV	iteview Date	Ciass	Case NO	Letter	NO Contest	Owner	Jitus Audress	Onit	Situs City	Offici	Offer	Offici	Offici	Offer	Offici	Ollery
<i>A</i> 1	71 07 - Avon	0627410022		RES	21019929			LANICH, DEBORAH	101 BURTON ST		GRAYSLAKE	19,194	130,522	149,716				
	71 07 71011	0027410022		INES	21013323			Davieri, Beboluar	TOT BORTON ST		GIV (13E) (IC	15,154	130,322	145,710				
4	72 07 - Avon	0627410041		RES	21019999	Letter	No Contest	SMITH, ROBERT C JR DEBRA L	22 HAWLEY CT		GRAYSLAKE							
4	73 07 - Avon	0627410085		RES	21012461	Letter		RANGA, SRI K	10 LAKE ST	UNIT 220	GRAYSLAKE							
4	74 07 - Avon	0627410086		RES	21020583	Letter		MILLER, ROBIN D	10 LAKE ST	UNIT 221	GRAYSLAKE							
4	75 07 - Avon	0627410098		RES	21012455	Letter		RANGA, SRI K	10 LAKE ST	UNIT 311	GRAYSLAKE							
4	76 07 - Avon	0627410145		RES	21020583	Letter		MILLER, ROBIN D	10 LAKE ST	UNIT P14	GRAYSLAKE							
4	77 07 - Avon	0627411005		RES	21019313	Letter		KAREN ZAWRAZKY TRUSTEE UTD 1/15/2016	41 WEST SHORE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
4	78 07 - Avon	0627411019		RES	21020162	Letter		CZAR, CRAIG A	235 WEST SHORE DR		GRAYSLAKE							
4	79 07 - Avon	0627413022		RES	21020309	Letter		THOMAS, DEAN G	57 GEORGE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
4:	30 07 - Avon	0627413023		RES	21019946	Letter		THOMAS, DEAN G	0 GEORGE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
4	31 07 - Avon	0628103017		RES	21014073	Letter		GORDILLO, RUBEN	426 CLIFTON DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
4:	32 07 - Avon	0628106014		RES	21019810	Letter		MARK A NEWMAN TTEE UTD 11/12/19	411 BELLEVUE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
4	33 07 - Avon	0628113021		RES	21020850	Letter		DURAN, CARMEN	318 CLIFTON DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
4	34 07 - Avon	0628116003	27-Sep-21	RES	21019801	Letter		RKC GROUP LLC	325 BELLEVUE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							
4	35 07 - Avon	0628118010		RES	21020674			PAFRALIDES, DESPINA	311 FOREST GLEN DR		ROUND LAKE PARK							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C Associational Common Afternous sizes of the common to	N/C. Prior PTAB Decision - The present	
									N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the	assessment reflects a prior Property Tax Appeal	
471	21-Oct-21	21,026	143,275	164,301	21,026	143,275	164,301		comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value 0 is not warranted.	appropriate township factor(s).	
4/1	21-001-21	21,026	145,275	164,501	21,026	145,275	164,501		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further	appropriate township factor(s).	
472		34,863	82,388	117,251	34,863	75,126	109,989	-7 26	2 reduction is warranted.		
7/2		34,003	02,300	117,231	34,003	73,120	103,303	7,20	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
473	24-Sep-21	3,601	41,714	45,315	3,601	41,714	45,315		0 reduction.		
		,,,,,	,	-,-	.,	,	-7		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
474	24-Sep-21	3,601	41,714	45,315	3,601	41,714	45,315		reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
475	24-Sep-21	3,601	41,714	45,315	3,601	41,714	45,315		0 reduction.		
									N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
476	24-Sep-21	36	0	36	36	0	36		0 change in assessment.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
477	27-Sep-21	29,033	89,103	118,136	29,033	89,103	118,136		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
470	22.6	20.477	442.740	122.406	20.477	112 710	122.106		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
478	23-Sep-21	20,477	112,719	133,196	20,477	112,719	133,196		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
479	27-Sep-21	32,432	204,449	236,881	32,432	204,449	236,881		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
4/3	27-3ep-21	32,432	204,443	230,001	32,432	204,443	230,081		o acceptable range.		
									N/C. Land Assessment Equitable - The Board has determined that a uniform		
480	27-Sep-21	32,922	0	32,922	32,922	0	32,922		0 methodology in the land assessment was utilized by the Assessor.		
		,		,	,				N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
481	21-Sep-21	6,043	42,964	49,007	6,043	42,964	49,007		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
482	21-Sep-21	5,420	26,496	31,916	5,420	26,496	31,916		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
483	28-Sep-21	6,272	33,678	39,950	6,272	33,678	39,950		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Not A Typical Sale - It is the opinion of the Board that the sale of this property		
484	21-Oct-21	7,227	14,648	21,875	7,227	14,648	21,875		0 was atypical and not a good indicator of its market value.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
405	20.5 24	7.440	20.467	27.540	7.440	20.467	27.640		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
485	28-Sep-21	7,143	30,467	37,610	7,143	30,467	37,610		0 acceptable range.		

ID	Township	PIN	Prop Review Date Class	perty s Case		Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement Offer	BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
48	6 07 - Avon	0628118016	RES	21	1014056	Letter		TUOHY, EDWARD	326 PROSPECT DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
48	37 07 - Avon	0628118028	RES	21	1015375	Letter		CERBERUS SFR HOLDINGS LP	320 ELDER DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
48	8 07 - Avon	0628120021	RES	21	1020020	letter		MON AMI REALTY LLC - RIYAN LLC	210 BRIERHILL DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
40	07 - AVOIT	0028120021	INES	21	1020020	Letter			210 BRIENTILE BR		NOOND LAKE FAIK					
48	9 07 - Avon	0628120026	RES	21	1018409	Letter		LUCERO MARTIN IRMA MARTIN VILLANUEVA	10 WILLOW DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
49	07 - Avon	0628123024	RES	21	1015920	Letter		REAL, JOSE	208 HIGHMOOR DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
49	07 - Avon	0628124005	RES	21	1011795	Letter		PANAITESCU, DRAGOS	217 HIGHMOOR DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
49	07 - Avon	0628126005	RES	21	1019792	Letter		KCRE LLC 311 ELDER DRIVE	311 ELDER DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
								EDWARD TUOHY								
49	03 07 - Avon	0628129010	RES	21	1013714	Letter			411 PARKVIEW CT		ROUND LAKE PARK					
49	04 07 - Avon	0628201055	RES	21	1020414	Letter		THR PROPERTY ILLINOIS LP	180 BIG HORN DR		HAINESVILLE					
49	05 07 - Avon	0628203044	RES	21	1016945	Letter		MOHICA, DEBBIE READER-	503 EMERSON LN		HAINESVILLE					
	07. 4	0620205006			101072	1 -44		DALADAD DENATO	160 TALL 04" 22		HAINECVIII E					
49	6 07 - Avon	0628205006	RES	21	1019724	Letter		BALADAD, RENATO MARK I BONNIE S	169 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE					
49	07 - Avon	0628205009	RES	21	1019705			EHRENBERG TRUSTEES	155 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE					
	08 07 - Avon	0628206018	RES		1020837	Letter		YI, YOUNG RAN	128 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE					
49	9 07 - Avon	0628206023	RES	21	1019707			DUBERSTEIN, GEORGE E	82 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE					
	1007 4	0.530305055			1045045			CALVEZ DAVED AA	54 744 044 00		HAINESWILE					
50	07 - Avon	0628206026	RES	21	1016946	Letter		GALVEZ, DAVID M	54 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE					

ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg AV	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
486	21-Sep-21	6,901	26,551	. 33,452	6,901	26,551	33,452		0 reduction.		
487	21-Sep-21	6,901	29,767	36,668	6,901	29,767	36,668		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
400	21 San 21	6 272	17 960	24 141	6 272	17.960	24 141		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
488	21-Sep-21	6,272	17,869	24,141	6,272	17,869	24,141		0 reduction. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
489	21-Sep-21	7,227	49,156	56,383	7,227	49,156	56,383		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
490	21-Sep-21	5,645	33,325	38,970	5,645	33,325	38,970		0 acceptable range.		
491	21-Sep-21	5,999	21,659	27,658	5,999	21,659	27,658		N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different 0 from the subject.		
491	21-3ερ-21	3,555	21,035	27,038	3,333	21,039	27,038		o nom the subject.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	
492	21-Sep-21	6.901	31,579	38,480	6.901	31,579	38,480		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.	assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.	
493					,		·		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.	
494	24-Sep-21	9,835	44,721	. 54,556	9,835	44,721	54,556		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
	·						·		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
495	24-Sep-21	9,109	64,029	73,138	9,109	64,029	73,138		0 reduction. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
496	24-Sep-21	11,735	73,337	85,072	11,735	73,337	85,072		0 reduction.		
497	27-Sep-21	11,442	66,651	. 78,093	11,442	60,218	71,660	-6,4	133 Comparables - The change is based on the submitted comparables.		
498	28-Sep-21	11,246	85,935	97,181	11,246	85,935	97,181		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered 0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
499			-					-7.3	344 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
		,			,				N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
500	24-Sep-21	11,299	75,542	86,841	11,299	75,542	86,841		0 reduction.		

													TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
					Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Tov	wnship	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	501 07	- Avon	0628206030		RES	21012137			ABRAHAM, MATHEWS	12 TALL OAK DR		HAINESVILLE							
	301 07	- AVOII	0028200030		INLO	21012137			ADIAHAW, WATTEWS	12 TALL OAK DIK		HAINESVILLE							
	502 07	- Avon	0628206116		RES	21020427	Letter		CRIZ, DAVID	567 TRIUMPH CT		HAINESVILLE							
	503 07	- Avon	0628209038		RES	21018066			WELLS, SHAUNA L	370 PATRIOT DR		HAINESVILLE							4
	504 07	- Avon	0628308006		СОМ	21020099			PINEVIEW APARTMENTS LLC HAINESVILLE	259 PINEVIEW DR		HAINESVILLE	79,440	165,935	245,375				
													,						
	505 07		0628407012 0628407029		RES RES	21018347			FRANK M SLOVNIK, III, TTEE			HAINESVILLE HAINESVILLE							4
	506 07	- Avon	0628407029		KES	21020004	Letter		QURESHI, ARIF	132 LITTLETON TRL		HAINESVILLE							
	507 07	- Avon	0628413010		RES	21020012	Letter		HEINZ, DEVIN	214 CENTENNIAL DR		HAINESVILLE							
	508 07	- Avon	0628416004		RES	21017299	Letter		CARRANZA, ANA B GUZMAN	181 CRANBERRY LAKE DR		HAINESVILLE							
	509 07	- Avon	0628416011		RES	21017317	Letter		KULLJANIN, CEDO	174 CLOVER CT		HAINESVILLE							
	303 07	AVOII	0020410011		IKLS	2101/31/	Letter		ROLLIANIN, CLDO	174 CLOVERCI		HAINESVILLE							
!	510 07	- Avon	0628417007		RES	21016869	Letter		DAVIS, GARY R	103 HOLIDAY LN		HAINESVILLE							
!	511 07	- Avon	0628418016		RES	21015270	Letter		FIGUEROA, JO G	97 CENTENNIAL DR		HAINESVILLE							
									ANAFRICAN LIONAEC A DENIT										
	512 07	- Avon	0628420009		RES	21020287	Letter		AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT PROP. FOUR, LLC	191 CENTENNIAL DR		HAINESVILLE							
	312 07	71011	0020420003		INES	21020207	Letter		ACE HARDWARE HOME	191 CENTENNIA DI		THEOTIEE							
	513 07	- Avon	0629100058		СОМ	21020753			CENTER OF ROUND LAKE	659 RAILROAD AVE		ROUND LAKE	241,498	395,026	636,524				
,	514 07	- Avon	0629205025		RES	21020171	Letter		CARPENTER, WILLIAM	401 CEDAR CREST CT		ROUND LAKE							
i i	-1.07		112323323			21020171													
	515 07	- Avon	0629209008		RES	21016328			SAN ROMAN, JOSE M	405 ALPINE DR		ROUND LAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
501	27-Sep-21	11,225	71,095	82,320	11,225	71,095	82,320		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
502	24-Sep-21	2,880	46,582	49,462	2,880	46,582	49,462		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
										comparables submitted indicates that the	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
503	27-Sep-21	2,880	53,314	56,194	2,880	53,314	56,194		0 reduction.	range.	
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
504	27-Oct-21	83,491	174,398	257,889	83,491	161,884	245,375	-12,5	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
505	24-Sep-21	11,329	72,809	84,138	11,329	72,809	84,138		0 acceptable range.		
506	24-Sep-21	11,574	68,823	80,397	11,574	61,604	73,178	-7,2	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
	·								N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
507	24-Sep-21	9,137	57,474	66,611	9,137	57,474	66,611		0 acceptable range.		
			,	,	,	,	,		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
508	24-Sep-21	8,966	56,286	65,252	8,966	56,286	65,252		0 acceptable range.		
		,,,,,,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
509	24-Sep-21	9,262	63,061	72,323	9,262	63,061	72,323		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
303	2.000 22	3,232	00,001	72,020	3,202	00,001	72,020		N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered	termismp ructor(s).	
510	24-Sep-21	2,880	38,743	41,623	2.880	38,743	41,623		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
310	2 1 3cp 21	2,000	30,743	11,023	2,000	30,713	11,023		o modification warrant a reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
511	24-Sep-21	8,762	64,070	72,832	8,762	64,070	72,832		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
311	24 3CP 21	0,702	04,070	72,032	0,702	. 04,070	72,032		i reduction.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
										·	
E13	24 Son 31	9.071	E0 F0C	60 507	0 071	E0 500	60 507		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
512	24-Sep-21	8,971	59,596	68,567	8,971	. 59,596	68,567		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
F12	27 Oct 24	241 400	A1E 172	656 670	244 400	205.026	626 524	20.1	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
513	27-Oct-21	241,498	415,172	656,670	241,498	395,026	636,524	-20,1	.46 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
F.4.4	24.5 24	0.045	CE 075	75.004	0.045		75.004		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
514	21-Sep-21	9,946	65,075	75,021	9,946	65,075	75,021		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
515	24-Sep-21	6,841	59,330	66,171	6,841	59,330	66,171		0 acceptable range.		

D	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement	BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer	Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
51	6 07 - Avon	0629210008		RES	21020016	Letter		HERNANDEZ, CONCEPCION	339 LAWN TER		ROUND LAKE					
51	7 07 - Avon	0629210024		RES	21020434	Letter		PAULSON, ROBERT J	330 MAPLE LN		ROUND LAKE					
51	8 07 - Avon	0629211014		RES	21020849	Letter		JIMENEZ, SALVADOR	221 PINEVIEW DR		ROUND LAKE					
51	9 07 - Avon	0629212002		RES	21015377	Letter		CERBERUS SFR HOLDINGS LP	319 HILLANDALE DR		ROUND LAKE					
52	0 07 - Avon	0629222003		RES	21018983	Letter		PATEL, VIRAJ TEJAL	476 LINDEN DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
52	1 07 - Avon	0629222031		RES	21019936	Letter		MARTINEZ, HECTOR	278 WINDRIDGE DR		ROUND LAKE PARK					
52	2 07 - Avon	0629224007		RES	21018987	Letter		BERRY, DANIEL	106 ABBEY LN		ROUND LAKE PARK					
52	3 07 - Avon	0629302003		RES	21016948	Letter		KAWALEC, VIOLETTA	511 PARK AVE		ROUND LAKE					
52	4 07 - Avon	0629304015		RES	21020532			SCHMUCKER, DALE	434 WILDSPRING RD		ROUND LAKE					
52	5 07 - Avon	0629400068		RES	21020417	Letter		NORTH SHORE HOLDINGS LTD	93 MACGILLIS DR		ROUND LAKE					
52	6 07 - Avon	0629400077		RES	21020204	Letter		STRAUS, HERBERT	12 MACGILLIS DR		ROUND LAKE					
52	7 07 - Avon	0629400099	27-Sep-21	RES	21019956			ZDZISLAW KRYSTYNA PIENIAZKIEWICZ TTEES	246 TREEHOUSE LN		ROUND LAKE					
52	8 07 - Avon	0629400192		RES	21020211	Letter		STRAUS, HERBERT	313 TREEHOUSE LN		ROUND LAKE					
	9 07 - Avon	0629400234		RES	21020303			AMERICAN HOMES 4 RENT PROPERTIES TEN LLC	315 WHISPERING OAKS LN		ROUND LAKE					
53	0 07 - Avon	0629400284		RES	21015117	Letter		PANKAJ DUBEY	320 WHISPERING OAKS LN		ROUND LAKE					

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
516	21-Sep-21	6,841	59,456	66,297	6,841	1 59,456	66,297		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
517	21-Sep-21	6,841	51,558	58,399	6,841	1 51,558	58,399		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
F40	20.5	7.275	44.624	F4 000		- 44.634	54.000		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
518	28-Sep-21	7,275	44,624	51,899	7,275	44,624	51,899		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
519	21-Sep-21	6,751	34,599	41,350	6,751	1 34,599	41,350		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.		
213	21-3ep-21	6,731	34,395	41,550	0,751	54,599	41,550		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
520	24-Sep-21	8,849	52,177	61,026	8,849	52,177	61,026		0 reduction.		
320	24 3CP 21	0,045	32,177	01,020	0,013	32,177	01,020		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
521	24-Sep-21	11,189	51,586	62,775	11,189	51,586	62,775		0 acceptable range.		
		,	. ,		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
522	24-Sep-21	9,598	63,543	73,141	9,598	63,543	73,141		0 reduction.		
	·								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
523	24-Sep-21	8,404	45,395	53,799	8,404	45,395	53,799		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
524	1-Oct-21	10,029	69,013	79,042	10,029	69,013	79,042		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
525	24-Sep-21	2,840	44,985	47,825	2,840	44,985	47,825		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
F26	24.5 24	2.000	44.027	47.047	2.000	44.027	47.047		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
526	24-Sep-21	2,880	44,937	47,817	2,880	44,937	47,817		0 reduction. Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
527	21-Oct-21	2,840	31,608	34,448	2,840	28,157	30,997	2 /	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 451 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
327	21-001-21	2,040	31,008	54,448	2,640	20,15/	30,337	-3,2	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
528	24-Sep-21	2.840	41,755	44,595	2,840	41,755	44,595		0 reduction.		
520	2-7-3CP-21	2,040	71,733	44,555	2,040	71,733	77,555		N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
529	24-Sep-21	2,840	46,765	49,605	2,840	46,765	49,605		0 reduction.		
530			47,518					-3.8	863 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		

