Municipality	. "		L					Name		
	•		0	(BA) III	inois Depar	tment	C	AMEC Enviror	ment & Infrastructure	е
Township			CA	(A)	inois Depar Firansport	ation	N	Address	······································	_
			L	- -			S U		ns Road, Suite 300	
County	nty – Division of	f	A	Prelin	ninary Engin	eerina	T	City		
Transporta			E		vices Agreen		A	Chicago		
Section			NC	Non-Me	For otor Fuel Tax	Funds	N T	State	·	_
10-00123-	06-RS 		Υ						***************************************	
Agency (L improvement supervisio	ent of the above	ant (ENGINE e SECTION. epartment o	ER) Non f Tra	and covers co -Motor Fuel T nsportation, h	ax Funds, all ereinafter cal	ional engineer otted to the LA led the "DEPA	∖ by ∖RTN	services in conn the State of Illin	ween the above Loca ection with the eis, under the genera sed entirely er in part	al
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				Sec	tion Descrip	tion				
Name E	Everett Road at	Tollway - Bri	dge I	Deck Repair a	and Resurfaci	ng - Phase II S	Supp	olement 1		
	CH 52	_	.00	Mi.	231.00	FT		(Structure No.	049-9909)	
TOULE _C	71102	Length 0	.00		231.00	' '		(Structure No.	049-9909	
Termini	West and Eas	t Approach s	labs	to bridge.						
Description		MS with a D	INI #E	2 00001 The	original proje	ot occupa in an	non	ded by the addit	ion of phase II	
	or Deerfield Roa								on or phase in	
				Agre	ement Provi	sions				
•	neer Agrees,									
	form or be resp sed improveme						ervio	ces for the LA, ir	n connection with the	;
а. 🗌	Make such det	tailed survey	s as a	are necessary	for the prepare	aration of deta	iled	roadway plans		
b. 🗌	Make stream a of detailed brid		n hyd	draulic survey	s and gather	high water dat	ta, a	nd flood historie	s for the preparation	l
с. 🗌	analyses there	of as may be	requ	uired to furnis	h sufficient da	ata for the des	ign d	cluding borings a of the proposed ents of the DEPA		
d. 🗌	Make or cause furnish sufficie						terse	ection studies as	may be required to	
е. 🗌	of Natural Res	ources-Office	e of V	Vater Resour	ces Permit, B	ridge waterwa			on Permit, Departmer annel Change sketch	
f. 🛚	Utility plan and Prepare Prelim	·			•		omi	c analysis of bri	dge or culvert types)	
	and high water	effects on re	oadw	ay overflows	and bridge ap	proaches.				
g. 🗌	with one (1) cor	py of each do	cum	ent in both ho	irdcopy and e	electronic form	ıat.	l estimates of co Additional copie ENGINEER's a		4
h. 🗌								ay dedications, of the correspond	construction ing plats and staking	j
i. 🔲	Assist the LA is	n the tabulati	on a	nd interpretati	on of the con	tractors' propo	sals	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	j. Prepare the necessary environmental documents in accordance with the procedures adopted by the DEPARTMENT's Bureau of Local Roads & Streets.
	k. Prepare the Project Development Report when required by the DEPARTMENT.
	. Services as included and/or defined in the attached Scope of Services.
2.	That all reports, plans, plats and special provisions to be furnished by the ENGINEER pursuant to the AGREEMENT, will be in accordance with current standard specifications and policies of the LA of the DEPARTMENT. It is being understood that all such reports, plats, plans and drafts shall, before being finally accepted, be subject to approval by the LA and the DEPARTMENT.
3.	To attend conferences at any reasonable time when requested to do so by representatives of the LA or the Department.
4.	In the event plans or surveys are found to be in error during construction of the SECTION and revisions of the plans or survey corrections are necessary, the ENGINEER agrees that the ENGINEER will perform such work without expense to the LA, even though final payment has been received by the ENGINEER. The ENGINEER shall give immediate attention to these changes so there will be a minimum delay to the CONTRACTOR.
5.	That basic survey notes and sketches, charts, computations and other data prepared or obtained by the ENGINEER pursuant to this AGREEMENT will be made available, upon request, to the LA or the DEPARTMENT without cost and without restriction or limitations as to their use.
6.	That all plans and other documents furnished by the ENGINEER pursuant to this AGREEMENT will be endorsed by the ENGINEER and will show the ENGINEER's professional seal where such is required by law.
Th	ne LA Agrees,
1.	To pay the ENGINEER as compensation for all services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT according to the following method indicated by a check mark:
	a. A sum of money equal to percent of the awarded contract cost of the proposed improvement as approved by the DEPARTMENT.
	b. A sum of money equal to the percent of the awarded contract cost for the proposed improvement as approved by the DEPARTMENT based on the following schedule:
	Schedule for Percentages Based on Awarded Contract Cost
	Awarded Cost Under \$50,000 Percentage Fees (see note)
	Note: Not necessarily a percentage. Could use per diem, cost-plus or lump sum.
2.	To pay for all services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT at the actual cost of performing such work plus <u>15</u> percent to cover profit, overhead and readiness to serve - "actual cost" being defined as material cost plus payrolls, insurance, social security and retirement deductions. Traveling and other out-of-pocket expenses will be reimbursed to the ENGINEER at the ENGINEER's actual cost. Subject to the approval of the LA, the ENGINEER may sublet all or part of the services provided in section 1 of the ENGINEER AGREES. If the ENGINEER sublets all or part of this work, the LA

