



KEVIN McCANNA Chairman DANIEL FORBES President DAVID PHILLIPS Executive VP RAPHALIATA McKENZIE Senior VP MAGGIE BURGER Senior VP ANTHONY MICELI Senior VP LARRY BURGER Vice President MARK JERETINA Vice President

April 4, 2018

The Honorable Aaron Lawlor and and Members of the County Board County of Lake
18 North County Street
Waukegan, Illinois 60085

Dear Chairman Lawlor and County Board Members:

Bids were received today for the \$23,465,000 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2018. There were 11 bidders who submitted a total of 49 bids. The best bid from each bidder is listed at the bottom of this letter.

Upon examination, it is our opinion that the bid of Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, is the best bid received, and it is further our opinion that the bid is favorable to the County and should be accepted. After the sale, in order to accomplish the refunding, the par amount of the Bonds was adjusted to \$20,700,000. The proceeds of the Bonds will be used to refund the County's General Obligation Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2008A, due November 30, 2018-2027, and to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. The sale will result in a savings of \$2,758,275.02, worth \$2,404,435.40 on a net present value basis or 10.609% of refunded principal. We therefore recommend that the Bonds be awarded to that bidder at a revised price of \$23,315,014.35, being at a revised true interest rate of 2.4280%. The bidders are listed below.

True
Interest Rate
. 2.4178% (Original)
. 2.4284%
. 2.4326%
. 2.4342%
. 2.4422%
. 2.4490%
. 2.4512%
. 2.4563%
. 2.4585%
. 2.4730%
. 2.7681%

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin W. McCanna Chairman

KWM/rmr

**Enclosures** 



SPEERAUCTION.COM

11:04:25 am 11:12:18 am EDT Time Now End **Start** 10:45:00 am Type AON Wed., Apr 4, 2018 **Auction Date** 

Connected to server

Status Over

Reoffering Information is now available on the **Best Bid** Page

\$23,465,000\*

The County of Lake, Illinois General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source),

Series 2018

						,			,				
Bid Cumulative Open Auction	Savings	\$ 34,545.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cumulative	No. Improvement	0.072201%	%996850.0	0.013320%	0.019496%	0.036784%	0.011488%	0.096453%	0.096059%	ı	ı	0.213092%	
Bid	No.	4	5	3	9	3	4	8	10	1	1	4	49
Total	Interest	2.417776% 11:02:25 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,908,002.59) \$3,234,956.44 4   0.072201%	2.428441%[11:01:31 am[\$6,142,959.03](2,894,902.95)[\$3,248,056.08   5	2.432599% 11:00:28 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,889,799.34) \$3,253,159.69 3	2.434225% 11:03:37 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,887,803.89) \$3,255,155.14 6   0.019496%	2.442206% 11:00:25 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,878,011.41) \$3,264,947.62 3	\$3,273,329.48	Fifth Third [2.451207%] 10:58:57 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,866,973.44) \$3,275,985.59 8   0.096453%	.57:37 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,860,761.55) \$3,282,197.48   10	2.458482% 10:58:43 am \$6,097,931.53 (2,813,689.00) \$3,284,242.53	\$3,302,624.68	:59:47 am \$4,914,367.22 (1,370,042.71) \$3,544,324.51 4	Total Bids: 49
+ Discount/	(Premium)	(2,908,002.59)	(2,894,902.95)	(2,889,799.34)	(2,887,803.89)	(2,878,011.41)	(2,869,629.55)	(2,866,973.44)	(2,860,761.55)	(2,813,689.00)	(2,840,334.35)	(1,370,042.71)	
Gross	Interest	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,142,959.03	\$6,097,931.53	\$6,142,959.03	\$4,914,367.22	
	Time	o 11:02:25 am	511:01:31 am	5 11:00:28 am	o 11:03:37 am	o 11:00:25 am	o 10:59:42 am	o 10:58:57 am	o 10:57:37 am	o 10:58:43 am	o 10:58:02 am	o 10:59:47 am	
	TIC	2.417776%	2.428441%	2.432599%	2.434225%	2.442206%	2.449041%	2.451207%	2.456276% 10:	2.458482%	2.472955%	2.768140%	
	Firm	Janney	Mesirow	JP Morgan	Citigroup	Piper Jaffray	6th RAYM-RS Raymond James 2.449041% 10:59:42 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,869,629.55) \$3,273,329.48 4	Fifth Third	Wells Fargo	Hutchinson	0th RWBA-DK  Robert Baird   2.472955% 10:58:02 am \$6,142,959.03 (2,840,334.35) \$3,302,624.68	1th BOSC-AM   BOK Financial   2.768140%   10:	
	Bidder	1st JANN-MD	2nd MESI-JM	3rd JPMO-JM	4th CITI-EF	5th PIPE-MF	th RAYM-RS	7th FIFT-GK	8th WACH-WI	9th HUTC-JV	Oth RWBA-DK	1th BOSC-AM	
			7	3	4	5	9	7	$\infty$	6		1	

