### Wetland Restoration Fund Implementation Guidance Document Lake County Stormwater Management Commission

Revision #2ed -January 22, 2010 July 2022 SMC Approved on February 4, 2010 , 2022

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	Introdu	ction
	1.1	WRF Goals
	1.2	How the WRF Will Meet the Goals
2.0	WRF F 2.1	Project Information Eligibility
	2.2	RFP Submittal Requirements 2.2.1 Project Narrative and Plans 2.2.2 Monitoring and Management 2.2.3 Long-Term Protection Requirements 2.2.4 Financial Assurance
	2.3	Wetland Mitigation Credit Generation
	2.4	Mitigation Performance Standards and Success Criteria
	2.5	Fund Expenditures
	2.6	Project Match Requirement
3.0		on Criteria
	3.1	Pre-Screening
	3.2	Project Evaluation Criteria
	3.3	RFP Selection Team and Process
4.0	Project 4.1	Refinement and Contracting Project Refinement
	4.0	4.1.1 Project Scope
	4.2 4.3	Contracts or Agreements Financial Assurance
	4.3	Financial Assurance
5.0		Compliance and Completion
	5.1	•
	5.2	Project Completion
Table	1.	Wetland Restoration Fund Scoring Matrix
Apper Apper	ndix A ndix B ndix C	Request For Proposals (RFP) Sample Wetland Restoration Fund Application Form Wetland Restoration Fund - Project Match Summary Notice of Invitation to Rid

#### Wetland Restoration Fund Implementation Guidance Document Lake County Stormwater Management Commission

#### 1.0 Introduction

The Lake County Board adopted the Lake County Stormwater Management Commission's (SMC) Wetland Restoration Fund (WRF) on November 8, 2005. The SMC administers and implements the WRF for impacts to Isolated Waters of Lake County (IWLC) that exceed the mitigation threshold in watersheds where mitigation <a href="mailto:bank\_credits(i.e., acres)">bank\_credits(i.e., acres)</a> are unavailable. The SMC created separate WRF accounts for each major watershed, including the Fox River, Des Plaines River, North Branch of the Chicago River, and Lake Michigan. The SMC collects fees during the permit review process and deposits these fees into the watershed-specific account. The SMC originally approved the implementation guidance document on January 4, 2007, and subsequently approved Revision #1 of the document on February 4, 2010.

This document provides internal SMC WRF implementation guidance once fees are deposited into the watershed-specific account, including:

- Request for Proposals (RFP) process and requirements;
- RFP Evaluation and Project Selection;
- Mitigation Project Performance Standards and Project Success Criteria; and
- Contract Negotiation Guidance.

#### 1.1 WRF Goals

The WRF goals are to (1) meet Lake County's "no-net-loss" of wetland acreage per watershed on a programmatic basis within five years of wetland impact, (2) provide wetland mitigation alternatives for smaller impacts, and (3) further SMC's mission to provide desired community services toward the primary goals of flood damage reduction and surface water quality improvement (from SMC's 2002 Lake County Comprehensive Stormwater Management Plan).

#### 1.2 How the WRF Will Meet the Goals

To meet the WRF goals, the SMC may (1) award contracts for projects that will meet or exceed the required wetland mitigation acreage or (2) purchase credits (i.e., on an acreage basis) from a wetland mitigation bank as specified below. For all RFPs, SMC will follow the process described in this document.

RFP Process: SMC will distribute a request for proposals (RFP) for qualifying wetland restoration, creation and enhancement projects. In general, projects that restore historic wetland areas and demonstrate the highest likelihood of meeting the wetland mitigation performance requirements will be given priority. The sample RFP is included as Appendix A, and the sample WRF Application Form is included as Appendix B.

Page 3 of 22

Mitigation Bank Credit Purchase Process: This option may be used when SMC or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-approved wetland mitigation bank credits (acres) become available, prior to initiating the project RFP process within a watershed having already collected funds in the WRF account. SMC will solicit sealed bids for wetland mitigation bank credit costs (see Appendix C for a template of notice). The award of the bank credit purchase agreement will be made to the lowest responsible bidder to provide the required mitigation acreage.

### 2.0 WRF Project Information

#### 2.1 Eligibility

Eligibility is open to landowners (public or private) whose ownership property lies within Lake County, Illinois, and within the watershed described in the RFP. Landowners can include single owners; multiple owners; not-for-profit corporations; federal, state, or local agencies; or local units of government, including park districts and forest preserve districts. SMC will require a signed letter from each property owner for those entities representing multiple property owners.

Projects required as part of a regulatory permit or an enforcement action are not eligible for WRF funding. The wetland acreage created, restored, or enhanced using WRF monies cannot be utilized or sold as a wetland mitigation credit.