will pay the cost to the ENGINEER plus an additional service charge of up to five (5) percent.

"Cost to Engineer" to be verified by furnishing the LA and the DEPARTMENT copies of invoices from the party doing the work. The classifications of the employees used in the work should be consistent with the employee classifications for the services performed. If the personnel of the firm, including the Principal Engineer, perform routine services that should normally be performed by lesser-salaried personnel, the wage rate billed for such services shall be commensurate with the work performed.

This increases the Total Not-to-Exceed Contract Amount from \$144,240 by \$53,161 to \$197,401.00

- 3. That payments due the ENGINEER for services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT will be made as soon as practicable after the services have been performed in accordance with the following schedule:
 - a. Upon completion of detailed plans, special provisions, proposals and estimate of cost being the work required by section 1 of the ENGINEER AGREES to the satisfaction of the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, 90 percent of the total fee due under this AGREEMENT based on the approved estimate of cost.
 - b. Upon award of the contract for the improvement by the LA and its approval by the DEPARTMENT, 100 percent of the total fee due under the AGREEMENT based on the awarded contract cost, less any amounts paid under "a" above.

By Mutual agreement, partial payments, not to exceed 90 percent of the amount earned, may be made from time to time as the work progresses.

- 4. That, should the improvement be abandoned at any time after the ENGINEER has performed any part of the services provided for in sections 1 and 3 of the ENGINEER AGREES and prior to the completion of such services, the LA shall reimburse the ENGINEER for the ENGINEER's actual costs plus 15 percent incurred up to the time the ENGINEER is notified in writing of such abandonment -"actual cost" being defined as in paragraph 2 of the LA AGREES.
- 5. That, should the LA require changes in any of the detailed plans, specifications or estimates except for those required pursuant to paragraph 4 of the ENGINEER AGREES, after they have been approved by the DEPARTMENT, the LA will pay the ENGINEER for such changes on the basis of actual cost plus 15 percent to cover profit, overhead and readiness to serve -"actual cost" being defined as in paragraph 2 of the LA AGREES. It is understood that "changes" as used in this paragraph shall in no way relieve the ENGINEER of the ENGINEER's responsibility to prepare a complete and adequate set of plans and specifications.

It is Mutually Agreed,

- 1. That any difference between the ENGINEER and the LA concerning their interpretation of the provisions of this Agreement shall be referred to a committee of disinterested parties consisting of one member appointed by the ENGINEER, one member appointed by the LA and a third member appointed by the two other members for disposition and that the committee's decision shall be final.
- 2. This AGREEMENT may be terminated by the LA upon giving notice in writing to the ENGINEER at the ENGINEER's last known post office address. Upon such termination, the ENGINEER shall cause to be delivered to the LA all surveys, permits, agreements, preliminary bridge design & hydraulic report, drawings, specifications, partial and completed estimates and data, if any from traffic studies and soil survey and subsurface investigations with the understanding that all such material becomes the property of the LA. The ENGINEER shall be paid for any services completed and any services partially completed in accordance with section 4 of the LA AGREES.
- 3. That if the contract for construction has not been awarded one year after the acceptance of the plans by the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, the LA will pay the ENGINEER the balance of the engineering fee due to make 100 percent of the total fees due under this AGREEMENT, based on the estimate of cost as prepared by the ENGINEER and approved by the LA and the DEPARTMENT.
- 4. That the ENGINEER warrants that the ENGINEER has not employed or retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, to solicit or secure this contract, and that the ENGINEER's has not paid or agreed to pay any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, any fee, commission, percentage, brokerage fee, gifts or any other consideration, contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this contract. For Breach or violation of this warranty the LA shall have the right to annul this contract without liability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused the AGREEMENT to be executed in triplicate counterparts, each of which shall be considered as an original by their duly authorized officers.

