	<u>^</u>	Б	<u>^</u>	D	-	F	0				K	I .	M	N
	A Project Name Watershed: Fox, DP, NB ,LM	Project Manager 🖽	C DCEO Funding Project Cost Scalable? (Y/N)	D Environmental Justice Multiplier/ Project Match Expense Multiplier Project Match Waiver Eligible Calculated Match Expense	E Outside Funding Designated	F Damage Type	G # Affected	H Frequency of Occurrence (Previous 10 Years)	Primary Road Multiplication Factor	Weight Factor ¹	K Category Score ¹	Cost Per Damage Point Rating (Unadjusted)	M Cost Per Damage Point Rating (EJ Adjusted)	N Cost/Damage Point Rating (Outside Funding
1		Proj						rears)						Adjusted)
2	Park City Flood Mitigation Bypass Culvert	NP	\$2,600,000	0.31	\$-	Primary Property Damage	863	10		10	86300			
3 4	NB			4.65% Y		Disruption of Business/Revenue	25	10 10		5 3	1250 90			
4	Washington/120 ADT Value =	=	27,900	120,900		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	3	10	2.33	30	1395			
6 7				0.1039 1.56%		Secondary Road Damage Nuisance Flooding	31 0	10 10		10 1	3100 0			
8				\$ 40,521		Project Damage Point Score	0	10		I	92135	28	3	3
9	Highlands Trailway-North Drainage Improvements	MW	\$740,000	0.94		Primary Property Damage	131	9		10	11790			
9 10	NB		Y	14.10%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	1	9		5	45			
11 12	Half Day Road+Summit ADT Value =	-	13,950	N 104.340		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	14 2	9 9	1.16	3 30	378 628			
13	Hail Day Road Summit ADT Value -		13,930	.9344		Secondary Road Damage	18	9	1.10	10	1620			
14 15				14.02% \$ 103,718		Nuisance Flooding	118	9		1	1062 15523	48	45	45
16	Knollwood Phase 1	JJ	\$2,100,000	0.64	\$-	Project Damage Point Score Primary Property Damage	52	35		10	18200	40	45	45
17	Fox			9.60%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	0	0		5	0			
18 19	Route 59 ADT Value =	=	11,600	Y \$ 201,600		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	11 1	35 35	1.00	3 30	1155 1050			
20 21				0.5032		Secondary Road Damage	5 30	35 35		10	1750			
21				7.55% \$ 158,508	3	Nuisance Flooding Project Damage Point Score	30	35		1	1050 23205	90	46	46
23	Lake Forest - Burr Oak Stormwater Detention/Storm Sewer Project	NP	\$2,750,000	.97	\$ 2,511,129	Primary Property Damage	104	10		10	10400			
24	LM		\$5,261,129	14.55%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	10	20		5	1000			
25 26	N Western Ave. ADT Value =	-	4,650	N 400,125		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	224	20 10	1.00	3 30	13440 300			
27	N Western Ave. ADT value -	-	4,030	0.7613		Secondary Road Damage	5	20	1.00	10	1000			
28 29				11.42% \$ 314,036		Nuisance Flooding	19	34		1	646 26786	103	78	7
30	Valley Lake Flood Mitigation	NP	\$2,136,000	\$ 314,036 0.78	\$-	Project Damage Point Score Primary Property Damage	109	10		10	10900	103	78	/
31	DP		Y	11.70%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	0	0		5	0			
32 33	Almond ADT Value =	=	1,400	N 249,912		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	106 1	10 10	1.00	3 30	3180 300			
34				0.6229		Secondary Road Damage	4	10		10	400			
35 36				9.34% \$ 199,577	7	Nuisance Flooding Project Damage Point Score	0	0		1	0 14780	145	90	90
37	Oak Spring Lane Stormsewer	NP	\$918,000	0.95	\$-	Primary Property Damage	25	10		10	2500			
38	Bypass DP		N	14.25%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	0	10		5	0			
39 40	ADT Value =	_	N/A	N 130,815		Secondary Property Damage	12 0	10 10	N/A	3 30	360			
40	ADT value =	-	IN/A	0.876		Primary Road Damage Secondary Road Damage	5	10	N/A	30 10	0 500			
42 43				13.14% \$ 120,625		Nuisance Flooding Project Damage Point Score	7	10		1	70 3430	268	234	234
44	Libertyville - Rockland Road Corridor Flood Reduction Project Remaining Phase	NP	\$2,750,000	0.89	\$ 2,502,382	Primary Property Damage	48	10		10	4800	200	234	234