#### 2.2 RFP Submittal Requirements

#### 2.2.1 Project Narrative and Plans

Applicants shall submit a completed WRF Application Form (Appendix B) signed by the site owner or designated agent; a project narrative describing the items listed in Sections B and C of the <a href="Lake County Watershed Development Ordinance">Lake County Watershed Development Ordinance</a> (WDO's) Appendix N; site concept plans; Appendix C<a href="(WRF-Project Match Summary)">(WRF-Project Match Summary)</a>; and an estimate of probable cost. These sections contain the submittal requirements for WRF projects and include information on the proposed mitigation site (e.g., site location, physical description, land use, ownership, and significant biological resources) and the proposed mitigation design (e.g., topography, hydrology, soils, and planting plan). The site design plans can be conceptual at the time of RFP but should include enough information to support that the site will be successfully restored, created or enhanced as wetland and will be sustained as a wetland in the long term.

The narrative must include supporting documentation on the applicant's capability and past-experience in designing, constructing, maintaining, and successfully carrying-out a wetland mitigation project. SMC may also require more site-specific background information including, but not limited to, a wetland determination report meeting <a href="mailto:the-wbbo/seroquirements">the-wbb/seroquirements</a>, a <a href="written">written</a>, valid jurisdictional determination for any existing on-site wetlands/<a href="water-wetland-waters">water-wetland or natural areas</a>, or site-specific soils study.

#### 2.2.2 Monitoring and Management

Refer to WDO Appendix N, Section I for the minimum five-year management and monitoring requirements. The proposal submittal must contain information on the anticipated management and monitoring plan for the mitigation site. Such plan shall include, at a minimum, a description of the sampling methodologies to be followed for evaluating hydrology in the mitigation wetlands and assessing vegetation in the mitigation wetlands and buffers, the frequency of sampling, and the report(s) to be generated.

The five-year management plan shall include a description of the anticipated management practices to be employed each year to meet the performance standards in WDO Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement), and a schedule of all proposed management and monitoring practices (i.e., a calendar indicating month and year of activity). In addition, the plan shall identify the entity that will assume responsibility for long-term management of the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers after the five-year performance period and the dedicated source of funding for long-term management.

#### 2.2.3 Long-Term Protection Requirements

All mitigation wetlands, as well as other preserved wetlands or waters and wetland buffers on the mitigation site, must be protected in perpetuity by a deed or plat restriction and recorded with Lake County Recorder of Deeds. The site owner shall provide the SMC with a statement of acknowledgement and sketch of the <u>proposed</u> deed or plat restricted areas.

#### 2.2.4 Financial Assurance

The applicant must acknowledge they will provide SMC with a financial surety in the form of an irrevocable Letter of Credit prior to construction [WDO Appendix N, Section F]. The surety amount shall equal 110% of the total estimated cost for construction, monitoring, and management of the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers. See Section 4.3 for further details.

#### 2.3 Wetland Mitigation Credit Generation

The mitigation acreage shall be credited on the following basis:

1. 100% credit for each acre of wetland restored or created. Wetland restoration refers to the re-establishment of wetland conditions in areas that were wetland in historical times but which have been filled, drained, or otherwise manipulated to the extent the areas no longer exhibit wetland characteristics. Wetland creation refers to the construction of new wetlands in historically upland areas. Unless compensating directly for open water impacts specified in the RFP, no credit will be given for open water creation. The term "open water" is defined in WDO Appendix A. When allowed, open water creation shall be credited at 100% for inkind mitigation (e.g., one acre of open water created for one acre of open water

impacted). No mitigation credit shall be given for open water creation to compensate for non-open water impacts.

- 2. 25% for each acre of non-farmed wetland enhanced. Wetland enhancement refers to altering the physical characteristics of an existing wetland such that it permanently improves one or more specific wetland functions and values. Enhanced wetlands must meet the same vegetative performance criteria as restored or created wetlands.
- 100% for each acre of farmed wetland enhanced. Enhanced <u>farmed</u> wetlands must meet the same vegetative performance criteria as restored or created wetlands.

4.

- 4. All created, restored and enhanced wetlands on the project site shall meet the buffer requirements in WDO Article 5, Section 505.
  - A. Created or restored wetland edges used to meet the buffer requirements shall be credited at 75% for each acre created or restored.
  - B. Enhanced upland areas or enhanced wetland edges used to meet the buffer requirements shall be credited at 25% for each acre enhanced. No credit shall be given for upland enhancement outside the buffer limits.

A maximum of 30% of the total mitigation credits (acres) to be generated by the project may consist of enhanced buffers.

All high quality aquatic resources existing on the mitigation site shall, at a minimum, meet the buffer requirements for high quality aquatic resources; all other existing enhanced, created or restored wetland areas shall, at a minimum, meet the non-high quality aquatic resource buffer requirements of the WDO.

#### 2.4 Mitigation Performance Standards and Success Criteria

The vegetative performance standards for mitigation projects are contained in WDO Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement). At the time of RFP submittal, the project narrative shall reference the proposed performance standards and provide supporting documentation on how those requirements will be met. The project contract documents shall reference the approved performance standards.

The narrative shall provide an acknowledgement of the mitigation project success criteria as specified in Section K of WDO Appendix N.