Executed by the LA:

RECOMMENDED FOR EXECUTION

Martin G. Buehler, P.E.

Director of Transportation/County Engineer

Lake County

Executed by the ENGINEER:

ATTEST:

By Jessica Harding

Title Notary Public

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure

Engineering Firm

8745 W. Higgins Road, Suite 300

Street Address

Chicago, IL

City, State

COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 24, 2015 By Gary S. Baker

Title Sr. Principal Engineer

Note: Three (3) Original Executed Contracts - (2) LCDOT; (1) Consultant

Supplement 1 - Scope of Work

The original scope for this project was developed in November 2010 and contained Phase I, II, and III consulting engineering services for the Everett Road Bridge and Phase I Services for investigation of possible repairs for deterioration to Joints on the Deerfield Road Bridge, both bridges located over the Illinois Tollway Facilities. In the course of this work additional phase II services are requested to address out of scope elements which are described in the following narrative:

Everett Road Bridge Repair - Phase II

The original scope of work that was approved and funded was to prepare plans, specs, and an estimate for the bridge deck repair only. This supplement is for the additional hours required to address the following additional items that were identified in the Bridge Condition Report prepared and submitted by AMEC Environment & Infrastructure (AMEC) on April 29, 2011: Remove and replace the approach slab bituminous overlay, repair the outside face of the northern bike path wall, replace the expansion joints, and replace the damaged guardrail sections. These elements were approved by the County on June 24th and resulted in direction to proceed with Phase II design.

We note that the additional work adds a level of complexity and coordination with the Tollway that had not been previously anticipated, due to the wall work and newly required traffic staging on the Tollway.

Deerfield Road Bridge Repair Investigation

On May 10, 2011 AMEC submitted a technical memo to Lake County documenting the existing condition of the expansion joints at the Deerfield Road over I-94 bridge, completing our original scope of work for this bridge. Based upon the recommendations made, Lake County has requested AMEC prepare Phase II plans, specifications, and cost estimates for the replacement of the expansion joints. These expansion joints connect the approach slabs of the bridge with the approach roadway of Deerfield Road. The proposed plans will utilize existing available information for the proposed work; no new field survey will be performed.

Although coordination will not be required with the Illinois Tollway from a design standpoint, we will submit a copy of all plan submittals to them for their information.

The Phase II plans, specs and cost estimate for the work on the Deerfield Road Bridge will be incorporated into the Phase II plan set for the Everett Road Bridge.

Firm: AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

07/18/11 Date: Route: Everett Road Bridge Repair and Deerfield Road Expansion Joint Replac

Section: 11-00034-05-RS

Overhead and Fringe Rate: Complexity Factor:

154.84%

County: Lake Job No.: Supplement 1 Proj. No.:

						A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	(B+C+D+	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
	€	(B)	<u>(</u>)	<u>(</u>	<u>(II)</u>	(F)	E+F)	
Item	Manhours	Payroll	Overhead	Other	Fixed	Services		% of
			& Fringe	Direct	Fee	By	Total	Grand
			Benefits	Costs		Others		Total
Phase I Engineering Inspection and Des	0	0\$	0\$		0\$	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	0\$	0.0%
Phase II Plans (new or increased scope	435	\$18,023	\$27,907	\$236	\$6,890		\$53,056	99.8%
3 Phase III Field inspection of Deck (1 day	0 /	0\$	0\$		80		0\$	0.0%
4 Deerfield Road Inspection and Technica	0	0\$	\$0		\$0		0\$	0.0%
5 ADDITIONAL TASKS	0	\$0	0\$	\$105	\$0		\$105	0.2%
TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY					\$0	·	0\$	%0.0
PAVEMENT CORING					\$0	1 69	\$0	%0.0
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE					0\$	\$	0\$	0.0%
LIGHTING & ELECTRICAL					80	\$	0\$	0.0%
							,	
1 1111								
					The second secon	Control of the Contro	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED IN C	Distriction of the Control of the Co