<sup>\*</sup>Preliminary, subject to change



Investment Ratings: Moody's Investors Service ... Aaa S&P Global Ratings ... AAA/Stable

# \$20,700,000 THE COUNTY OF LAKE, ILLINOIS

General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2018

Date of Sale: April 4, 2018 Revised Average Life: 5.368 Years Bond Buyer Index: 3.89 (Based on TIC)

			Original	Revised		
			Par	Par		True
Bidders*	<u>Price</u>	<u>Maturities</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	<u>Amounts</u>	Rates	Interest**
Janney Montgomery	Original Bid	2018	\$ 2,490,000	\$ 2,235,000	5.000%	Original Bid
Scott LLC,	112.393%	2019	2,080,000	1,640,000	5.000%	2.4178%
Philadelphia, PA	\$26,373,002.59	2020	2,140,000	1,740,000	5.000%	\$3,234,956.44
		2021	2,200,000	1,835,000	5.000%	
	Revised Bid	2022	2,260,000	1,940,000	5.000%	Revised Bid
	112.633%	2023	2,325,000	2,045,000	5.000%	2.4280%
	\$23,315,014.35	2024	2,400,000	2,160,000	5.000%	\$2,940,360.65
		2025	2,460,000	2,260,000	5.000%	
		2026	2,520,000	2,365,000	5.000%	
		2027	2,590,000	2,480,000	5.000%	
			\$23,465,000	\$20,700,000		

Bidders*	<u>Price</u>	<u>Maturities</u>	<u>Rates</u>	<u>True</u> Interest**
Mesirow Financial, Inc., New York, NY	112.337% \$26,359,902.95	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4284% \$3,248,056.08
JP Morgan Securities, New York, NY Estrada Hinojosa & Company Academy Securities	112.315% \$26,354,799.34	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4326% \$3,253,159.69
Citigroup, Inc., Denver, CO	112.307% \$26,352,803.89	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4342% \$3,255,155.14
Piper Jaffray & Co., Minneapolis, MN	112.265% \$26,343,011.41	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4422% \$3,264,947.62

<sup>\*</sup>Syndicate information is provided by the underwriter. The information contained in this report is the most current available.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The winning bid was adjusted to reflect the new amount of \$20,700,000. All other bids were based on the presale amount of \$23,465,000. The original interest on the winning bid was \$3,234,956.44 and the true interest rate was 2.4178%. The true interest rate reflects the time value of money where dollars spent in early years have a greater weight than dollars spent in later years.



# \$20,700,000 THE COUNTY OF LAKE, ILLINOIS

General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2018

Bidders* Raymond James & Associates, Inc., New York, NY Morgan Stanley FTN Rameriz	<u>Price</u> 112.229% \$26,334,629.55	<u>Maturities</u> 2018-2027	<u>Rates</u> 5.000%	True Interest** 2.4490% \$3,273,329.48
Fifth Third Securities, Inc., Cincinnati, OH	112.218% \$26,331,973.44	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4512% \$3,275,985.59
Wells Fargo Bank, Charlotte, NC	112.192% \$26,325,761.55	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4563% \$3,282,197.48
Hutchinson, Shockey, Erley & Co., Chicago, IL	111.991% \$26,278,689.00	2018 2019-2027	2.000% 5.000%	2.4585% \$3,284,242.53
Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated, Milwaukee, WI	112.105% \$26,305,334.35	2018-2027	5.000%	2.4730% \$3,302,624.68
BOK Financial Securities, Inc., Oklahoma City, OK Northland Hilliard Tribal	105.839% \$24,835,042.71	2018-2027	4.000%	2.7681% \$3,544,324.51