#### 2.5 Fund Expenditures

Page 6 of 22

On a per-watershed basis, the WRF program requires that SMC expend or encumber account monies no more than three (3) years from first receipt of an account deposit unless the account has less than \$75,000125,000. Once the account exceeds \$75,000125,000, or three years have elapsed from receipt of first payment if the account has less than \$75,000125,000, SMC will, within 60180 days, either initiate a request for project proposal or solicit bids from wetland mitigation banks. If an agreement cannot be reached by the period specified above, SMC may approve a one (1) year extension. Once SMC approves a project or encumbers all available funds per watershed, the three-year time period expires and then re-starts with the next receipt of an account deposit.

In the event a wetland mitigation bank approved by SMC or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) becomes available within a watershed having a WRF-established account, fee expenditures may include payments into the wetland mitigation bank in addition to the uses above. In this case, SMC may forgo the RFP process and make payment(s) to the mitigation bank to obtain all or a portion of the mitigation needed in a specific watershed. Once mitigation bank credits are available within a watershed that contains a WRF account, SMC will no longer collect WRF fees but will direct applicants to the appropriate wetland bank contact.

Appropriate WRF expenditures include costs to administer, plan, design, construct, improve, acquire property, manage and monitor wetland mitigation sites in the specified watershed. Regulatory fees are not reimbursable WRF expenditures but may be used toward the project match (see Section 2.6).

In the event a wetland mitigation bank approved by SMC or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) becomes available within a watershed having a WRF-established account, fee expenditures may include payments into the wetland mitigation bank in addition to the uses above. In this case, SMC may forgo the RFP process and make payment(s) to the mitigation bank to obtain all or a portion of the mitigation needed in a specific watershed. Once mitigation bank credits are available within a watershed that contains a WRF account, SMC will no longer collect WRF fees, but will direct applicants to the appropriate wetland bank contact. Project expenses incurred prior to RFP selection, except for land value, do not qualify for WRF funds. However, these expenditures may apply to the local project funding match requirement.

Project expenses incurred between project selection and contract execution, in response to SMC request, are eligible for reimbursement.

SMC will deduct an administrative fee from the WRF watershed-specific account and credit the SMC wetland permit fees account as follows: (1) 10% of the account balance for each RFP process or (2) 5% of the account balance for each mitigation bank credit purchase process.

#### 2.6 Project Match Requirement

The WRF requires that applicants provide a cost share match of at least 30% of the total project cost. Direct project-related expenditures that qualify for match may include land

WRF Implementation Guidance Document Revision #2 - SMC Approved February 4, 2010 2022

Page 7 of 22

value, in-kind services such as volunteer or donated professional time, feasibility study costs, <u>regulatory fees</u>, and site evaluation study costs conducted no more than three (3) years prior to or during the WRF application process.

Applicants shall provide an estimate of probable cost for the project, including the applicant's cost share match and a completed WRF Project Match Summary (Appendix C). The estimate of probable cost shall include expenses of reasonable expected costs and summary total of anticipated matching expenditures. Regulatory fees are not waived and are the sole responsibility of the applicant. The project budget should include all regulatory fees as they count toward the minimum cost-share requirement. Contact SMC's regulatory staff for estimated review fees.

#### 3.0 Selection Criteria

#### 3.1 Pre-Screening

The WRF selection team described in Section 3.3 below will pre-screen applications and reject, or return to the applicant for additional information, those submittals that do not include the following:

- 1. A completed and signed WRF Application Form and
- 2. A mitigation project narrative that incorporates the submittal requirements listed in the Section 2 above.

SMC may require more specific site background information including, but not limited to, a wetland determination report meeting the WDO's requirements, a <u>written, valid</u> jurisdictional determination (JD) for existing on-site wetlands/<u>waters</u>, a drain-tile survey, information on proximity to other wetland or natural areas, or site-specific soils investigation.

SMC will encourage WRF applicants to hold a pre-application meeting with the selection team. The pre-application meeting will provide applicants with specific RFP requirements, answer questions concerning acceptable match expenditures, and can be used to refine proposed projects.

#### 3.2 Project Evaluation Criteria

The WRF selection team will evaluate and rank the projects that have passed the prescreening criteria in Section 3.1 above. Projects will be ranked and scored based upon the project evaluation criteria shown on Table 1. The WRF selection team may recommend multiple projects during the RFP evaluation to meet overall program goals.

The evaluation criteria contain both primary and secondary evaluation factors. The primary evaluation factors place emphasis on projects that contain a higher degree of restoration of formerly drained wetland areas as these projects have the greatest success likelihood. Secondary criteria are those factors that are not primary to mitigation success but help make projects easier to administer.

Page 8 of 22

#### 3.3 RFP Selection Team and Process

SMC's three (3) member selection team, normally consistsing of at least one two Watershed Engineer, Watershed Planner, and Wetland Specialists. and one Water Resources Professional, representing the respective watershed will first review the proposals submitted in responses to the RFPs. This teamgroup will review and evaluate proposals submitted in response to the RFPs, complete the scoring matrix, and select the proposed project(s). The teamgroup will then present the selected project(s) findings to SMC's Executive Director and Chief Engineer for preliminary approval final selection. The Executive Director will submit the final-selected project(s) to the for Commission for final approval.