1												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	
ĺ				Property		Appear by				Situs			TWP AV Bldg Settlement		Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement	Total Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date		Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address		Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer		Offer)
F24	1 07 4	0020402042		DEC	24.020.474			DANIDA DEALITY	170 DASSIMOOD CT		DOLIND LAKE							
531	1 07 - Avon	0629403042		RES	21020471	Letter		BANDA, BEAUTY	178 BASSWOOD CT		ROUND LAKE							
ĺ																		
532	2 07 - Avon	0629404008		RES	21015061			BEEGUN, STEVEN K	347 WEEPING WILLOW RD		ROUND LAKE							
533	3 07 - Avon	0630200048		RES	21019839			LEONARDO CARMEN	24410 NIPPERSINK RD		ROUND LAKE							
ĺ																		
534	4 07 - Avon	0630204010		RES	21019687			EHRENBERG, RICHARD	290 HAYWOOD DR		ROUND LAKE							
		0000201020			22023007				Last time to be		INCOME E INC							
					242422													
535	5 07 - Avon	0630206004		RES	21019852	Letter		PONCE, RAUDEL DEBBIE LOZANO, RAFAEL A (JR)	407 FARMWOOD CT		ROUND LAKE							
53€	6 07 - Avon	0632100033	27-Sep-21	RES	21020772	Letter		CHRISTINA	23957 IL ROUTE 120		GRAYSLAKE							
537	7 07 - Avon	0632101029		RES	21019853	Letter		HOFFMANN, REBECCA L	548 SWEET CLOVER RD		ROUND LAKE							
								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
F24	0.07	0033404003	22 5 24	DEC	24040456	1 -44		FRANCIS CECILIA B	E44 REACONIAN		DOLIND LAKE							
538	8 07 - Avon	0632104002	23-Sep-21	RES	21018156	Letter		HERNANDEZ-MONTESINOS	544 BEACON LN		ROUND LAKE							
539	9 07 - Avon	0632104008		RES	21020767	Letter		MERTINS, CHRISTOPHER M	498 BEACON LN		ROUND LAKE							
540	0 07 - Avon	0632104030		RES	21019892	Letter		PARUTHOOLI, MURALEEDHAR	406 SAVOY DR		ROUND LAKE							
541	1 07 - Avon	0632104033		RES	21011458	Letter		CHATMAN, AURORA L	574 BEACON LN		ROUND LAKE							
542	2 07 - Avon	0632104056		RES	21017384			ATKINSON, KEVIN J WILLIAM G SIMON JR,	423 CAMBRIA DR		ROUND LAKE							
543	3 07 - Avon	0632200009	26-Aug-21	СОМ	21020115			TRUSTEE	325 BELVIDERE RD		ROUND LAKE PARK	179,789	65,191	244,980				9-Sep-21
								NORTHEAST INVESTMENTS										
544	4 07 - Avon	0632200012		СОМ	21019832			LLC	0 BELVIDERE RD		ROUND LAKE							
545	5 07 - Avon	0632201014		RES	21020422	Letter		NORTH SHORE PROPCO LLC	583 PARKSIDE DR		ROUND LAKE							
546	6 07 - Avon	0632201028		RES	21020795	Letter		PERIASAMY, CHITRA	629 PARKSIDE DR		ROUND LAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
531	24-Sep-21	10,785	61,967	72,752	10,785	61,967	72,752		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
532	1-Oct-21	11,024	45,746	56,770	11,024	45,746	56,770		0 acceptable range.		
									N/C. Comps Considerably Different - After carefully examining the evidence, the		
									Board finds that the comparables presented by the appellant are very different		
533	21-Oct-21	17,494	139,671	157,165	17,494	139,671	157,165		0 from the subject.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
534	1-Oct-21	11,680	60,602	72,282	11,680	60,602	72,282		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
535	24-Sep-21	11,760	58,518	70,278	11,760	58,518	70,278		0 acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
536	21-Oct-21	81,032	26,678	107,710	81,032	12,292	93,324	-14,3	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
537	24-Sep-21	10,640	68,094	78,734	10,640	68,094	78,734		0 acceptable range.		
			55,55								
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
538	21-Oct-21	13,149	69,106	82,255	13,149	59,710	72,859	-9.3	196 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
				, ,	-,-		,,,,,	-,-	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
539	28-Sep-21	12,940	65.599	78,539	12,940	65,599	78,539		0 reduction.		
		,-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.,	,- ,-		.,		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
540	24-Sep-21	11,691	61,610	73,301	11,691	61,610	73,301		0 acceptable range.		
2 10	55p 21	11,001	01,010	. 5,501	11,031	52,510	. 5,551		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
541	28-Sep-21	12,976	73,337	86,313	12,976	73.337	86,313		0 acceptable range.		
	20 000 21	12,576	7.0,007	30,515	12,576	70,007	00,010		Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
542	21-Oct-21	12,833	75,780	88,613	12,833	57,227	70,060	-18 5	is application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
3-12	21 000 21	12,033	, 5,, 60	55,513	12,033	37,227	, 0,000	10,3	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
543		230,352	65,191	295,543	179,789	65,191	244,980	-50.5	i63 reduction is warranted.		
543		230,332	05,191	233,343	175,765	03,131	244,530	30,3	N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
544	27-Oct-21	221,437	0	221,437	221,437	0	221,437		0 change in assessment.		
5-1-1	27 000 21	221,737			221,737		221,737		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
545	27-Sep-21	3,960	53,475	57,435	3,960	49,035	52,995	-4.4	140 reduction is warranted.		
343	2, 3cp 21	3,300	55,475	37,733	3,500	75,033	32,333	7,7	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
516	28-Sep 21	3 060	52 500	56 550	3 060	52 500	56 550				
546	28-Sep-21	3,960	52,598	56,558	3,960	52,598	56,558		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.		

ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class		Appear by	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer		Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
54	7 07 - Avon	0632201063		RES	21020793	Letter		PERIASAMY, CHITRA	582 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE							
	8 07 - Avon	0632201067		RES	21019070			HAUZ LLC	590 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE							
								HOLBROOK, DAVID B ANGEL										
54	9 07 - Avon	0632201098		RES	21020821	Letter	No Contest	P	704 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE							
55	0 07 - Avon	0632201102		RES	21019071	Letter		HAUZ LLC	696 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE							
55	1 07 - Avon	0632210012		RES	21020000	Letter		DILANJIAN, VARTAN	573 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE							
	2 07 4400	0633310050	27 Can 21	DEC	21010750			DODDICHEZ DEVEDIVA	CCZ DOCELIALI IN		DOLIND LAKE				2.00	40.035		_
55.	2 07 - Avon	0632210050	27-Sep-21	KES	21019758			RODRIGUEZ, BEVERLY A	667 ROSEHALL LN		ROUND LAKE				3,960	49,035	52,99)
55	3 07 - Avon	0632211091	7-Oct-21	COM	21020416			RL COMMONS LLC	131 BELVIDERE RD		ROUND LAKE	180,738	297,754	478,492	,			7-Oct-21
33.	7.0011	0032211031	7 000 21	COIVI	21020110			NE COMMONS EEC	101 BEEVIBERE RD		NOOND EME	100,750	257,754	-170,-132	-			7 000 22
55	4 07 - Avon	0632211108		RES	21018033	Letter		BAJGERT, DEBBIE L	655 ESSINGTON DR		ROUND LAKE							
55	5 07 - Avon	0632212040		RES	21020798	Letter		PERIASAMY, CHITRA	186 CHATHAM LN		ROUND LAKE							
	6 07 - Avon	0632215016		RES	21010281			SZYSKA, ROBERT	645 CURRAN RD		ROUND LAKE							
	7 07 - Avon	0632215029		RES	21014806			HAUBNER, FRANK C	620 ESSINGTON DR		ROUND LAKE							4
55	8 07 - Avon	0632215033		RES	21016949	Letter		JANZEN, CORNELIOUS M	564 ESSINGTON DR		ROUND LAKE							
55	9 07 - Avon	0632301006		RES	21019813	Letter		VIZCAYNO, NATHANIEL	536 DAYBREAK LN		ROUND LAKE							
33	7.0011	0032301000		INLO	21013013	Letter		VIZER (TITO), TO TITO (TITO)	330 BATBREAKER		NOOND EME							
56	07 - Avon	0632301014		RES	21017318	Letter		DE LARA, DORIES	450 DAYBREAK LN		ROUND LAKE							
56	1 07 - Avon	0632301026		RES	21020869	Letter		PATEL, DHARMESH	944 TREMONT LN		ROUND LAKE							
56	2 07 - Avon	0632301048		RES	21020811	Letter		THOMAE, KURT J	529 SEATON DR		ROUND LAKE							
56	3 07 - Avon	0632301061		RES	21019854	Letter		ALLURU, SUJANA	764 WINCHESTER DR		ROUND LAKE							
56	4 07 - Avon	0632302006		RES	21019816	Letter		YOUNUS, ADIL G	481 DAYBREAK LN		ROUND LAKE							
		111111111111111111111111111111111111111																
56	5 07 - Avon	0632302023		RES	21020768	Letter		CADIZ, JAY A	488 BUTTERFIELD LN		ROUND LAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
547			52,288			-			0 acceptable range.		
548	27-Sep-21	3,960	50,730	54,690	3,960	49,035	52,995	-1,69	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
549		3,960	51,664		-	-			29 reduction is warranted.		
550	27-Sep-21	3,960	51,974	55,934	3,960	49,035	52,995	-2,93	39 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
	27.5	2.000	40.527	F2 407	2.000	40.537	F2 407		assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
551	. 27-Sep-21	3,960	49,527	53,487	3,960	49,527	53,487		0 acceptable range.		
552		3 000	E2 1C1	E7 131	2.000	40.025	E2 00F	4 12	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
552	-	3,960	53,161	57,121	3,960	49,035	52,995	-4,12	26 Board finds to be a good indication of market value. Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
553	14-Oct-21	180,738	323,319	504,057	180,738	297,754	478,492	-25 56	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further reduction is warranted.		
333	14-001-21	180,738	323,313	304,037	160,736	251,134	476,432	-23,30	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
554	27-Sep-21	15,998	44,189	60,187	15,998	44,189	60,187		0 acceptable range.		
334	27 3CP 21	15,550	44,103	00,107	13,330	44,103	00,107		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
555	28-Sep-21	5,401	49,171	54,572	5,401	49,171	54,572		0 acceptable range.		
333	20 3cp 21	3,401	43,171	34,372	3,101	13,171	34,372		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
556		15,563	44,189	59,752	15,563	40,098	55,661	-4.09	11 reduction is warranted.		
557			43,964	-		-			20 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
558		,	44,522						10 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
	·		·						N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
559	27-Sep-21	13,020	90,626	103,646	13,020	90,626	103,646		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
560	27-Sep-21	12,970	89,049	102,019	12,970	89,049	102,019		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
561	. 28-Sep-21	12,811	77,391	90,202	12,811	72,847	85,658	-4,54	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
562	28-Sep-21	12,830	91,361	104,191	12,830	84,429	97,259	-6,93	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
563	24-Sep-21	11,691	67,540	79,231	11,691	67,540	79,231		0 acceptable range.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
564	27-Sep-21	12,811	89,735	102,546	12,811	89,735	102,546		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
565	28-Sep-21	12,811	95,414	108,225	12,811	95,414	108,225		0 reduction.		