45	DP		\$ 5,252,382	13.35%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	5	10		5	250			
46 47	ADT Value =		Ý 0	N \$367,125		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	102 1	10 10	1.00	3 30	3060 300			
48 49				.7641 11.46%		Secondary Road Damage Nuisance Flooding	7	10 10		10	700 10			
49 50				\$ 315,191	1	Project Damage Point Score		10			9120	302	230	21
E 1	Sequoit Creek Daylighting and Flood Mitigation	NP	\$2,750,000	0.78	\$ 2,457,000	Primary Property Damage	87	5		10	4350			
51 52	Flood Mitigation		\$5,207,000	11.70%		Disruption of Business/Revenue	9	5		5	225			
53 54	Rte 173 ADT Value =	-	N 15,600	N 321,750		Secondary Property Damage Primary Road Damage	48 5	5 5	1.30	3 30	720 975			
55			15,000	0.5776		Secondary Road Damage	26	5	1.50	30 10	975 1300			
56 57				8.66% \$ 238,260		Nuisance Flooding Project Damage Point Score	233	5		1	1165 8735	245	182	19
57	Haryan Farm Drainage System	NP	\$1,005,702	.97	s -	Project Damage Point Score Primary Property Damage	27	5		10	1350	315	102	19
58 59	Repair DP	NP	φ1,005,702	.97 14.55%	φ -	Disruption of Business/Revenue	27	5		5	25			
59 60				Ν		Secondary Property Damage	57	5		3	855			
	Mashington Office ADTV/	-	22,300	146,330 0.8596		Primary Road Damage Secondary Road Damage	1	5 5	1.86	30 10	279 350			
61	Washington Street ADT Value =			12.89%		Nuisance Flooding	0	0		10	0			
61 62 63	vvasnington Street AD1 Value =					Ducient Domonic Delut Coons					2859	352	302	302
61 62 63 64			\$47.740.700	\$ 129,675	5	Project Damage Point Score								
61 62 63 64 65 66	Washington Street ADT Value =		\$17,749,702	\$ 129,675		Input low income % only		> 2" Rainfall 2019	9-2009 = 34 days					
61 62 63 64 65 66 67			\$17,749,702 \$25,220,213	\$ 129,675 \$2,042,897	SMC Program	Input low income % only		Lake County AVC	G ADT =	12000	1	Lake County Maps Online		
61 62 63 64 65 66	Total DCEO Project Expenses	Waters	\$25,220,213	\$ 129,675 \$2,042,897	SMC Program				G ADT =	12000	1	Lake County Maps Online FEMA Benefit/Cost Analys SMC EJ GIS		
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	Total DCEO Project Expenses Total Project Expenses STOCIP Funding Statistics % of total 15.49%	5 LM	\$25,220,213 shed \$2,750,000	\$ 129,675 \$2,042,897 \$1,620,113 WMB % Breakdown 16%	SMC Program	Input low income % only		Lake County AVC IDOT ADT Datab SMC Environmer 1 - If the project is	G ADT = ase ntal Justice Layer s a floodplain buyo	ut that achie	eves a positiv	FEMA Benefit/Cost Analys SMC EJ GIS e FEMA Benefit/Cost		
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Total DCEO Project Expenses Total Project Expenses STOCIP Funding Statistics % of total 15.49% 18.82% 38.37%	b LM NB DP	\$25,220,213 shed \$2,750,000 \$3,340,000 \$6,809,702	\$ 129,675 \$2,042,897 \$1,620,113 WMB % Breakdown 16% 21% 42%	SMC Program	Input low income % only		Lake County AVC <u>IDOT ADT Datab</u> SMC Environmer 1 - If the project is Analysis, then the	G ADT = ase ntal Justice Layer s a floodplain buyo e weight factor is sl	ut that achie hown as a "	eves a positiv Buyout" which	FEMA Benefit/Cost Analys		
61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71	Total DCEO Project Expenses Total Project Expenses STOCIP Funding Statistics % of total 15.49% 18.82%	b LM NB DP	\$25,220,213 shed \$2,750,000 \$3,340,000	\$ 129,675 \$2,042,897 \$1,620,113 WMB % Breakdown 16% 21% 42%	SMC Program	Input low income % only		Lake County AVC <u>IDOT ADT Datab</u> SMC Environmen 1 - If the project is Analysis, then the minimum 1000 so	G ADT = ase ntal Justice Layer s a floodplain buyo e weight factor is sl	out that achie hown as a " ry, if the tota	eves a positiv Buyout" which	FEMA Benefit/Cost Analys SMC EJ GIS e FEMA Benefit/Cost n automatically places a		