#### 4.0 Project Refinement and Contracting

#### 4.1 Project Refinement

The WRF selection team may meet with the applicant(s) of the selected project(s) to refine the scope of the project to meet program goals or applicant objectives. At that time, the selection team may ask for a revised project cost estimate or revisions to the submitted estimated costs based on scope refinements.

During scope refinement, projects will undergo a technical notice sent to the designated federal, state, and appropriate community contacts per WDO Article IV, Section E.2.c.(11)(b). The SMC will enter into a contract with the property owner (i.e., applicant) once the scope and budget are approved.

#### 4.1.1 Project Scope

An important objective of the negotiation process is to reach a complete and mutual understanding of the project scope and the required performance measures. The scope and conceptual plans developed during the selection process may be too broad to serve as the contract scope of service. The negotiation process offers the opportunity for refinement, amendment and clear definition of the services to be rendered, as well as the areas of responsibility and liability for those services. Mutual understanding on these points, at the negotiation stage, can minimize the possibility of misunderstanding as the project progresses. Specific elements of the contract to be established during negotiation include:

- Project approach
- 2. Project schedule
- Areas of responsibility/liability
- Detailed definition of "deliverables"
- Financial Items:
  - i. Budget detailed estimate of probable cost
  - ii. Payment schedule (amount of payment for partial completion of work)
  - iii. Financial assurance
- 6. Performance measures and compliance
- Project completion criteria

Page 9 of 22

#### 4.2 Contracts or Agreements

Legally binding agreements or contracts shall be executed between SMC and the owner/applicant for mitigation projects. All subcontractors or sub consultants shall be subject to approval by SMC. If SMC can reach an agreement with the applicant and the fee is within range of the budget, SMC will proceed to finalize the contract. If problems arise with the scope of the project or the fee, further discussion and clarification may be required.

If SMC and the applicant cannot agree on the scope and fee, SMC will cease negotiations with the top-ranked applicant and continue the process with the second-ranked applicant. This process will continue until a mutual accord has been reached. Generally, this accord will likely be reached with the first- or second-ranked applicant.

#### 4.3 Financial Assurance

The applicant or applicant's contractor must provide SMC with a financial surety (e.g., irrevocable Letter of Credit) prior to construction [WDO Appendix N, Section F]. The surety amount shall equal 110% of the total estimated cost for construction, monitoring, and management of the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers. The financial surety may be in the form of a performance bond, irrevocable letter of credit, irrevocable trust, escrow account, or other approved surety.

The amount of the financial surety shall be based upon the detailed estimate of probable cost for completing the approved mitigation plan. The estimate shall include earthwork, soil erosion/sediment control measures, planting, and monitoring and management. The monitoring and management costs shall be estimated for a minimum of five (5) full growing seasons after planting is completed. The cost estimate shall be provided to the SMC for approval prior to obtaining the financial surety.

The financial surety shall be held by the SMC until the mitigation site meets the performance standards in WDO Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement). Such surety may be phased out or reduced, up to a maximum of 70%, upon receipt and approval of a revised estimate of probable cost and the applicable items in Section 5.

SMC will release the fFinal surety release can be completed by the SMC upon verification that once it has been demonstrated that the mitigation site is functionally mature and/or self-sustaining in accordance with the performance standards in WDO Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement).

#### 5.0 Project Compliance and Completion

Project compliance and completion measures follow WDO Appendix N, Section K, and as specified below.

WRF Implementation Guidance Document Revision #2 - SMC Approved February 4, 2010, 2022

Page 10 of 22

#### 5.1 Project As-Builts

As-built plans follow the requirements of WDO Appendix N, Section G requirements. Upon the completion of earthwork, but prior to planting, the awardee shall provide an asbuilt topographic survey to the SMC for approval. If the SMC determines the as-built plan and site inspection are in conformance with the approved design, the SMC shall issue a written approval of the as-built plan and planting activities may commence.

Upon the completion of planting activities, the awardee shall provide the SMC with lists and locations of the species actually planted in the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers, including the common and scientific name of each species, the quantity of each species planted (e.g., weight of seeds/acre, number of plugged plants/acre), the source of the seeds/plants, the planting method(s) used, and the date(s) seeding or planting occurred. Any substitutions shall be approved by the SMC prior to installation.

#### 5.2 Project Completion

The awardee's responsibility for the project area, including mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers, shall be released in writing by the SMC as follows. After the performance period all performance criteria are satisfied, the awardee shall provide written notification to the SMC along with following information: 1) a scaled plan (min. 1 in. = 100 ft.) showing the post-project delineated boundaries and actual acreages of the mitigation wetlands (by type, e.g., creation, restoration, enhancement) and wetland buffers; and-2) a summary of how the performance standards attainment have been met for each wetland and buffer, preferably in tabular format (see Appendix B); and 3) a copy of the recorded restriction for the mitigation area.

Upon notification, the SMC shall review the submitted information and perform a site inspection to verify the success of the mitigation site. If SMC confirms the mitigation goals and performance standards have been met, the SMC shall notify the awardee in writing that the responsibility for the mitigation site is released. A copy of the written release also shall be provided to the entity designated for long-term management of the mitigation site.