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs		Settlement	Settlement		Settlement	Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date		Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	566 07 - Avon	0632303013		RES	21019856	Letter		THOMAS, AJI	998 GREYWALL DR		ROUND LAKE							
	567 07 - Avon	0632303015		RES	21018855	Letter		VACHALI, JAJISH	1020 GREYWALL DR		ROUND LAKE							
	568 07 - Avon	0632304002		RES	21019825	Letter		AHMED, ASFIA N	429 NEWBRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
								RAGHAVA LAKSHMI G										
!	569 07 - Avon	0632304003		RES	21017321	Letter		YELLAPRAGADA COTTEES	419 NEWBRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
Į.	570 07 - Avon	0632304008		RES	21017322	Letter		GURURAJAN, SHARANYA	1005 GREYWALL DR		ROUND LAKE							
	571 07 - Avon	0632304012		RES	21019073	Lottor		DONAHUE, RACHEL	1049 GREYWALL DR		ROUND LAKE							
	371 07 - AVOIT	0032304012		NLS	21019073	Letter		DONATIOL, RACTILL	1049 GRETWALL DR		ROOND LAKE							
	572 07 - Avon	0632305020		RES	21016950	Letter		GONZALEZ, BOLIVAR E	800 MONTCLAIR DR		ROUND LAKE							
!	573 07 - Avon	0632402012		RES	21019857	Letter		LONOSKY, JEFFREY	738 VINTAGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
	574 07 - Avon	0632404005		RES	21015825			GUZMAN, MARIA T	365 WATERBURY DR		ROUND LAKE							
	574 07 - AVOIT	0032404003		NES	21015625			JESUS MORENO CHAVEZ	303 WATERBURT DR		ROUND LAKE							
Į.	575 07 - Avon	0632404028	27-Sep-21	RES	21020765	Letter		DAISY TORRES	372 ASBURY DR		ROUND LAKE							
	576 07 - Avon	0632406049		RES	21015025			SEKULA, BARBARA	137 ALDRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
!	577 07 - Avon	0632406088		RES	21017324	Letter		JANKOWSKI, JOSEPH F	736 ALDRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
	578 07 - Avon	0632406115		RES	21010348			JONES, KRISTINA	988 ARLINGTON DR		ROUND LAKE							
	2.3 07 AVOII	0002-100113			21010346			23.123 _j KKI31114A	330 / III.LIII GION DI									
!	579 07 - Avon	0632406134		RES	21020437	Letter		NEUMAN, STEVEN R	163 HAMPTON DR		ROUND LAKE							

Nearing Date Nearing Date Near No.								2027				
N.C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an superable of amount of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an superable square. N.C. Sale Comps - The Board Freshorokhilly is to analyze sales of amiliar properties. The Board falls appropriate township factors). N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment prefers to a price per square foot basis falls within an superable square. N.C. Sale Comps - The Board Freshorokhilly is to analyze sales of amiliar properties. The Board falls appropriate township factors). N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment prefers to a price per square foot basis falls within an superable square. N.C. Sales Comps - The Board's Reproposition township factors). N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment control and a square and square properties. The Board falls appropriate township factors). N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment control and a square properties. The Board falls appropriate township factors). N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment control and a square properties. The Board falls appropriate township factors and appropriate township factors. N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment of the subject property on a price per square boot basis falls within an analyze sale of smillar properties. The Board fall sale properties appropriate township factors and appropriate township factors. N.C. Proor 200 R Decision - The present assessment of the subject property on a price per square boot basis falls within an analyze sale of smillar properties. The Board fall sale properties of the subject property to a price per square boot basis falls within an analyze sale of smillar properties. The Board fall sale proporties does not warrant a control of the subject property on a price per square boot basis falls within an analyze sale of smillar properties. The	D Hearing Da			Ū		1	BOR Bldg AV	1	_	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
27 Sep 21 13,023 91,366 104,329 13,028 91,306 104,329 13,028 91,306 104,329 0 acceptable range N/C. Prior DIO Recision — The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review from the properties. The Board finds a preportient township factorie). N/C. Seles Comps. – The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties.												
NC. Seles Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board is responsibility is to analyze										assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
N/C. Proc BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review for analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a reduction.	566 27-Sep	ep-21	13,023	91,306	104,329	13,023	91,306	104,329		0 acceptable range.		
Second Content of the Content of t												
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment reflexs a prior Box decision. The present assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review warrast a reduction. N/C. Sales Comps. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review decision plus the application of appropriate assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review decision plus the application of appropriate assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review decision plus the application of appropriate decision plus the application of appropriate assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review decision plus the application of appropriate decision plus the application of appropriate decision plus the application of appropriate assessment reflexs a prior Box of Review decision plus the application of appropriate plus the application of appropriate decision plus the											N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is	
27-Sep-21 13,097 82,177 95,274 13,097 82,177 95,274 13,097 82,177 95,274 13,097 82,177 95,274 13,097 103,703 12,951 90,752 103,703 12,951 90,752 103,703 12,951 90,752 103,703 10,824 12,811 90,433 103,244 10,814 10											to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board	
N.C. Sales Comps. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	finds a preponderance of evidence does not	
NC Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a decision plane the application of appropriate township factor(s).	567 27-Sep	ep-21	13,097	82,177	95,274	13,097	82,177	95,274		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	warrant a reduction.	
properties The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a consistent plant of preduction.											N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
27-Sep-21 12,91 90,732 103,703 12,951 90,752 103,703 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244										N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
27-Sep-21 12,951 90,752 103,703 12,951 90,752 103,703 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 103,										properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s). 27-Sep-21 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,887 93,698 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s). N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s). N/C. Sales Comps. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0. reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s). N/C. Sales Comps. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0. reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 1. No. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 1. No. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 1. No. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 1. No. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls wit	568 27-Ser	ep-21	12,951	90,752	103,703	12,951	90,752	103,703		0 reduction.		
N.C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original decision puts the papilication of appropriate assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an original post of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis											. ,,	
assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s). N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per square foot basis falls within an office property on a price per squa										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
569 27-5ep-21 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 90,433 103,244 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,812 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,812 12,811 80,81											·	
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an obecome of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds and preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds and prep	569 27-Ser	ep-21	12,811	90,433	103,244	12,811	90,433	103,244				
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an of acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of sim			,-	,	,	,-		,				
27-Sep-21 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,887 93,698 39,698 12,811 80,887 93,698 39,698										N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	i i	
570 27-Sep-21 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,887 93,698 12,811 80,887 93,698 0 acceptable range.											· ·	
N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates tha	570 27-Ser	ep-21	12.811	80.887	93.698	12.811	80.887	93.698				
properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 77. 27-Sep-21 12,982 93,901 106,883 12,982 93,901 106,883 0 reduction. 78. 24-Sep-21 12,873 66,834 79,707 12,873 66,834 79,707 0 reduction. 78. 24-Sep-21 11,781 65,157 76,938 11,781 6	2.00	-r	,,-					55,555			,	
571 27-Sep-21 12,982 93,901 106,883 12,982 93,901 106,883 0 reduction.												
N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The B	571 27-Ser	ep-21	12.982	93.901	106.883	12.982	93.901	106.883				
properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's respo				00,000			00,000					
572 24-Sep-21 12,873 66,834 79,707 12,873 66,834 79,707 0 reduction. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an sassesment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a p												
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence	572 24-Ser	ep-21	12.873	66.834	79.707	12.873	66.834	79.707				
assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 573 24-Sep-21 11,781 65,157 76,938 11,781 65,157 76,938 0 acceptable range. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility to consider any similar properties. The Board's responsibility to the appeal and sales of similar properties. The Board's responsibility to considerate and se			,-	,		,						
573 24-Sep-21 11,781 65,157 76,938 11,781 65,157 76,938 0 acceptable range.												
N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a 1-Oct-21 12,521 67,479 80,000 12,521 67,479 80,000 0 reduction. 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 8 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 9 Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchas	573 24-Ser	ep-21	11.781	65.157	76.938	11.781	65.157	76.938				
1-Oct-21 12,521 67,479 80,000 12,521 67,479 80,000 0 reduction. 8			,	,	,		12,207	, ,,,,,,,,				
1-Oct-21 12,521 67,479 80,000 12,521 67,479 80,000 0 reduction. Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 86,954 101,794 14,840 60,153 74,993 -26,801 Board finds to be a good indication of market value. N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a 0 change in assessment. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an												
Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the 86,954 101,794 14,840 60,153 74,993 -26,801 Board finds to be a good indication of market value. N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a change in assessment. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range.	574 1-Oc	ct-21	12.521	67,479	80.000	12,521	67.479	80,000				
575 21-Oct-21 14,840 86,954 101,794 14,840 60,153 74,993 -26,801 Board finds to be a good indication of market value. 576 1-Oct-21 5,402 46,039 51,441 5,402 46,039 51,441 577 24-Sep-21 5,402 46,587 51,989 5,402 46,587 51,989 5,402 46,587 51,989 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an			,	2.,.,5	22,200	,522	21,175	22,200				
N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a change in assessment. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	575 21-Oc	ct-21	14.840	86,954	101.794	14,840	60,153	74,993	-26.8			
576 1-Oct-21 5,402 46,039 51,441 5,402 46,039 51,441 0 change in assessment. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	22 00	.,	,0 .0	30,531		2.,510	00,233	, ,,,,,,,	23,0			
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	576 1-Oc	ct-21	5.402	46.039	51.441	5.402	46.039	51.441				
assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 577 24-Sep-21 5,402 46,587 51,989 5,402 46,587 51,989 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	2.00		_,.0_	.0,000	02,.11	3, 102	.0,033	32,.42				
577 24-Sep-21 5,402 46,587 51,989 5,402 46,587 51,989 0 acceptable range. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an												
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	577 24-Ser	ep-21	5.402	46.587	51.989	5.402	46.587	51.989				
assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	2.50		2,.02	.0,557	02,000	3, 102	.5,507	52,503				
	578 1-Oc	ct-21	13.147	73.956	87.103	13.147	73.956	87.103				
N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	2.2		==,= .,	. 5,550	0.,100	23,217	7.5,530	3.,203				
assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an												
579 24-Sep-21 11,691 53,909 65,600 11,691 53,909 65,600 0 acceptable range.	579 24-Ser	-n-21	11 691	53 909	65 600	11 691	53 909	65 600				

												TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV Land	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
				Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	1 .	Case No	Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
58	80 07 - Avon	0632408033		RES	21020402	Letter		NORTH SHORE HOLDINGS LTD	118 AMBERLEY DR		ROUND LAKE							
58	81 07 - Avon	0632408048		RES	21019858	Letter		HAUZ LLC	738 PROVIDENCE LN		ROUND LAKE							
58	82 07 - Avon	0632410011		RES	21019982	Letter		TALARIAN, VAROUJAN	379 ASBURY DR		ROUND LAKE							
58	83 07 - Avon	0632410012		RES	21020819	Letter		MAJKA, JOLANTA	381 ASBURY DR		ROUND LAKE							
	84 07 - Avon	0632411001		RES	21020840	Lottor		PARUTHOOLI, MURALEEDHARAN	771 SIENNA DR		ROUND LAKE							
36	54 U7 - AVUII	0052411001		KES	21020640	Letter		MURALEEDHARAN	7/1 SIENNA DR		ROUND LAKE							
5:	85 07 - Avon	0632412007		RES	21019859	Letter		SAQUBADI, SUBRAMANYA R	339 NEWBRIDGE IN		ROUND LAKE							
3.	33 07 74011	0032412007		IKES	21013033	Letter		STAGOSTION, SOSTIVITATION	333 NEWBINDGE EN		NOOND EXILE							
5	86 07 - Avon	0632412015		RES	21020476	Letter		REYES, CHRISTINA	265 NEWBRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
								-, -										
E-	87 07 - Avon	0632413027		RES	21019860	Lottor		CARAVANTES, SANDRA E	146 NEWBRIDGE LN		ROUND LAKE							
36	57 U7 - AVUII	0052415027		KES	21019860	Letter		CARAVANTES, SANDRA E	140 NEWBRIDGE LIN		ROUND LAKE							
58	88 07 - Avon	0632414015		RES	21015641	Letter	No Contest	SUMMERS, LORI A	160 HAMPTON DR		ROUND LAKE							
58	89 07 - Avon	0632414016		RES	21020770	Letter		CAMPBELL, SCOTT	150 HAMPTON DR		ROUND LAKE							
	90 07 - Avon	0633400006		СОМ	21020008			NORTHBROOK SPORTS CLUB	22201 IL ROUTE 120		HAINESVILLE							
3:	90 07 - AVOII	0055400000		COIVI	21020000			NORTHBROOK SPORTS CLUB	22201 IL ROOTE 120		HAINESVILLE							
59	91 07 - Avon	0634100027	27-Sep-21	. RES	21020839			SIMENA MORENO VILLABON	310 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
50	92 07 - Avon	0634200035		сом	21016757	,		LAKE SHORE FLATS LLC	274 LAKE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
3.		200 .200033		35	22020757						STORIGE WE							
59	93 07 - Avon	0634200036		СОМ	21016758			LAKE SHORE FLATS LLC	84 BELVIDERE RD		GRAYSLAKE							
59	94 07 - Avon	0634200082		RES	21019315	Letter		MARTIN, JAMES K	250 LAKE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
59	95 07 - Avon	0634205006		RES	21012394	Letter		ANDERSON, KIMBERLY JO	225 BLUFF AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
		0.50.400.505																
59	96 07 - Avon	0634205007		RES	21012394	Letter		ANDERSON, KIMBERLY JO	225 BLUFF AVE		GRAYSLAKE							

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
580					-		63,735		0 reduction.		
581	27-Sep-21	5,402	54,489	59,891	5,402	51,302	56,704	-3,18	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
582	27-Sep-21	12,969	89,449	102,418	12,969	89,449	102,418		N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an 0 acceptable range.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	
583	28-Sep-21	13,262	91,249	104,511	13,262	91,249	104,511		N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review 0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
E04	29 Can 21	14 145	92.025	07.000	14 145	75 170	90 224	7 70	ES Comparables. The change is based on the submitted comparables		
584	28-Sep-21	14,145	82,935	97,080	14,145	75,179	89,324	-1,/:	56 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.	N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	· ·	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
585	27-Sep-21	13,010	80,660	93,670	13,010	80,660	93,670		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
		, , ,		23,2	2,72					N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
586	27-Sep-21	13,028	81,052	94,080	13,028	81,052	94,080		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
587	24-Sep-21	12,021	67,641	79,662	12,021	67,641	79,662		0 acceptable range.		
F00		11 (01	61,696	73,387	11 001	CO 0C8	72,659	7	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
588		11,691	61,696	/3,38/	11,691	60,968	72,059	-/2	28 reduction is warranted. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
589	28-Sep-21	12,521	74,412	86,933	12,521	74,412	86,933		0 reduction.		
303	20 3cp 21	12,321	7 1,112	00,555	12,321	7-1,-122	00,555		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
590	22-Oct-21	76,345	0	76,345	99,600	0	99,600	23,25	55 reduction is warranted.		
							·		N/C. Not A Typical Sale - It is the opinion of the Board that the sale of this property		
591	21-Oct-21	21,376	41,276	62,652	21,376	41,276	62,652		0 was atypical and not a good indicator of its market value.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
592	22-Oct-21	33,246	105,701	138,947	33,246	105,701	138,947		0 insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
593	22-Oct-21	69,038	206,368	275,406	69,038	206,368	275,406		o insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
594	27-Sep-21	35,014	93,581	128,595	35,014	93,581	128,595		0 reduction.		
									N/C Land Assessment Faultable. The Deard has determined that a wife was		
EUE	27-Sep-21	20 702	0	28,792	28,792	0	28,792		N/C. Land Assessment Equitable - The Board has determined that a uniform methodology in the land assessment was utilized by the Assessor.		
595	27-Sep-21	28,792		28,792	28,792	0	28,792		Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
596	27-Sep-21	47,946	115,128	163,074	47,946	73,247	121,193	- 41 89	Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The board finds no further selection is warranted.		
290	27-3ep-21	47,340	113,120	103,074	47,540	13,241	121,133	-41,00	or readment is wallanted.		