<sup>\*</sup>Syndicate information is provided by the underwriter. The information contained in this report is the most current available.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The winning bid was adjusted to reflect the new amount of \$20,700,000. All other bids were based on the presale amount of \$23,465,000. The original interest on the winning bid was \$3,234,956.44 and the true interest rate was 2.4178%. The true interest rate reflects the time value of money where dollars spent in early years have a greater weight than dollars spent in later years.

#### \$20,700,000 \*

The County of Lake, Illinois General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2018

Best AON Bidder:	Best AON TIC:	Best Revised AON TIC
Janney Montgomery Scott	2.417776 %	2.427953 %

		Resized	Serial/	
	Principal	Principal	Sinker/	
Due	Amount *	Amount	Term	Coupon
Nov 30, 2018	\$2,490,000	\$2,235,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2019	\$2,080,000	\$1,640,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2020	\$2,140,000	\$1,740,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2021	\$2,200,000	\$1,835,000.	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2022	\$2,260,000	\$1,940,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2023	\$2,325,000	\$2,045,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2024	\$2,400,000	\$2,160,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2025	\$2,460,000	\$2,260,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2026	\$2,520,000	\$2,365,000	Serial	5.000%
Nov 30, 2027	\$2,590,000	\$2,480,000	Serial	5.000%

Original Purchase Price: \$26,373,002.59 Resized Purchase Price: \$23,315,014.35

Spread: None Entered

**Time Submitted:** April 4, 2018 at 11:02:25 AM

EDT

<sup>\*</sup> Numbers displayed in blue are post sale adjustments.

SpeerAuction Interest Cost Calculations (for informational purposes only)						
	Original	Resized				
Issue Size	\$23,465,000	\$20,700,000				
Gross Interest	\$6,142,959.03	\$5,555,375.00				
Plus Discount/(Less Premium)	(2,908,002.59)	(2,615,014.35)				
Total Interest Cost	\$3,234,956.44	\$2,940,360.65				
True Interest Rate	2.417776%	2.427953%				
Total Bond Years	122,859.18	111,107.50				
Average Life	5.236 Years	5.368 Years				

**Firm: Janney Montgomery Scott** 

The foregoing bid as submitted or as revised post sale, if appropriate, was accepted and the Securities sold by action of this Board, and receipt is hereby acknowledged of the good faith Deposit, if any, which is being held in accordance with the terms of the annexed Official Notice of Sale.	
Signature:	
Title:	

<sup>\*</sup>Preliminary, subject to change

# The County of Lake, Illinois

General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source),

Series 2018

Dated: April 23, 2018 / Final

# **Debt Service Schedule**

Date	Principal	Coupon	Interest	Total P+I	Fiscal Total
04/23/2018	-	-	-	-	-
11/30/2018	2,235,000.00	5.000%	623,875.00	2,858,875.00	2,858,875.00
05/30/2019	-	-	461,625.00	461,625.00	-
11/30/2019	1,640,000.00	5.000%	461,625.00	2,101,625.00	2,563,250.00
05/30/2020	-	-	420,625.00	420,625.00	-
11/30/2020	1,740,000.00	5.000%	420,625.00	2,160,625.00	2,581,250.00
05/30/2021	-	-	377,125.00	377,125.00	-
11/30/2021	1,835,000.00	5.000%	377,125.00	2,212,125.00	2,589,250.00
05/30/2022	-	-	331,250.00	331,250.00	-
11/30/2022	1,940,000.00	5.000%	331,250.00	2,271,250.00	2,602,500.00
05/30/2023	-	-	282,750.00	282,750.00	-
11/30/2023	2,045,000.00	5.000%	282,750.00	2,327,750.00	2,610,500.00
05/30/2024	-	-	231,625.00	231,625.00	-
11/30/2024	2,160,000.00	5.000%	231,625.00	2,391,625.00	2,623,250.00
05/30/2025	-	-	177,625.00	177,625.00	_
11/30/2025	2,260,000.00	5.000%	177,625.00	2,437,625.00	2,615,250.00
05/30/2026	-	-	121,125.00	121,125.00	-
11/30/2026	2,365,000.00	5.000%	121,125.00	2,486,125.00	2,607,250.00
05/30/2027	-	-	62,000.00	62,000.00	-
11/30/2027	2,480,000.00	5.000%	62,000.00	2,542,000.00	2,604,000.00
Total	\$20,700,000.00	-	\$5,555,375.00	\$26,255,375.00	-