If the SMC determines that the mitigation goals or performance standards have not been met based on the information submitted and site inspection, the SMC shall notify the awardee in writing of the specific shortfalls. The awardee shall be granted a specified time limit to address the any and all identified shortfalls. Failure to fully respond to the identified shortfalls within the specified time limit may result in SMC's use of the financial surety to correct the shortfalls.

# See revised form

Table 1. Wetland Restoration Fund Scoring Matrix
SMC Approved January 4, 2007
Revision #1 July 15
Revision #2 June \_\_, 2022

Project:\_

Criteria	Score Range	Project		Weight	Weight Subscore	Мах
		measure	Score	Factor		Score
Primary Evaluation Factors:						100
	WRF RFP Mitigation Goal (Minimum) = Acres					
Project Type:						
Wetland Restoration Percent (restoration acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	11 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			3		Ϋ́
Wetland Creation Percent (creation acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	11 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			2		Ä
Wetland Enhancement Percent (enhancement acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	11 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			1		Ä
Buffer Enhancement (buffer area to be enhanced/total available buffer area)	11 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			1		¥
Proposed Site Characteristics:						
Soils	1 through 5 (1 for extensive grading, 5 for native drained hydric in-situ)			2		10
Vegetation Quality (incl. Veg. & prox.quality?)	1 through 5 (1 for lower diversity/quality, 5 for highest diversity/quality)			2		10
Hydrology	1 through 5 (1 for artificial, 5 for permanent, or ground water)			2		10
Beneficial proximity to other wetland/natural areas	1 through 5 (e.g. upstream/downstream distance and quality)					n
Submittal Information						
Plans	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/disorganized, 5 for complete/well organized)			1		co
Project Narrative	11 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			2		10
Project Match Summary	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/facks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			-		ιΩ
Estimate of Probable Cost	11 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		'n
Educational/Stewardship	11 through 5 (1 for little/none, 5 for high potential)			-		ιΩ
Long Term Protection:						
Type of protection	1 for deed restriction, 3 for public ownership, 5 for conservation easement			2		10
Total size protected (percent of total project size)*	11 for <25%, 5 for 25% to 50%, 10 for >50%			1		10
Maintenance Plan	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		ιΩ
Monitoring Plan	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		2
Secondary Evaluation Factors:						
Has two or fewer principal landowners	11 for No, 5 for Yes			-		ιΩ
Contains two or fewer contiguous mitigation areas	11 for No, 5 for Yes			1		2
						-
Preserves existing HQAR** wetlands	11 for No, 5 for Yes			2		10
Flood damage reduction benefits	1 for No, 5 for Yes			2		10
Meets Watershed Planning Goal or Action Plan Item (if applicable)	1 for No, 5 for Yes			3		10
Identified as PRW on Lake County Wetland Restoration & Preservation Pla	af 1 for No, 5 for Yes			3		
			TOTAL SCORE =	ORE =		

"Note that all area for restoration/creation/enhancement credit needs to be deed restricted or \*\*High Quality Aquatic Resource (HQAR) as defined in WDO Appendix A and L.

#### **Table 1. Wetland Restoration Fund Scoring Matrix**



SMC Approved January 4, 2007 Revision #1 July 15, 2008 Revision #2 July \_\_\_, 2022

_

Criteria	Score Range	Project		Weight S	Subscore	Max
		measure	Score	Factor		Score
Primary Evaluation Factors:						
	WRF RFP Mitigation Goal (Minimum) = Acres					Í
MitigationProject Type:	, <u> </u>					Í
Wetland Restoration Percent (restoration acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	1 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			3		NA
Wetland Creation Percent (creation acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	1 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			2		NA
Farmed Wetland Enhancement Percent (FW enhancement acreage/RFP Acreage goal)	1 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			3		NA
	e go: 1 for every 10% up to 100% of RFP Acreage goal, then 0.25 point for every 10% above goal			1		
Buffer Enhancement (buffer area to be enhanced/RFP Acreage goaltetal available buffer area				1		NA
Proposed Site Characteristics:						
Soils	1 through 5 (1 for extensive grading, 5 for native drained hydric in-situ)			2		10
Vegetation Community Type & Quality	1 through 5 (1 for lower diversity/quality, 5 for highest diversity/quality)			2		10
Hydrology	1 through 5 (1 for artificial, 5 for permanent, or ground water)			2		10
Beneficial proximity to other wetland/natural areas	1 through 5 (e.g. upstream/downstream distance and quality)			1		5
Submittal Information	Through a forgraph and monotonia distance and quality)					
Plans	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/disorganized, 5 for complete/well organized)			1		5
Project Narrative	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			2		10
Project Match Summary	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		5
Estimate of Probable Cost	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		5
Educational/Stewardship	1 through 5 (1 for little/none, 5 for high potential)			1		5
Long-Term Protection:	Thirties and the control of the rings personally					
Type of protection	1 for deed restriction, 3 for public ownership, 5 for conservation easement			2		10
Total size protected (percent of total project size)**	1 for <25%, 5 for 25% to 50%, 10 for >50%			1		10
Maintenance Plan	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		5
Monitoring Plan	1 through 5 (1 for incomplete/lacks detail, 5 for complete/detailed)			1		5
*						
Secondary Evaluation Factors:						ł
Has two or fewer principal landowners	1 for No, 5 for Yes			1		5
Contains two or fewer contiguous mitigation areas	1 for No, 5 for Yes			1		5
December 110 A D***	A facility of facility	П			11	- 40
Preserves existing HQAR*** wetlands	1 for No, 5 for Yes			2		10
Flood damage reduction benefits	1 for No, 5 for Yes			2		10
Meets Watershed Planning Goal or Action Plan Item (if applicable)	1 for No, 5 for Yes			3		10
Identified as PRW on Lake County Wetland Restoration & Preservation Plan	1 for No, 5 for Yes			3		<del>                                     </del>
* A maximum of 30% of the total mitigation credits may consist of enhanced buffers.		1	TOTAL S	SCORE =		
**Note that all area for restoration/creation/enhancement credit needs to be deed restricted	or protected			<b>-</b>		
***High Quality Aquatic Resource (HQAR) as defined in WDO Appendix A and L.	or processes.					