				Property		Appear by				Situs		TWP AV Land Settlement	TWP AV Bldg Settlement		BOR AV Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date	Class	Case No	Letter	No Contest		Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
507	7 07 - Avon	0634205028		RES	21020183	Lottor		RANDALL P DEVILLEZ, TRUSTEE	203 BLUFF AVE		GRAYSLAKE							
357	07 - AVOII	0034203028		NLO	21020163	Letter		TROSTEL	203 BLOFF AVL		GRATSLAKE							
598	07 - Avon	0634206004		RES	21017283	Letter		KOVALCHECK, DAVID F	261 ALLEGHANY RD		GRAYSLAKE							
590	9 07 - Avon	0634206017		RES	21019636	Letter		NOVAK, DAWN	326 HOJEM LN		GRAYSLAKE							
333	O AVOII	0034200017		INES	21013030	Letter		NOVAK, DAVIN	320 HOJEN EN		GIVATSEARE							
600	07 - Avon	0634212017		RES	21017941	Letter		CRUZ, JAIME	323 QUIST CT		GRAYSLAKE							
								LIDWINA E IRVING W HERTEL,										
601	07 - Avon	0634213003		RES	21014185	Letter		· ·	360 ALLISON CT		GRAYSLAKE							
								CURRAN CONTRACTING										
602	07 - Avon	0634400009		IND	21020116		No Contest	COMPANY	700 LAKE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
603	3 07 - Avon	0634400031		сом	21018292			FREITAS, MICHAEL	420 LAKE ST		GRAYSLAKE							
003	or Avon	0034400031		COIVI	21010232			TRETTAS, WITCHALL	420 EAREST		ONATSEARE							
								DENNIS JACQUELINE										
604	1 07 - Avon	0634401018		RES	21019861	Letter		JOHNSEN TTEES	232 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
605	5 07 - Avon	0634401043		RES	21017574	Letter		ZHU, HAIZHONG	580 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
003	707 71011	0031101013		INES	2101/3/4	Letter		2110,111,112110110	300 STATION TAINCEIN		GIV (132) IKE							
606	07 - Avon	0634401103	27-Sep-21	RES	21014296	Letter		LENZINI, ROBERT A SHELLY	256 LIONEL DR		GRAYSLAKE				5,225	69,034	74,259	,
607	7 07 - Avon	0634401126		RES	21017326	Lottor		DELANCE, BARBARA L	374 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
007	07 - AVOII	0034401120		KLS	21017320	Letter		DELANCE, BARBARA E	374 STATION FARK CIR		GRATSLAKE							
								SEVERO D LESLIE E COSYLEON										
608	07 - Avon	0634401180		RES	21020094			TTEE	308 LIONEL DR		GRAYSLAKE							
609	9 07 - Avon	0634401193		RES	21020464			TAN, CHENG THIAM	566 CANNONBALL DR		GRAYSLAKE							
000	7.1011	0001102200			22020101			17.11.1) 0.112.110 17.117.117.			OTH THOSE INC							
610	07 - Avon	0634404005		RES	21013306	Letter		BEECHER, MARSHALL	309 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
611	07 - Avon	0634404014		RES	21020406	Letter		NORTH SHORE PROPCO LLC	269 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
					,,													
612	07 - Avon	0634404054		RES	21020504	Letter		PHAN, JAMINE HUYNH	129 STATION PARK CIR		GRAYSLAKE							

			Current Bldg		BOR Land			Change		2025' I' 2	2025: 1: 2
ID	Hearing Date	AV	AV	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV	AV	Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
597	27-Sep-21	16,975	177,071	194,046	16,975	163,007	179,982	-14.064	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
			2,				2.0,002	,	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
598	23-Sep-21	10,898	53,312	64,210	10,898	53,312	64,210	(acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
599	23-Sep-21	9,033	72,656	81,689	9,033	72,656	81,689	(acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
600	23-Sep-21	10,429	47,083	57,512	10,429	47,083	57,512	(acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
601	23-Sep-21	10,429	82,713	93,142	10,429	82,713	93,142	(acceptable range.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
602	2	90,287	(90,287	22,233	0	22,233	-68,054	reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
603	22-Oct-21	66,196	57,428	123,624	66,196	57,428	123,624	(reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
604	27-Sep-21	11,565	57,426	68,991	11,565	57,426	68,991	(acceptable range.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
605	27.6 24	2.654	50.400	54050	2.554	60.400	64.060		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
605	27-Sep-21	3,654	60,408	64,062	3,654	60,408	64,062	(Person Described Prince The phase and set the ground prince which the		
606		F 225	74.000	90.105	F 225	CO 034	74.350	Г 04/	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
600)	5,225	74,880	80,105	5,225	69,034	74,259	-5,840	Board finds to be a good indication of market value. N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
607	27-Sep-21	11,665	57,431	69,096	11,665	57,431	69,096	(acceptable range.		
007	27-3ep-21	11,003	37,431	05,050	11,003	37,431	05,050	,	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
608	1-Oct-21	3,834	74,154	77,988	3,834	74,154	77,988	(reduction.		
000	7 000 21	3,031	, 1,13	77,300	3,031	7 1,131	77,500	`	N/C. Appraisal and Comps - After a review of the appraisal(s) and/or the		
									comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change in the present assessed value		
609	21-Oct-21	4,796	78,964	83,760	4,796	78,964	83,760	(is not warranted.		
		,			, , , ,				N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
610	27-Sep-21	9,629	57,501	67,130	9,629	57,501	67,130	(reduction.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
611	27-Sep-21	9,846	57,793	67,639	9,846	57,793	67,639	(acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
612	27-Sep-21	8,972	49,782	58,754	8,972	49,782	58,754	(acceptable range.		

)	Township	PIN	Review Date	Property Class	Case No	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement Offer		BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer		Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
613	3 07 - Avon	0634405013		RES	21020727			HORMEL, ERIKA	521 CANNONBALL DR		GRAYSLAKE							
614	4 07 - Avon	0634405074		RES	21019785	Letter		AMBURGEY, SAMANTHA	548 SILVERTON DR		GRAYSLAKE							
								WILLIAM M LEE, JR LIVING										
615	5 07 - Avon	0635103008	24-Sep-21	RES	21020810	Letter		TRUST	179 SEYMOUR AVE		GRAYSLAKE				18,773	74,551	. 93,324	
616	6 07 - Avon	0635104013		RES	21020842	Letter		ARNDT, ROBERT H	195 WESTERFIELD PL		GRAYSLAKE							
617	7 07 - Avon	0635107004		RES	21020814	Letter		NORTON, STEVE	227 SLUSSER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
618	8 07 - Avon	0635107023		RES	21020738	Letter		SIMMON, CORY P	276 HARDING ST		GRAYSLAKE							
619	9 07 - Avon	0635107037		RES	21010425			RODRIGUEZ, KEVIN	288 HARDING ST		GRAYSLAKE							
620	0 07 - Avon	0635108011		RES	21012092	Letter		CORRINE S DONEWALD TRUSTEE	257 HARDING ST		GRAYSLAKE							
62:	1 07 - Avon	0635113013		RES	21018731	Letter		HARVEY, JANELLE S	399 SLUSSER ST		GRAYSLAKE							
622	2 07 - Avon	0635200016		сом	21020749	Letter		THE ARROW SHOP LTD	310 ATKINSON RD		GRAYSLAKE							
623	3 07 - Avon	0635200017		СОМ	21020749	Letter		THE ARROW SHOP LTD	340 ATKINSON RD		GRAYSLAKE							
624	4 07 - Avon	0635200042		СОМ	21020749	Letter		THE ARROW SHOP LTD	0 BELVIDERE RD		GRAYSLAKE							
62!	5 07 - Avon	0635200061		СОМ	21018293			JAMES A STRIED TRUST AGMT DTD 11/21/11	888 BELVIDERE RD	STE 304	GRAYSLAKE							
620	6 07 - Avon	0635200091		СОМ	21018294			JAMES A STRIED TRUST AGMT DTD 11/21/11	888 BELVIDERE RD	STE 303	GRAYSLAKE							
627	7 07 - Avon	0635200093		СОМ	21020754			RICHARD E LASKOWSKI, TRUSTEE	945 BELVIDERE RD		GRAYSLAKE	223,191	1 466,150	689,341				
628	8 07 - Avon	0635201010		СОМ	21018296			JAJK LLC DAVID L BETH E PETERSON CO	888 BELVIDERE RD	STE 120	GRAYSLAKE							
629	9 07 - Avon	0635201041	19-Oct-21	IND	21020549			TTEES	888 BELVIDERE RD	STE 214	GRAYSLAKE	11,882	77,385	89,267				19-Oct-2
620	0 07 - Avon	0635203005	24-Sep-21	DEC	21020721			HERMAN, JASON	453 TEAL CT		GRAYSLAKE				16,499	63,993	80,492	,