#### **Yield Statistics**

\$111,107.50
5.368 Years
5.0000000%
2.6464106%
2.4279531%
2.3869950%
2.5818913%

#### IRS Form 8038

Net Interest Cost	2.2457921%
Weighted Average Maturity	5.518 Years

Series 2018 Final | SINGLE PURPOSE | 4/4/2018 | 11:03 AM

# The County of Lake, Illinois

General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Sales Tax Alternate Revenue Source),

Series 2018

Dated: April 23, 2018 / Final

# **Debt Service Comparison**

Date	Total P+I	Net New D/S	Old Net D/S	Savings
11/30/2018	2,858,875.00	2,858,875.00	2,862,181.26	3,306.26
11/30/2019	2,563,250.00	2,563,250.00	2,869,181.26	305,931.26
11/30/2020	2,581,250.00	2,581,250.00	2,885,600.00	304,350.00
11/30/2021	2,589,250.00	2,589,250.00	2,898,100.00	308,850.00
11/30/2022	2,602,500.00	2,602,500.00	2,909,062.50	306,562.50
11/30/2023	2,610,500.00	2,610,500.00	2,915,775.00	305,275.00
11/30/2024	2,623,250.00	2,623,250.00	2,927,500.00	304,250.00
11/30/2025	2,615,250.00	2,615,250.00	2,922,000.00	306,750.00
11/30/2026	2,607,250.00	2,607,250.00	2,915,750.00	308,500.00
11/30/2027	2,604,000.00	2,604,000.00	2,908,500.00	304,500.00
Total	\$26,255,375.00	\$26,255,375.00	\$29.013.650.02	\$2,758,275.02

#### PV Analysis Summary (Net to Net)

Refunding Delivery Date

Gross PV Debt Service Savings	2,403,362.63
Net PV Cashflow Savings @ 2.582%(AIC)	2,403,362.63
Contingency or Rounding Amount	1,072.77
Net Present Value Benefit	\$2,404,435.40
Net PV Benefit / \$22,665,000 Refunded Principal	10.609%
Refunding Bond Information	
Refunding Dated Date	4/23/2018

4/23/2018

Series 2018 Final | SINGLE PURPOSE | 4/4/2018 | 11:03 AM



#### CREDIT OPINION

20 March 2018

Rate this Research



#### Contacts

Natalie Claes +1.312.706.9973

Associate Lead Analyst
natalie.claes@moodys.com

David Levett +1.312.706.9990 AVP-Analyst

david.levett@moodys.com

Alexandra S. Parker +1.212.553.4889

MD-Public Finance

alexandra.parker@moodys.com

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

Americas 1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
Japan 81-3-5408-4100
EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

# Lake (County of) IL

Update to credit analysis

#### **Summary**

Lake County's (Aaa) stable credit profile is supported by a large, affluent tax base and a healthy financial position. Overall leverage is modest given a low debt burden and moderate pension burden, though principal amortization is somewhat below average. The county's main challenges include slow rebounding of taxable values and a modest exposure to economically sensitive sales tax revenues.