WRF Implementation Guidance Document Revision #2 - SMC Approved February 4, 2010	
<u>2022</u>	

Page 12 of 22

#### APPENDIX A

# REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) SAMPLE SMC Wetland Restoration Fund

(Fox River)(Des Plaines River)(North Branch of the Chicago River)(Lake Michigan)
Watershed

Issued: (date) Closes: (date)

The Lake County Board adopted the Lake County Stormwater Management Commission's (SMC) Wetland Restoration Fund (WRF) on November 8, 2005. The SMC administers and implements the WRF for Isolated Waters of Lake County (IWLC) impacts exceeding the mitigation threshold in watersheds where mitigation bank credits (i.e., acres) are unavailable. At this time, we are issuing this Request for Proposals (RFP) for wetland mitigation projects using WRF funds within the (Fox)(Des Plaines)(North Branch of the Chicago River)(Lake Michigan) Watershed to provide achieve a RFP mitigation acreage goal of a minimum of x.xx acres of mitigation. At least x.xx acres of mitigation must be in the form of wetland creation or restoration to compensate 1:1 for IWLC impacts in the watershed (i.e., "no-net-loss" of wetlands). The remaining x.xx acres of mitigation may be in the form of wetland creation, wetland restoration, or enhancement of existing wetlands or buffers. Approximately \$ will be available for project(s) under this RFP.

#### 1. Who is Eligible to Apply?

The wetland acreage created, restored, or enhanced using WRF monies cannot be utilized or sold as a wetland mitigation credit.

#### 2. How Can Funds Be Used?

WRF monies may be used to restore, create or enhance wetlands on project sites located in the specified watershed within Lake County, Illinois. Appropriate WRF expenditures include costs to administer, plan, design, construct, improve, acquire property, manage and monitor the wetland mitigation site(s). For potential mitigation sites, applicants are encouraged to reference the Lake County Wetland Restoration & Preservation Plan online decision support tool (SMC 2020: Click Here) and the Watershed-Based Plan (Click Here). Note that these

Page 13 of 22

references are for screening purposes, and other potentially viable sites may exist that are not-be included in these references.

Project expenses incurred prior to RFP selection, except for land value, do not qualify for WRF funds. However, these expenditures may apply to the local project funding match requirement. Regulatory fees are not waived and are the sole responsibility of the applicant. The project budget should include all regulatory fees shall be included in the project budgetas they and may count toward the minimum cost-share requirement. Contact SMC's regulatory staff for estimated review fees.

The WRF requires that applicants provide a cost share match of at least 30% of the total project cost. Expenditures that qualify for match include land value, in-kind services such as volunteer or donated professional time, feasibility study costs, regulatory fees, and site evaluation study costs conducted no more than three (3) years prior to or during the WRF application process.

#### 3. How Many Mitigation Credits (Acres) Are Needed?

For this RFP, a minimum of x.xx acres of wetland mitigation is needed. Of this, The RFP mitigation acreage goal is x.xx. at least x.xx acres must be in the form of wetland creation or restoration to compensate 1:1 for IWLC impacts in the watershed (i.e., maintain "no-net-loss" of wetlands in Lake County). The remaining x.xx acres of mitigation may be in the form of wetland creation, wetland restoration, or enhancement of existing wetlands or buffers. Mitigation credit acreage is generated as described in Section 4 below. Projects can include wetland restoration, creation, and enhancement as described below.

#### 4. How Are Credits Generated?

The mitigation acreage shall be credited on the following basis:

- a. 100% credit for each acre of wetland restored or created. Wetland restoration refers to the re-establishment of wetland conditions in areas that were wetland in historical times but which have been includes restoration of historic wetlands which have been filled, drained, or otherwise manipulated to the extent the areas no longer exhibit wetland characteristics. Wetland creation refers to the construction of new wetlands in historically upland areas. Unless compensating directly for open water impacts specified in the RFP, no credit will be given for open water creation. The term "open water" is defined in the Lake County Watershed Development Ordinance (WDO) Appendix A. When allowed, open water creation shall be credited at 100% for in-kind mitigation (e.g., one acre of open water created for one acre of open water impacted). No mitigation credit shall be given for open water creation to compensate for non-open water impacts.
- b. 25% for each acre of non-farmed wetland enhanced. <u>Wetland enhancement</u> refers to altering the physical characteristics of an existing wetland such that it permanently improves one or more specific wetland functions and values.