		Current Land	Current Bldg	Current	BOR Land		BOR Total	Change			
ID	Hearing Date		0	Total AV	AV	BOR Bldg AV		Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
613	20-Oct-21		63,724	70,215	6,491	_		-4,305	Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									Appellant's Appraisal - The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the		
614	21-Oct-21	5,271	69,748	75,019	5,271	64,055	69,326	-5,693	3 appellant.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
615		18,773	88,183	106,956	18,773	74,551	93,324	-13,632	2 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
616	28-Sep-21	20,262	81,199	101,461	20,262	81,199	101,461	(nsufficient to warrant a reduction.		
617	28-Sep-21	7,935	56,839	64,774	7,935	50,393	58,328	-6,446	6 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
618	28-Sep-21	16,954	47,956	64,910	16,954	47,956	64,910	(0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
619	27-Sep-21	9,061	67,592	76,653	9,061	67,592	76,653	(D acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
620	23-Sep-21	9,882	94,961	104,843	9,882	94,961	104,843	(D acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
621	23-Sep-21	9,811	57,121	66,932	9,811	57,121	66,932	(D acceptable range.		
									N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The appraisal of the subject property		
622	14-Oct-21	51,666	21,307	72,973	51,666	21,307	72,973	(and/or the sales used in the appraisal are not within an acceptable time frame.		
									N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The appraisal of the subject property		
623	14-Oct-21	90,665	89,171	179,836	90,665	89,171	179,836	(0 and/or the sales used in the appraisal are not within an acceptable time frame.		
									N/C. Appraisal Not Within Proper Time - The appraisal of the subject property		
624	14-Oct-21	44,760	0	44,760	44,760	0	44,760	(and/or the sales used in the appraisal are not within an acceptable time frame.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
625	22-Oct-21	4,331	36,410	40,741	4,331	36,410	40,741	(o acceptable range.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
626	22-Oct-21	4,331	36,410	40,741	4,331	36,410	40,741	(D acceptable range.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
627	27-Oct-21	223,191	489,924	713,115	223,191	466,150	689,341	-23,774	4 reduction is warranted.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
628	22-Oct-21	3,656	29,314	32,970	3,656	29,314	32,970	(D acceptable range.		
									Assessor's Request - Change per the assessor's request. The Board finds no further		
629	22-Oct-21	11,882	85,063	96,945	11,882	77,385	89,267	-7,678	8 reduction is warranted.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
630		16,499	81,662	98,161	16,499	63,993	80,492	-17,669	9 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		

													TWP AV	TWP AV Bldg	TWP AV	BOR AV	BOR AV Bldg	BOR AV	Date Decided
					Property		Appear by				Situs			Settlement			Settlement		(Settlement
ID		Township	PIN	Review Date			Letter	No Contest		Situs Address	Unit	Situs City	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer	Offer)
	631 0	07 - Avon	0635203008		RES	21020716			HORMEL, KEITH	419 TEAL CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	632 0	07 - Avon	0635301017	27-Sep-21	RES	21015093			RODNEY S BUSS, TTEE	50 PAUL ST		GRAYSLAKE							
	633 0	07 - Avon	0635303025		RES	21020766	Letter		TAKIFF, N	393 WASHINGTON BLVD		GRAYSLAKE							
									,										
	634 0	07 - Avon	0635309016	27-Sep-21	RES	21017651	Letter		FLORES DIAZ, ROGELIO C	484 IRON HORSE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	635 0	07 - Avon	0635309017		RES	21020785	Letter		MORENO, KEVIN L	492 IRON HORSE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	636 0	07 - Avon	0635309023	23-Sep-21	RES	21010083			ISABEL LOPEZ	455 IRON HORSE CT		GRAYSLAKE				13,640	82,684	96,324	
	637 0	07 - Avon	0635400010		RES	21020510	Letter		STARSIAK II, CASEY R	32234 IL ROUTE 83		GRAYSLAKE							
	638 0	07 - Avon	0635401014		RES	21020306	Letter		TWADDLE, CRAIG	457 BALD EAGLE CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	639 0	07 - Avon	0635404004		RES	21016951	Letter		DAS, AMIT KUMAR	518 REDWING CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	033 0	or Avoir	0033404004		IKES	21010331	Letter		DAS, AWITI KOWAK	310 KEDWING CI		ONATSEARE							
		07.4	0625406004		6014	24040700			DELOCAL C	a powery pp		CDAYCI AKE	74.000		74.000				
	640 0	07 - Avon	0635406001		СОМ	21019790			DELOS LLC	0 ROWENA RD		GRAYSLAKE	74,992	0	74,992				
	641 0	07 - Avon	0635406003		СОМ	21019790			DELOS LLC	0 ROWENA RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	642 0	07 - Avon	0635406004		СОМ	21019790			DELOS LLC	0 ROWENA RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	643 0	07 - Avon	0636100008		СОМ	21020796			PMAK GRAYSLAKE LLC	1170 BELVIDERE RD		GRAYSLAKE							
	644	07 - Avon	0636101002		СОМ	21017958	Letter		TRADEX GROUP INC	160 COMMERCE DR		GRAYSLAKE	72,397	227,573	299,970				
	044 0	U7 - AVOII	0030101002		COIVI	21017936	Letter		BATES FAMILY INVESTMENTS	160 COMMERCE DR		GRATSLAKE	72,397	221,575	299,970				
	645 0	07 - Avon	0636102007	27-Sep-21	СОМ	21020760			LLC	1087 SOUTH CORPORATE CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	646 0	07 - Avon	0636102008	27-Sep-21	COM	21020760			BATES FAMILY INVESTMENTS LLC	1083 SOUTH CORPORATE CIR		GRAYSLAKE							
	, , , 0		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	35p ZI	22					33 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24		J							
	647.0	07 Avon	0636105005		DEC	21020920	Letter		DOMANA DITO HADIETTE T	224 STEVENS CT		CDAVCLAVE							
	04/ 0	07 - Avon	0636105065		RES	21020836	Letter		BOMMARITO, HARIETTE T	324 STEVENS CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	648 0	07 - Avon	0636105073		RES	21020598	Letter		DAVID I ROUSSO, TTEE	350 STEVENS CT		GRAYSLAKE							

								_			
ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	0		BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV		Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
631	20-Oct-21		80,698	96,915					Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.	DON'T Manigo Neadon' 2	DON'T III dailigo Neddon' O
			,		,	, -	7.1	-,-	Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
632	21-Oct-21	37,838	42,857	80,695	37,838	20,490	58,328	-22,367	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the		
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an		
633	28-Sep-21	9,914	72,875	82,789	9,914	72,875	82,789	0	acceptable range.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
634	21-Oct-21	13,414	92,306	105,720	13,414	50,280	63,694	-42,026	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Insufficient Evidence - Evidence presented by the appellant was considered		
635	28-Sep-21	14,550	91,250	105,800	14,550	91,250	105,800		insufficient to warrant a reduction.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
636		13,640	101,514	115,154	13,640	82,684	96,324		Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									Prior PTAB Decision - The change is based on a prior PTAB decision plus the		
637	21-Sep-21	101,901	35,731	137,632	101,901	30,201	132,102		application of the appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
620	27.5 24	46.400	0.4.722	444 222	46.400	04.700	444 222		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
638	27-Sep-21	16,499	94,733	111,232	16,499	94,733	111,232	-	reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
620	27 Can 21	15 524	78,336	93,860	15,524	78,336	93,860		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a reduction.		
639	27-Sep-21	15,524	76,550	93,000	15,524	76,550	95,600	U	reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
640	27-Oct-21	102,103	0	102,103	102,103	٥	102,103		decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
040	27 Oct 21	102,103	J	102,103	102,103	J	102,103	J	accision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
641	27-Oct-21	32,113	0	32,113	32,113	0	32,113		decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
		,			, ,		- , -		p · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
642	27-Oct-21	65,867	0	65,867	65,867	0	65,867	0	decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
643	22-Oct-21	111,961	1,245,491	1,357,452	111,961	1,062,446	1,174,407	-183,045	Vacancy - The change is based on the vacancy evidence supplied by the appellant.		
									N/C. Prior PTAB Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Property Tax		
644	22-Oct-21	72,397	280,128	352,525	72,397	280,128	352,525		Appeal Board decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. No Evidence - No evidence was presented by the appellant to substantiate a		
645	14-Oct-21	84,919	0	84,919	84,919	0	84,919		change in assessment.		
									Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
646	14-Oct-21	45,968	422,303	468,271	45,968	235,743	281,711	-186,560	Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C D. DODD TI		
C +-	20.5	47.241	F 4 4 0 1	74 400	47.011	54464	74 400		N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
647	28-Sep-21	17,241	54,181	71,422	17,241	54,181	71,422		decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
648	28-Sep-21	10,462	57,495	67,957	10,462	57,495	67,957		properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a reduction.		
048	28-Sep-21	10,462	57,495	07,957	10,462	57,495	07,957	U	reduction.		