435	\$18,023	\$27,907	\$341	\$6,890	\$0 \$53,161	100%
-----	----------	----------	-------	---------	--------------	------

July 18, 2011

July 18, 2011

Everett Road Bridge Repair and Deerfield Road Expansion Joint Replacement 11-00034-05-RS

CONSULTANT ESTIMATE OF MANHOURS

7/18/2011

Item	Work Item		Proj.	Princ.	Senior	Proj.	Staff	Senior	Tech.	Admin.	MEC	
Number		SHEETS	Mgr./	Eng	Eng	Eng	Eng	Tech.		Off.	Item	Item
			Sr. Pr.							Asst.	Total	Total
1.0	Phase I Engineering Inspection and Design (BCR/TS&L)											
											0	0
	SUBTOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.0	2.0 Phase II Plans (new or increased scope only)											
2.1	Everett Road - Roadway										0	0
	MOT on Tollway for Wall Repair		2		40						42	42
	Guardrail Replacement				4						4	4
	MOT/Closure of bike path				4						4	4
	Site Visit				4						4	4
	Specs, notes, and quantities				8						8	8
	QA/QC		2								2	2
	Meetings/Coordination		8	12						4	24	24
2.2	Everett Road - Structurai			-							0	0
	Exp Joint Removal Plans		2	1	4	4		12			23	23
	Expansion Joint Standard Sheet			4				4			8	œ
	Exp Joint Replacement Details			1	12	12		12			37	37
	Wall Repair Elevation Sheet		•	1	4	12		12			29	29
	Wall Repair Details			1	8	12		12			33	33
	Approach Slab Overlay Details			1	8	8		8			25	25
	Site Visit			4							4	4
	QA/QC		8								8	8
2.3	Deerfield Road - Structural/Roadway										0	0
	GP&E			8		16		16			40	40
	Staging, notes, BOM			12		10		12			34	34
	Removal Details			3		4		12			19	19
	Expansion Joint Standard Sheet			2		2		4			8	8
	Replacement details			6		8		&			25	25
	Site Visit			4		2					9	9
	MOT (Deerfield Rd only)					16			,		16	16
	Specs, notes, and quantities					12					12	12

July 18, 2011

Everett Road Bridge Repair and Deerfield Road Expansion Joint Replacement 11-00034-05-RS

CONSULTANT ESTIMATE OF MANHOURS

7/18/2011

я	Ŧ	_	Ī	Ī	S	Common of the Co			1	Ţ					<u> </u>			Ī				IV				
Item	Total	20	0	0	435				0	0	0	0				0		 0			0	435	0	0	0	435
MEC Item	Total	20	0	0	435			0	0	0	0	0				0		0			0	435	0	0	0	435
Admin. Off.	Asst.				4							0				0		0			0	4	0	0	0	4
Tech.					0							0				0		0			0	0	0	0	0	0
Senior Tech.					112							0				0		0			0	112	0	0	0	112
Staff Eng					0							0				0		0		-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Proj. Eng		12			130							0				0		 0			0	130	0	0	0	130
Senior Eng					96							0			•	0		 0			0	96	0	0	0	96
Princ. Eng					63							0	-			0		0			0	63	0	0	0	63
Proj. Mgr./	Sr. Pr.	8			30							0				0		0			0	30	0	0	0	 30
SHEETS					0							0				0		0	 		0	0	0	0	0	 0
Work Item		QAQC			SUBTOTAL:		Phase III Field inspection of Deck (1 day per Stage)	3.1 Bridge Inspection	Patch Sketches			SUBTOTAL:		4.0 Deerfield Road Inspection and Technical Memo		SUBTOTAL:	ADDITIONAL TASKS	SUBTOTAL:		PROJECT SUMMARY	Phase I Engineering Inspection and Design (BCR/TS&L)	Phase II Plans (new or increased scope only)	3.0 Phase III Field inspection of Deck (1 day per Stage)	Deerfield Road Inspection and Technical Memo	ADDITIONAL TASKS	TOTALS
Item Number					S		3.0 P	3.1 B	3.2 P	3.3	3.4	S		4.0 D		S	5.0 A	S		P.	1.0 PF	2.0 Pł	3.0 PI	4.0 De	5.0 AJ	Ĺ