Enhanced wetlands must meet the same vegetative performance criteria as restored or created wetlands.

- c. 100% for each acre of farmed wetland enhanced. Enhanced <u>farmed</u> wetlands must meet the same vegetative performance criteria as restored or created wetlands.
- d. All created, restored and enhanced wetlands on the project site shall meet the buffer requirements in WDO Article 5, Section 505.
  - i. Created or restored wetland edges used to meet the buffer requirements shall be credited at 75% for each acre created or restored.
  - <u>ii.</u> Enhanced upland areas or enhanced wetland edges used to meet the buffer requirements shall be credited at 25% for each acre enhanced. No credit is provided for upland enhancement outside of the buffer limits.

A maximum of 30% of the total mitigation credits (acres) to be generated by the project may consist of enhanced buffers.

All high quality aquatic resources existing on the mitigation site shall, at a minimum, meet the buffer requirements for high quality aquatic resources; all other existing enhanced, created or restored wetland areas shall, at a minimum, meet the non-high quality aquatic resource buffer requirements of the WDO.

#### 5. What Performance Standards Must Be Met?

The vegetative performance standards for mitigation projects are contained in WDO Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement). At the time of RFP submittal, the project narrative shall reference the proposed performance standards and provide supporting documentation on how those requirements will be met. The project contract documents shall reference the approved performance standards.

#### 6. Do We Require Long-Term Protection?

All mitigation wetlands, as well as other preserved wetlands or waters and wetland buffers on the mitigation site, must be protected in perpetuity by a deed or plat restriction and recorded with Lake County Recorder of Deeds. In response to this RFP, the site owner shall provide the SMC with a statement of acknowledgement and a sketch of the proposed deed or plat restricted areas.

#### 7. Does the Project Require Management and Monitoring?

Refer to WDO Appendix N, Section I for the minimum five-year management and monitoring requirements. The proposal submittal must contain information on the anticipated management and monitoring plan for the mitigation site. Such plan shall include, at a minimum, a description of the sampling methodologies to be followed for

Page 15 of 22

evaluating hydrology in the mitigation wetlands and assessing vegetation in the mitigation wetlands and buffers, the frequency of sampling, and the report(s) to be generated.

The five-year management plan shall include a description of the anticipated management practices to be employed each year to meet the performance standards in Appendix N, Section H (or as otherwise approved by SMC and established in the project agreement), and a schedule of all proposed management and monitoring practices (i.e., a calendar indicating month and year of activity). In addition, the plan shall identify the entity that will assume responsibility for long-term management of the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers after the five-year performance period and the dedicated source of funding for long-term management.

#### 8. How Are Projects Evaluated?

Projects will be evaluated by the SMC in accordance with the guidance contained in the Commission-approved SMC Wetland Restoration Fund Implementation Guidance Document, Section 3.0 (Revision #2 dated December 21, 2006 2022). In general, projects that restore historic wetland areas and demonstrate the highest likelihood of meeting the wetland mitigation performance requirements will be scored the highest. Please refer to the attached Wetland Restoration Fund Scoring Matrix containing the specific evaluation criteria (see Appendix ATo Be Attached).

#### 9. What Do I Need To Submit For Funding?

Applicants shall submit a completed WRF Application Form (attached) signed by the site owner or <u>designated</u> agent; a project narrative describing the items listed in Sections B and C of the WDO's Appendix N; <u>site concept plans; Appendix C (WRF-Project Match Summary)</u>; and an estimate of <u>probable cost</u>. These sections include information on the proposed <u>mitigation site</u> (e.g., site location, physical description, land use, ownership, and significant biological resources) and the proposed <u>mitigation design</u> (e.g., topography, hydrology, soils, and planting plan). The site design plans can be conceptual at the time of the RFP but should include enough information to support that the site will be successfully restored, created or enhanced as wetland and will be sustained in the long term.

The narrative also needs to contain supportive documentation on your capability and experience to implement a wetland mitigation plan including design, construction, and management and monitoring. Further, the applicant must acknowledge that, upon awarding, they will provide SMC with a financial surety in the form of an irrevocable Letter of Credit prior to construction-[WDO Appendix N, Section F] of an . The surety amount shall equal to 110% of the total estimated cost for construction, monitoring, and management of the mitigation wetlands and wetland buffers, as approved by SMC. The narrative also needs to include an acknowledgement of the mitigation project compliance and completion criteria as specified in WDO Appendix N, Section K.

Please note that SMC may require more specific site background information including, but not limited to, a wetland determination report meeting the WDO's requirements, a

WRF Implementation Guidance Document Revision #2 - SMC Approved February 4, 2010	
<u>2022</u>	

Page 16 of 22

<u>written, valid</u> jurisdictional determination (JD) for existing on-site wetlands/<u>waters</u>, a drain-tile survey, <u>information on proximity to other wetland or natural areas</u>, or site-specific soils investigation.