												TWP AV		TWP AV	BOR AV		BOR AV	Data Dasidad
				Property		Appear by				Situs			TWP AV Bldg Settlement		Land Settlement	BOR AV Bldg Settlement		Date Decided (Settlement
ID	Township	PIN	Review Date			Letter	No Contest	Owner	Situs Address		Situs City	Offer		Offer	Offer	Offer		Offer)
	649 07 - Avon	0636105079		RES	21019084	Letter		VIP HOLDING COMPANY	316 STEVENS CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	650 07 - Avon	0636105090		RES	21019087	Letter		VAN VOORHIS, DONALD E	341 STEVENS CT		GRAYSLAKE							
	651 07 - Avon	0636105115		RES	21016952	Letter		MIKAL, BEATRICE L	487 ASHFORD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	652 07 - Avon	0636106014		RES	21020479	Letter		HOLMERTZ, MARK D	1162 SILO HILL DR		GRAYSLAKE							
	653 07 - Avon	0636111033		RES	21020854	Letter		MLYNARCZYK, GREGORY	934 HIGHGATE LN		GRAYSLAKE							
								ROBERT C LOSSMAN TRUSTEE										
	654 07 - Avon	0636301010		RES	21020534	Letter		UTD 9-2-2015	1022 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	655 07 - Avon	0636301013		RES	21020774	Letter		JOHN SHEILA ANDERSON, TTEES	1130 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	656 07 - Avon	0636301016		RES	21020480	Lattan		COUROEDED CLAUDINE	1166 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
	656 07 - AVOII	0030301010		NES	21020460	Letter		SCHROEDER, CLAUDINE	1100 PRAIRIE IRL		GRATSLAKE							
								DAUGHERTY, JOHN E										
	657 07 - Avon	0636301020		RES	21020223			REBECCA L	1214 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE							
								TAYLOR, MARGARET B RYAN										
	658 07 - Avon	0636302003	27-Sep-21	RES	21014857	Letter		S	1136 GOLDENROD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	659 07 - Avon	0636302008		RES	21012035	Letter		WESCLITZ, MICHAEL J	1196 GOLDENROD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
	660 07 - Avon	0636302009		RES	21016953	Letter		HALVORSEN, JONATHAN D	1208 GOLDENROD LN		GRAYSLAKE							
								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
								MADIA K HACINI DDIANI I										
	661 07 - Avon	0636302012		RES	21016954	Letter		MARIA K HAGIN BRIAN J BERRY	1222 PRAIRIE ORCHID LN		GRAYSLAKE							

ID	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg	Current Total AV	BOR Land AV	BOR Bldg AV	BOR Total	Change Amount	BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
	ricaring Date	AV	AV	Total Av	nv	DON DIUG AV	AV.	Amount	BONT maniga neason 1	DON'T HIGHIGS NEUSON 2	BON Findings Neuson 3
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
649	27-Sep-21	8,648	56,202	64,850	8,648	56,202	64,850		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
650	27-Sep-21	11,255	55,302	66,557	11,255	55,302	66,557		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
										N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is	
										to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board	
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	finds a preponderance of evidence does not	
651	27-Sep-21	13,534	71,707	85,241	13,534	71,707	85,241		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).	warrant a reduction.	
1									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
652	27-Sep-21	17,835	73,907	91,742	17,835	73,907	91,742		0 decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
653	28-Sep-21	5,761	60,455	66,216	5,761	60,455	66,216		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the comparables submitted indicates that the	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									assessment of the subject property on a price per square foot basis falls within an	decision plus the application of appropriate	
654	27-Sep-21	24,334	129,653	153,987	24,334	129,653	153,987		0 acceptable range.	township factor(s).	
655	28-Sep-21	23,664	136,429	160,093	23,664	105,041	128,705	-31,3	88 Comparables - The change is based on the submited comparables.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
656	27-Sep-21	21,989	131,721	153,710	21,989	131,721	153,710		o reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
657	20-Oct-21	22,663	141,302	163,965	22,663	141,302	163,965		0 reduction.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present assessment reflects a prior Board of Review		
658	21-Oct-21	16,983	105,621	122,604	16,983	105,621	122,604		decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
659	27-Sep-21	16,650	121,200	137,850	16,650	121,200	137,850		o reduction.		
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
660	27-Sep-21	18,320	110,677	128,997	18,320	110,677	128,997		0 reduction.		
										N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
									N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
									properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
661	27-Sep-21	17,318	104,630	121,948	17,318	104,630	121,948		0 reduction.	township factor(s).	

D	Tov	wnship	PIN	Review Date	Property Class		Appear by Letter	No Contest		Situs Address	Situs Unit	Situs City	TWP AV Land Settlement Offer	TWP AV Bldg Settlement	BOR AV Land Settlement Offer	BOR AV Bldg Settlement Offer	Settlement	Date Decided (Settlement Offer)
66	2 07	- Avon	0636305011	27-Sep-21	RES	21020484	Letter		LOESCHORN, ROBERT J KIMBERLY J	1224 HEDGEROW DR		GRAYSLAKE			17,98	88,336	106,323	
00.	2 07	Avoir	0030303011	27 3CP 21	IKES	21020404	Letter		KINDEKELJ	1224 HEDGEROW DR		GIATSEAKE			17,50	00,330	100,323	
663	3 07	- Avon	0636401011		RES	21019679	Letter		GRUENTHAL, IVAN E	1331 OSAGE ORANGE RD		GRAYSLAKE						
664	64 07	- Avon	0636401038		RES	21020841	Letter		MORGAN, CATHY A	568 BLUESTEM LN		GRAYSLAKE						
66	5 07	- Avon	0636401044		RES	21020481	Letter		TRAUX, CHRISTOPHER	1318 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE						
66	66 07	- Avon	0636401057		RES	21020482	Letter		CARRE, DARI	1486 PRAIRIE TRL		GRAYSLAKE						
66	57 07	- Avon	0636406002		RES	21020087			CARISSA ALLAN, TTEE	1305 CALAMUS LN		GRAYSLAKE						
668	8 07	- Avon	0636406019		RES	21020485	Letter		WINTER, WALLACE C	1451 WILD IRIS LN		GRAYSLAKE						
669	9 07	- Avon	0636410006		RES	21016955	Letter		SMITH, DEAN	1494 POTAWATOMI RD		GRAYSLAKE						
670	0 07	- Avon	0636412013	23-Sep-21	RES	21010289	Letter		ZIMMERMAN, CHRISTOPHER D KRISTEN L	1565 PORTIA RD		GRAYSLAKE						
67:	1 07	- Avon	0636412017		RES	21019818	Letter		ELLYN D DORSEY TRUST DTD 9/28/16	634 INDIAN PATH RD		GRAYSLAKE						
67:	2 07	- Avon	0636415003		RES	21019823	Letter		NIEDER, BRADLEY	1485 COLBEE BENTON RD		GRAYSLAKE						
67:	'3 07 ·	- Avon	0636417004		RES	21019618	Letter		ROWE, TODD M	1356 HEDGEROW DR		GRAYSLAKE						

Board of Review Meeting Report 11/4/2021 Page 85

D	Hearing Date	Current Land	Current Bldg	Current Total AV	BOR Land	BOR Bldg AV		hange mount BOR Findings Reason 1	BOR Findings Reason 2	BOR Findings Reason 3
		4= 00=		447.500				Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
662	4	17,987	99,515	117,502	17,987	88,336	106,323	-11,179 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
663	27-Sep-21	17,353	118,514	135,867	17,353	118,514	135,867	0 reduction.		
000	2, 3cp 21	27,000	110,51	200,007	27,000	110,011	200,007	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
664	28-Sep-21	17,514	114,349	131,863	17,514	114,349	131,863	0 reduction.		
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
665	27-Sep-21	23,409	134,634	158,043	23,409	134,634	158,043	0 reduction.		
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
666	27-Sep-21	26,065	134,346	160,411	26,065	134,346	160,411	0 reduction.		
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
	1 0-+ 21	40.247	111 122	422.650	10 217	111 122	422.650	properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
667	1-Oct-21	18,217	114,433	132,650	18,217	114,433	132,650	0 reduction. N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
668	27-Sep-21	18,521	109,122	127,643	18,521	109,122	127,643	0 reduction.		
000	27 3CP 21	10,321	103,122	127,043	10,321	103,122	127,043	N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
669	27-Sep-21	17,020	109,186	126,206	17,020	109,186	126,206	0 reduction.		
								Recent Purchase Price - The change reflects the recent purchase price, which the		
670		18,653	121,838	140,491	18,653	113,037	131,690	-8,801 Board finds to be a good indication of market value.		
									N/C. Prior BOR Decision - The present	
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment reflects a prior Board of Review	
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	decision plus the application of appropriate	
671	27-Sep-21	19,837	97,001	116,838	19,837	97,001	116,838	0 reduction.	township factor(s).	
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar		
C70	27.5 24	42.240	124 20-	127 526	43.340	124 207	127 526	properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a		
672	27-Sep-21	13,219	124,307	137,526	13,219	124,307	137,526	0 reduction.	N/C. Practical Uniformity - Analysis of the	
									comparables submitted indicates that the	
								N/C. Sales Comps - The Board's responsibility is to analyze sales of similar	assessment of the subject property on a price	
								properties. The Board finds a preponderance of evidence does not warrant a	per square foot basis falls within an acceptable	
673	27-Sep-21	19,769	127.648	147,417	19,769	127,648	147.417	0 reduction.	range.	