## **Credit strengths**

- » Large, diverse tax base with above average resident income indices
- » Healthy operating reserves
- » Modest debt and pension burdens

## **Credit challenges**

» Though recovering, taxable values remains well below pre-recession high

## **Rating outlook**

Outlooks are usually not assigned to local governments with this amount of debt.

#### Factors that could lead to an upgrade

» Not applicable

# Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Deterioration of tax base or weakening of resident income indices
- » Significant declines in fund balance or liquidity
- » Material growth in the county's debt or pension burden

### **Key indicators**

Exhibit 1

Lake (County of) IL	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$80,217,258	\$73,491,522	\$68,972,791	\$68,008,541	\$70,380,510
Population	701,282	702,099	703,170	702,898	710,368
Full Value Per Capita	\$114,387	\$104,674	\$98,088	\$96,754	\$99,076
Median Family Income (% of USMedian)	143.9%	142.3%	142.0%	141.9%	141.9%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$241,629	\$241,633	\$252,953	\$256,875	\$262,771
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$171,097	\$175,091	\$165,648	\$166,473	\$169,061
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$162,735	\$168,486	\$158,482	\$155,753	\$166,844
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	70.8%	72.5%	65.5%	64.8%	64.3%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	67.3%	69.7%	62.7%	60.6%	63.5%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$85,940	\$113,865	\$113,085	\$199,755	\$195,595
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$230,653	\$283,126	\$293,096	\$396,779	\$500,840
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.4x	0.5x	0.4x	0.8x	0.7x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Pevenues (x)	1.0x	1.2x	1.2x	1.5x	1.9x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%

Source: Moody's Investors Service, the county's audited financial statements, US Census Bureau

#### **Profile**

Lake County encompasses 447 square miles in northeastern <u>Illinois</u> (Baa3 negative). It is the third largest county in the state, and provides a full range of governmental services to approximately 703,000 residents.

#### **Detailed credit considerations**

#### Economy and tax base: large, affluent tax base north of Chicago and south of Milwaukee

The county's economic stability will likely remain a credit strength given its favorable location within commuting distance to both the Chicago (Ba1 negative) and Milwaukee (A1 stable) metropolitan areas and strong resident income levels. Following several years of declines coinciding with the most recent economic downturn, Lake County's tax base has grown by a cumulative 10% over the last two years to a 2017 valuation of \$74.8 billion. Despite recent growth, the tax base remains well below its pre-recession high of \$91.6 billion. County officials anticipate growth of roughly 3% in the upcoming year, driven by modest retail and residential developments. Median family income is estimated at 141.9% of the national median.

#### Financial operations and reserves: financial position to remain healthy

Despite recent general fund deficits, we anticipate the county's financial position will remain stable given healthy reserves and proactive management. Following three years of moderate draws, the county closed fiscal 2016 with an available general fund balance of \$118.8 million, or a still healthy 67.3% of revenue. The shortfalls are largely attributed to rising public safety and judicial costs, as well as the planned spend down of reserves for various capital improvements. Across all operating funds (general, FICA, IMRF, health, and debt service funds), available fund balance totaled \$169.1 million or a solid 64.3% of 2016 operating revenue.

Unaudited results for fiscal 2017 project a \$1.1 million operating deficit across operating funds, largely due to a planned \$3 million use of fund balance in the health fund for capital projects. The general fund financial operations stabilized due to increased property tax receipts as the county shifted a portion of its tax levy from the health fund to the general fund. The fiscal 2018 budget reflects a \$3

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

million surplus across operating funds driven primarily by an increase in the property tax levy and increased fee and surcharge revenues, with no significant variances reported.

#### LIQUIDITY

The county closed fiscal 2016 with a cash position of \$166.8 million across all operating funds, equivalent to a strong 63.5% of revenue.

#### Debt and pensions: low debt and modest pension burden

The county's debt burden will remain modest given no additional borrowing plans. Following a planned issuance in April, Lake County's direct debt will be a modest 0.3% of full value and 0.7x operating revenues.

