	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
1	Township	PIN	Report Timestamp	Review Date	Prop Class	Issueid	Appear by Letter	No Contest	Owner First	Owner Middle	Owner Last	Owner Org
2	005 - Lake Villa	02-25-306-093	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1711849	Letter		FAREED		UDDIN	
3	005 - Lake Villa	02-36-403-016	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1712448						CUSTOM NARDI SYSTEMS INC
4	005 - Lake Villa	06-05-301-032	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1708917	Letter					VICTOR M ROMERO- OCHOA & JANE M ROMERO
5	005 - Lake Villa	06-05-301-089	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1711335	Letter	Y	LEO &	KEACHA	SIMMONS	
6	005 - Lake Villa	06-05-305-006	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1709314	Letter		CHONG		LEE	
7	005 - Lake Villa	06-08-410-037	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1708918	Letter		MAREK		BIELAK	

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
8	005 - Lake Villa	06-09-304-019	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1712227						JVA IL LLC
9	005 - Lake Villa	06-10-401-066	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1707565	Letter					PINKY N SHAH & ASHWIN D MANDALIYA
10	005 - Lake Villa	06-10-407-018	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1711378						GEETA O PAUL & OMPRAKASH K PAUL
11	018 - Moraine	16-15-304-035	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1707481	Letter		ANTHONY J	& VERONICA JAEGER	ACCARDO	
12	018 - Moraine	16-15-317-003	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1708119			JENNA		KANT- DUFFY	
13	018 - Moraine	16-15-421-013	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1707505	Letter		DAVID S &	ELIZABET H STARK	BAILEY	
14	018 - Moraine	16-15-421-022	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	103	1707510	Letter		DAVID S &	ELIZABET H STARK	BAILEY	

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L
15	018 - Moraine	16-22-408-009	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1708631	Letter		MARTIN A &	AMY S	BLUMENT HAL	
16	018 - Moraine	16-22-412-042	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1706510			HERBERT B &	BARI B	LICHTMAN	
17	018 - Moraine	16-34-206-016	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1704012			DAVID &	CARYN	PAGET	
18	018 - Moraine	16-34-209-034	8/28/17 9:00	08/25/2017	104	1705828	Letter		ARI R &	GENEVIEV E N	SAGETT	

	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х
	Situs	Situs City	Zip	Agent Org	Agent Last	Land AV	Bldg AV	Total AV			BR Total	Change
1	Address	Oilus Oily	Zip	Agent Org	Agent Last	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	Amount
2	643 N BRIDGEP ORT TER	LINDENHU RST	60046			14240	58,962	73,202	14,240	45,419	59,659	104,381
3	2920 FARMINGT ON DR	LINDENHU RST	60046	ATTORNEY AT LAW	VERVILOS	19410	58,615	78,025	19,410	41,584	60,994	100,199
4	584 BLAZING STAR DR	LAKE VILLA	60046		KINGSLEY	24393	99,290	123,683	24,393	90,596	114,989	189,886
5	650 BLAZING STAR DR	LAKE VILLA	60046			24226	87,915	112,141	24,226	85,763	109,989	173,678
6	475 SANDLEW OOD LN	LAKE VILLA	60046			22723	110,601	133,324	22,723	98,931	121,654	209,532
7	56 W RUSTIC LN	ROUND LAKE BEACH	60073		KINGSLEY	7958	41,822	49,780	7,958	32,038	39,996	73,860

	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х
8	17 E COUNTRY WALK DR	ROUND LAKE BEACH	60073	VERROS, LAFAKIS & BERKSHIRE, PC	VERROS	7438	31,330	38,768	7,438	24,892	32,330	56,222
9	1237 E ESSEX CT	ROUND LAKE BEACH	60073	ATTORNEY AT LAW	KOONCE	14650	48,506	63,156	14,650	30,146	44,796	78,652
10	1244 E FOX CHASE DR	ROUND LAKE BEACH	60073- 4158			12641	53,847	66,488	12,641	35,021	47,662	88,868
11	2790 PRISCILLA AVE	HIGHLAND PARK	60035	Elliott & Associates	ELLIOTT	67794	140,872	208,666	67,794	140,872	208,666	281,744
12	875 WOODLEI GH AVE	HIGHLAND PARK	60035	MCCRACKE N, MCCRACKE N & BEHRENS, P.C.	BEHRENS	70397	155,082	225,479	70,397	119,584	189,981	274,666
13	111 PLEASANT AVE	HIGHWOO D	60040			28739	66,955	95,694	28,739	63,410	92,149	130,365
14	SARD PL	HIGHWOO D	60040			842	0	842	842	0	842	0

	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х
15	1839 BEVERLY PL	HIGHLAND PARK	60035			53062	118,486	171,548	53,062	118,486	171,548	236,972
16	1160 HILARY LN	HIGHLAND PARK	60035			71380	261,940	333,320	71,380	248,588	319,968	510,528
17	734 N RIDGE RD	HIGHLAND PARK	60035	SCHILLER KLEIN PC	STRAUSS	108874	257,747	366,621	108,874	182,763	291,637	440,510
18	445 RIDGE RD	HIGHLAND PARK	60035			46652	197,924	244,576	46,652	186,658	233,310	384,582

	Υ
1	BR Settlement Offer Reason
2	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
3	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
4	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
5	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
6	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
7	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.

	Υ
8	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. Based on the comparables submitted, the Board finds that a change to the total market value is warranted. T It is the opinion of the Board that the sale of this property was atypical and not a good indicator of its market value.
9	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
10	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change reflects the recent purchase price of the subject property, which the Board finds to be a good indication of market value as of January 1, 2017.
11	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The present assessment reflects a prior Property Tax Appeal Board decision plus the application of appropriate township factor(s).
12	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. After a review of the appraisal and the comparables submitted, the Board finds that the indicated change is warranted.
13	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. The change is based on the appraisal submitted by the appellant.
14	The Board has considered the evidence submitted by all parties to the case. Evidence presented by the appellant was considered insufficient to warrant a reduction.

The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the assessment reflects a prior Probate Board decision plus the appropriate township The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and to submitted, the Board finds the change is warrant. The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and to the review of the appraisal and to the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the review of	case. The present
submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and to submitted, the Board finds the change is warrant. The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and to	
submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and t	ne case. After a he comparables nat the indicated
submitted, the Board finds the change is warrar	ne case. After a he comparables nat the indicated
The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the review of the appraisal and the submitted, the Board finds the change is warranted. The Board has considered submitted by all parties to the the comparables submitted, the a change to \$60.47 per square.	ne case. After a he comparables nat the indicated ted. If the evidence case. Based on e Board finds that lare foot on the