TURE FES	t Replacement	DATE: <u>07/18/11</u>	SHEET 1 OF 1	ection Decrified Road Inspection and OTHER SERVICES TASKS TOTALS Stage)	WGTD % WGTD % WGTD	r. RATE HOURS PART	##### 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! ##### 30 6,90% 4,83	0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! ##### 63 14.48%	##### 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! ##### 96 22.07% 10.03	##### 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! ##### 130 29.89% 11.04	00.0 444444 101/VICH 0 101/VICH 0 0.00%	0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! ##### 112 25,75%	##### 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 ###### 0 0.00% 0.00%	0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! ##### 4	##### 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! ##### 435 100% 41.43
NFRASTRUCTURE ROJECT RATES	and Deerfield Road Expansion Joint Replacement			Phase III Field inspection Deerfi	% WGTD	HOURS PART. RATE HOUF	0 #DIV/0! ####	###### IO//\IQ# 0	0 #DIV/0! #####	0 #DIV/01 #####	##### j0//\lG# 0	##### [i0//\IQ# 0	0 #DIV/0! ####	0 #DIV/0! ####	0 #DIV/0! #####
AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES				hase II Plans (пеw or ncreased scope only)	% WGTD	JRS PART. RATE	30 6.90 4.83	63 14.48 7.74	96 22.07 10.03	130 29.89 11.04	0 0.00 0.00	112 25.75 7.56	00:0	4 0.92 0.23	435 100.00 41.43
A. A	Everett Road Bridge Repair 11-00034-05-RS	Lake Supplement 1		Phase I Engineering P Inspection and Design if (BCR/TS&L)	WGTD %	HOURS PART. RATE HOU	0 ##### i0//i0#	0 ##### 10//IC# 0	##### I0//\IQ# 0	##### i0//\lG# 0	0 #DIV/0! #####	##### i0//IIG# 0	- 1	##### i0//\IQ# 0	##### i0//\lG# 0
		COUNTY: JOB NO. :		AVG	HOURLY	RATES	\$ 70.00	\$ 53.43			\$ 28.08	- 1		\$ 25.22	
				PAYROLL	CLASSIFICATION		PROJECT MANAGER	PRINCIPAL ENGINEER	SENIOR ENGINEER	PROJECT ENGINEER	STAFF ENGINEER	SENIOR TECHNICIAN	TECHNICIAN	WORD PROCESSING	TOTALS

AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE OTHER DIRECT COSTS

		•	THE PRINCE OF OO		***************************************	
DOUTE.	F				***************************************	RATE TABLE
ROUTE:		idge Re	pair and Deerfield	d Road Exp		per mile vehicle use
SECTION:	11-00034-05-RS					per CADD/GIS hour
COUNTY	Lake					per copy (81/2"X11")
Job No.	Supplement 1					per copy (81/2"X11") COLOR
						per copy (11"X17")
						per copy (11"X17" COLOR)
						per print (22"x34")
						Per page (Facsimile)
						Mylar
						Overnight Delivery
					\$25.00	per day parking
						Subtotals
PHASE II						Cubicials
Preliminary Plans (3	200/1		OUTO @		40.00	
riemmiliary rians (30 76)		SETS @	\$0.05		full size
			SETS @	\$0.25		1/2 size
			SETS @	\$0.10		specs
			SETS @	\$0.10		Lightining Report
		1	4 SETS @	\$5.00		full size - Color Exhibits for meeting
			SETS @	\$0.80	\$0.00	11x17 Color Exhibits for Meetings
Pre-Final Plans (60%	6)	12	2 SETS @	\$1.50	\$36.00	full size
,	•		SETS @	\$0.50	•	1/2 size
		12	5 SETS @	\$0.25		11"x17"
		_	SETS @	\$0.10		Specs & Estimates
Final Diama (000/)						
Final Plans (90%)			SETS @	\$1.50		full size
			PARKING	\$25.00	\$0	
	•		SETS @	\$0.25		1/2 size
			SETS @	\$0.25		11"x17"
			SETS @	\$0.10	\$0.00	Specs & Estimates
Bid Sets (100%)		12	3 SETS @	\$1.50	\$54.00	full size
		12	5 SETS.@	\$0.25		1/2 size
		12	1 Sheet @	\$8.00	\$96.00	
			Disk @	\$8.00		Direct
			SETS @	\$0.10	•	Specs & Estimates
				*****	40.00	
CADD:		0 H	OURS @	\$0.00	\$0	35% OF design hrs
						\$236
OTHER TASKS						
CORRESPONDENCI	E AND MINUTES:					
		SI	HEETS @	\$0.10	\$0	l
FIELD TRIPS:		2	60 MILES	\$0.500	\$60	
OFFICE VISITS		1	60 MILES	\$0.500	\$30)
		•		Ψ0.000	ψου	•
PHOTOGRAPHS AN						
OVERNIGHT DELIVE	ERY:	1	•	\$15.00	\$15	
Report Printing			30 SHEETS	\$0.35	\$0	l
						\$105
						\$341.00
SERVICES BY OTHE	RS					
TOPOGRAPHIC SUF	RVEY Ed Pe	ckley				
PAVEMENT CORING		& Asso	ciates			
LANDSCAPE ARCHI	-	equired				
LIGHTING & ELECTE		equired		•		