Moody's three-year average adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the county, our measure of a local government's pension burden that uses a market-based interest rate to value accrued liabilities, is \$500.8 million, equivalent to a moderate 0.7% of full value and 1.9x operating revenue. Fixed costs, inclusive of debt service and retirement contributions, were 14.3% of operating revenue in fiscal 2016

#### **DEBT STRUCTURE**

All of the county's debt is fixed rate. Amortization is below average with 61.2% of principal retired in ten years.

#### **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

The county is not a party to any debt-related derivatives.

#### PENSIONS AND OPEB

County employees participate in the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF), a defined benefit agent plan. For fiscal 2016, county contributions were 147.7% of the tread water payment. Our tread water analysis measures whether or not a government's annual pension contributions are sufficient to pay down a portion of reported unfunded pension liabilities. After accounting for employee contributions, annual government contributions that tread water equal the sum of employer service cost and interest on the reported net pension liability at the start of the fiscal year.

The county's other post-employment benefits (OPEB) liabilities is funded on a pay as you go basis, with the county making contributions of \$1.1 million in fiscal 2016. As of December 1, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) for OPEB benefits totaled \$21.8 million, or a modest 0.08x operating revenue.

#### Management and governance: moderate institutional framework

Illinois counties have an Institutional Framework score of A, which is moderate compared to the nation. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. Lake County is non-home rule and is subject to the Property Extension Limitation Law (PTELL), which caps property tax revenue growth to the lesser of 5% or CPI growth, plus new construction. Revenue predictability is moderate, with varying dependence on property, sales, and state-distributed income taxes. Expenditures, which are primarily for criminal justice, are moderately predictable. Counties have limited ability to reduce expenditures given strong public sector unions and pension benefits that enjoy strong constitutional protections.

Lake County's largest source of operating revenue is property taxes, which accounted for 63.3% of fiscal 2016 revenue. Though limited by PTELL, the county maintains margin under all of its capped funds. Therefore, it was able to offset valuation losses with tax rate increases and capture continuous growth in receipts. There is some exposure to economically sensitive revenues as roughly 16% of all governmental revenue comes in the form of sales taxes and 2% from income tax distributions from the state, which totaled \$61.6 million and \$8 million, respectively, in fiscal 2016. Sales tax receipts have averaged annual growth of 3.9% over the past five years, though management conservatively budgets for no increase. Additionally, county officials have budgeted for a \$1 million decrease in income tax receipts in fiscal 2018 as the state cut distributions by 10%, though we anticipate this will have minimal impact on the county's overall financial position as increases to the property tax levy will offset this loss.

#### **Endnotes**

1 Our "tread water" indicator measures the annual government contribution required to prevent reported net pension liabilities from growing, given the entity's actuarial assumptions. An annual government contribution that treads water equals the sum of employer service cost and interest on the reported net pension liability at the start of the fiscal year. A pension plan that receives an employer contribution equal to the tread water indicator will end the

year with an unchanged net pension liability relative to the beginning of the year if all plan assumptions hold. Net liabilities may decrease or increase in a given year due to factors other than the contribution amount, such as investment performance that exceeds or falls short of a plan's assumed rate of return. Still, higher contributions will always reduce unfunded liabilities faster, or will allow unfunded liabilities to grow more slowly than lower contributions.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ON TO CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <a href="https://www.moodys.com">www.moodys.com</a> under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

 $MJKK \ and \ MSFJ \ also \ maintain \ policies \ and \ procedures \ to \ address \ Japanese \ regulatory \ requirements.$ 

REPORT NUMBER

1113713

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454





# **RatingsDirect**®

# **Summary:**

# Lake County, Illinois; General **Obligation**

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Helen Samuelson, Chicago (1) 312-233-7011; helen.samuelson@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Eric J Harper, Chicago (1) 312-233-7094; eric.harper@spglobal.com

## **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

# **Summary:**

# Lake County, Illinois; General Obligation

#### **Credit Profile**

US\$23.465 mil GO rfdg bnds (sales tax alternate rev source) ser 2018 due 11/30/2027

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable New

Lake Cnty

Long Term Rating AAA/Stable Affirmed

#### Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' rating to Lake County, Ill.'s series 2018 general obligation (GO) sales tax alternate revenue source bonds. We also affirmed our 'AAA' rating on the county's outstanding GO bonds. The outlook is stable.

The series 2018 GO bonds are alternate revenue source bonds secured by the county's sales tax revenues, and by unlimited ad valorem property taxes to the extent that pledged revenues are insufficient. The county will abate the debt service levy after pledged revenues have been deposited into a debt service account. The 2018 GO bonds will be used to refund series 2008A bonds for interest cost savings.

Lake County's GO bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the county can maintain better credit characteristics than the U.S. in a stress scenario. Under our criteria "Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions" (published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect), U.S. local governments are considered to have moderate sensitivity to country risk. The institutional framework in the U.S. is predictable for local governments, allowing them significant autonomy, independent treasury management, and no history of government intervention, and we believe Lake County's very strong budgetary reserves and liquidity sufficiently demonstrate financial flexibility.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following factors for the county:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Strong management, with "good" financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Adequate budgetary performance, with an operating deficit in the general fund but break-even operating results at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2016;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2016 of 65% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 100.3% of total governmental fund expenditures and 25.6x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Very strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 3.9% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 50.2% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as low overall net debt at less than 3% of

market value; and

• Strong institutional framework score.

#### Very strong economy

We consider the county's economy very strong. Lake County, with an estimated population of 707,030, is located in the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI MSA, which we consider to be broad and diverse. The county has a projected per capita effective buying income of 134% of the national level and per capita market value of \$105,661. Overall, the county's market value grew by 6.3% over the past year to \$74.7 billion in 2017. The county unemployment rate was 5.2% in 2016.

Lake County is north of Chicago between Cook County and the Wisconsin state line. Lake Michigan forms the county's eastern boundary.

Lake County is one of Chicago's "collar counties" and its economy benefits from being part of the broad and diverse Chicago metropolitan area. The county's preliminary 2017 unemployment rate is 4.6%, which is below the state's 5.0% rate. The county's tax base has shown steady gains during its rebound from the last recession, and county officials expect growth to continue in the next levy year.

Leading county employers include Great Lakes Naval Training Center in North Chicago, which employs about 11,000 civilian and military personnel; Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc. with 6,500 employed; and Aon Hewitt, LLC with 5,000 employed.

#### Strong management

We view the county's management as strong, with "good" financial policies and practices under our FMA methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

County officials use generally five years of history as well as outside sources of information when constructing the budget. Once the budget is adopted, the county board receives quarterly budget reports. The county does not currently produce any formal long-term financial projections, but it does project revenues. The county has internal, multi-year capital plans but its published plan for its various facilities spans one year. The county has a five-year highway improvement plan. The county has an investment policy and the treasurer provides the board with monthly reports. The debt policy offers mainly qualitative guidelines for debt issuance but no quantitative guidelines beyond adhering to state statutory debt limits. The formal reserve policy requires reserves across the various operating funds be held in aggregate at 15% operating expenditures as well as 1.5 months' cash flow.

#### Adequate budgetary performance

Lake County's budgetary performance is adequate in our opinion. The county had deficit operating results in the general fund of negative 3.1% of expenditures, but a balanced result across all governmental funds of negative 0.1% in fiscal 2016.

We adjusted the county's general fund revenues to include routine transfers from its FICA (Federal Insurance Contributions Act) and IMRF (Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund) funds, which account for employer contributions related to social security and pensions. General fund expenditures were adjusted to include annual transfers for debt service on its 2008A GO bonds.

We adjusted total governmental fund expenditures to exclude expenditures paid from bond proceeds. For instance, the county reported \$19 million of expenditures tied to its 2015 bond proceeds.

Fiscal 2015 and 2016 ended with modest, planned general fund deficits, which occurred when the county utilized a portion of its reserves to fund capital projects.

We expect performance will likely be at least adequate over the current and following year. The county budgeted balanced operations in 2017, and officials believe they will report at least breakeven results. Fiscal 2018 calls for a positive general fund result.