LIGHTING & ELECTRICAL

Not Required

Everett Road Bridge Repair and Deerfield Road Expansion Joint Replacement AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

Rate Ranges (2011)

Rate

Classification

Project Manager/ Sr. Principal	\$73.92	Ç	\$73.92 to \$84.59
	1 (}	- () t
Project Principal Engr.	\$40.0n	ဋ	\$45.59 to \$56.14
Senior Engineer	\$44.41	\$	\$44.41 to \$46.37
Project Engineer	\$29.61	\$	\$29.61 to \$41.42
Staff Engineer	\$26.52	ţ	\$26.52 to \$27.75
Senior Technician	\$26.07	\$	\$26.07 to \$28.38
Cadd Technician	\$2	\$27.06	9
Word Processor	\$18.22 to \$27.52	\$	\$27.52

Raises occur annually at the end of February and average 3.5%

AMEC ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT SALARIES - YEAR 2010-2011

	COMPANY	PROIECT	2007 Di	2007 Direct Salary	February
NAME	CLASSIFICATION	FUNCTION	Actual Rate	Actual Rate Average Rate Average Rate	Average Rate
ROBERT ISRAEL GARY BAKER	SENIOR PRINCIPAL ENGR SENIOR PRINCIPAL ENGR	PROJECT MANAGER	\$75.87 \$71.97	\$73.92	\$76.51
JEFFREY DRUCKMAN	CHIEF ENGINEER	QA/QC	\$81.73	\$81,73	\$84.59
ROBERT LEE D. WAYNE INGRAM ROBERT MAPLE KIP SMITH WILLIAM MALINOWSKI FRED NAZAR ROBERT HARTWELL	PRINCIPAL ENGINEER	PRINCIPAL ENGINEER	\$54.71 \$54.59 \$51.60 \$49.90 \$51.12 \$51.13 \$56.14 \$45.59	521.62	\$53.43
STEVE COATES PETAR KNEZEVIC MARK KINTNER	SENIOR ENGINEER SENIOR ENGINEER SENIOR ENGINEER	SENIOR ENGINEER SENIOR ENGINEER SENIOR ENGINEER	\$46,37 \$43,92 \$41,41	\$43.90	\$45.44
BRAD BAHN TIM CHIN LINDSEY MOE PETER LEE ROBERT NELSON	PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER	PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER PROJECT ENGINEER	\$31.22 \$36.81 \$29.61 \$39.47	\$35.71	\$36.96
					\$0.08
KHALIUN ODGIIV ERIC PILGER	STAFF ENGINEER II STAFF ENGINEER II STAFF ENGINEER II STAFF ENGINEER I STAFF ENGINEER I STAFF ENGINEER I	Staff Engineer Staff Engineer Staff Engineer Staff Engineer Staff Engineer	\$26.52	\$27.14	\$28,08
RICHARD HART GUSTAVO PALLARES	SR. TECHNICIAN SR. TECHNICIAN SR. TECHNICIAN	SR. TECHNICIAN	\$28.38	\$28.38	\$29.37
IEFF JOHNSON	DRAFTER III		\$27.06	\$27.06	\$28.01
THERESA HOLMES TERRY KOHS DARLENE WALSH	ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION	ADMINISTRATION	\$18.22 \$27.52 \$27.35	\$24.36	\$25,22

NOTE: INCREASES OCCUR ANNUALLY IN FEBRUARY AND AVERAGE 3,5%

ASSUME JULY 2011 START, FEBRUARY 2012 COMPLETION:

ESCALATION FACTOR = 1.035