Property tax revenue, which accounts for 41% of general fund revenue, has shown mainly inflationary gains in 2017 and 2018. Sales and other tax revenues, which account for 24% of general fund revenue, are stable. The county reports no major variances in its expenditures, and has contingencies in place to guard against negative budget variances. In terms of personnel and personnel-related expenses, the county indicates its cost structures are predictable and manageable. Overall, we expect that the county's general fund will remain at least balanced in the current and following year.

Many of the county's other governmental funds are involved in capital-related activities, funded with a combination of grants and tax revenue. Deficits in the total governmental funds are largely due to capital spending. One exception is with respect to the county's nursing home, Winchester House. The county plans to eventually divest itself of the operation. The county turned over management to a third party, but the revenue and expenditures flow through the county's governmental funds. A new company is now operating the nursing home, and all revenues and expenditures flow through the operator. The county has agreed to cover any operating shortfalls up to \$1.5 million per year, but after the operator completes the construction of a new nursing home, the county will no longer cover any expenses. Overall, we expect the county's total governmental fund performance to remain stable for the current and following year.

#### Very strong budgetary flexibility

Lake County's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2016 of 65% of operating expenditures, or \$118.8 million. We expect the available fund balance to remain above 30% of expenditures for the current and next fiscal years, which we view as a positive credit factor.

We adjusted the county's general fund reserves to account for reserves formally committed by the county board, but available if necessary. These typically consist of funds committed for future capital projects. The committed amounts may change from year to year, depending on the timing of capital spending. Overall, however, we expect the county's financial flexibility to remain very strong based on its balanced financial operations in fiscals 2017 and 2018.

#### Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, Lake County's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 100.3% of total governmental fund expenditures and 25.6x governmental debt service in 2016. In our view, the county has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

We adjusted the county's liquidity for unspent bond proceeds; in 2016, this amount was \$66.3 million.

We believe the county's strong access to liquidity is supported by its regular issuance of GO and water and sewer debt.

#### Very strong debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, Lake County's debt and contingent liability profile is very strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 3.9% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 50.2% of total governmental fund revenue. Overall net debt is low at 2.8% of market value, which we consider a positive credit factor.

The county has \$183 million of GO debt outstanding, \$52 million of revenue bonds, and \$6.8 million of special service area bonds. Officials are currently in the process of completing a master facilities plan to map out capital needs over the next five to 10 years, but they currently have no plans to issue debt during the next two to three years.

Lake County's combined required pension and actual other postemployment benefits (OPEB) contributions totaled 5.4% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2016. The county made 103% of its annual required pension contribution in 2016.

The county has two defined benefit pension plans, both affiliated with the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF), one for regular employees and one for eligible public safety officers, the Sheriff Law Enforcement Personnel (SLEP) pension plan. The county makes its full contribution to both plans each year. In 2016, the county contributed \$15.15 million to the regular IMRF plan, slightly more than the \$14.93 million actuarially determined contribution (ADC). The plan had a net pension liability of \$86.34 million, and it was 89% funded in 2016. The county contributed \$4.75 million to the SLEP portion of the IMRF plan, slightly more than the \$4.30 million ADC. The SLEP plan has a \$37.04 million net pension liability, and it was 81% funded in 2016. The county allows its retirees to buy into the active employees' health insurance system at their own expense and so has only an implied rate subsidy for its OPEBs.

#### Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Illinois non-home rule counties subject to the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law is strong.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of Lake County's strong to very strong credit characteristics, all of which support the 'AAA' rating and all of which we expect to be stable. As such, we do not expect to lower the rating within the two-year outlook horizon.

We could lower the rating with substantial deterioration in Lake County's budgetary performance and flexibility, although we consider this unlikely in the next two years. Additionally, we could lower the rating if the county were to issue substantial new money debt resulting in a weaker overall debt profile that, in our view, is no longer consistent with that of 'AAA' rated peers, although we consider this unlikely in the next two years.

## Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Incorporating GASB 67 And 68: Evaluating Pension/OPEB Obligations Under Standard & Poor's U.S. Local Government GO Criteria, Sept. 2, 2015

Ratings Detail (As Of March 16, 2018)					
Lake Cnty GO					
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed			
Lake Cnty GO					
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed			

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditoortal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.