SMC encourages WRF applicants hold a pre-application meeting with the SMC selection team. The pre-application meeting will provide applicants with specific RFP requirements, answer questions concerning acceptable match expenditures, and can be used to refine proposed projects.

#### 10. Who Do I Send Information To:

Application materials shall be submitted to SMC by the Close of Business (4:00 pm) on , as follows:

- If electronically (PDF) via e-mail: send application to
- If hard copies via U.S. mail or expedited courier, send application to:

Wetland Restoration Fund Application

Attn:

Lake County Stormwater Management Commission

500 W. Winchester Road, Suite 201

Libertyville, IL 60048

Send the application materials to the SMC by the C.O.B on (date) at the address below:

Wetland Restoration Fund Application
Attn: (Joseph Hmieleski)(Glenn Westman)
Lake County Stormwater Management Commission
333 Peterson Road
Libertyville, IL 60048

Or email to: (ihmieleski@co.lake.il.us) (gwestman@co.lake.il.us)

# See revised form

SMC Use Only

	Appendix B Wetland Restoration Fund Ap	Received				
Watershed:	(Select Watershed)					
Site Location	Enter Name of Village, Township, HOA					
Property Id. Number (PIN)						
Total Site Size (Acres)						
Owner/Authorized agent name						
Address						
City, State Zip		Phone				
Email		applicant Name/Phone #:				
TOTAL MITIGATION C	Project Type, Acreage, (	Gredits				
☐ Wetland Restoration:	Wetland Creation:	☐ Wetland enhancement:				
-Acres: Credits:	Acres: Credits:	Acres: Gredits:				
Buffer Enhancement						
Acres: Credits:						
Will the Project Impact? JD Waters of the Isolated Waters of Lake Co	u.s.? No Yes – Acres:	Corps Permit Issued? No Yes				
Mitigation Project Description						
Project Description (use additional sheets if necessary):						

U:\Regulatory Program\Wetland Restoration Fund\WRF Implementation Guidance Documents\_SMC Approved\WRF Request Form Filliable2\_SMC\_Approved.doc

See revised form

#### See revised form

	ix C <u>and</u> provide estimate	Project Total Cost	\$	Percent Match (%)
Schedule:	Start Date		Completio	n Date
Please provide stat	tement of recognition and	I information on Long Term	Site Protect	ion (deed restriction, conservation easeme
Summary of Projec	ot Benefits (relating to wate	r quality improvement, natural	resource enh	ancement or flood damage reduction)
Signature of Site C	Owner/Authorized agent	Printed Name	1	
Signature of Applic	eant (date)	Printed Name		
	ents: note existing wetlands/natural a arrative (see RFP description)	reas on map)		
<ol><li>WRF Project Na</li></ol>	mpliance with SMC policies, loo endix C	al plans and WDO		

See revised form



Received_	
Received_	

# Appendix B Wetland Restoration Fund Application Form

Watershed:					
Site Location:					
Property ID Number (PIN):			Total Site Size (Acres):		
Owner/Authorized Agent Name:			Owner/Agent Phone:		
Address:					
City, State Zip:			Applicant Name:		
Email:			Applicant Phone:		
	Propos	sed Mitigation C	redit Summary		
Mitigatio	n Type	Acres	Credit R	atio	Mitigation Credits (ac)
Wetland Areas:					
☐ Restoration			100%		
Creation			100%	ó	
☐ Enhancement ☐ Non-Farme	d Watland		25%		
☐ Farmed We			100%	<del>-</del>	
<u> </u>		<u>i</u>	1007	<u> </u>	
Buffer Areas:					
☐ Wetland Restora			75%		
(including Farmed					
	land Enhancement*		25%		
Upland Enhance	ment"		25%		
		Total Miti	gation Credits (ad	cres)* =	
* No more than 30% of the	Total Mitigation Credits may	consist of enhanced b	uffer. Percent enhanced I	buffer acreag	je =
		Jurisdiction & I	mpacts		
JD / PJD done? Yes	No ☐ If ye	es, date of JD / PJD	:		
Will the Project Impact? Waters of the Isolated Waters of Lake C	ne U.S.? ☐ No ☐ Yes - County? ☐ No ☐ Yes -		Corps Permit WDP / IWCC Permit	Issued? [	] No
	Mit	igation Project I	Description		
Project Description (use a	additional sheets if necess	an/)·			
-					
(Clarify if project includes d basic description of mitigati					combination). Include a

Cost Summary:	Est. Project Total Cost: \$	WRF Percent (%):
	Est. Applicant Cost: \$	Applicant Percent Match (%):
Schedule:	Est. Start Date:	Est. Completion Date:
Summary of Project additional sheets if ne		natural resource enhancement or flood damage reduction; use
	Checklist of Requested	d Attachments
Completed and	signed WRF Application Form (i.e., this form)	Documentation on Applicant capability and
Location Map (r	note existing wetlands/natural areas on map)	experience in wetland mitigation design, construction, maintenance & monitoring
Signed letter from multiple propert	om each property owner (for entities representing	Proposed method of Long-term Protection and sketch of proposed restricted area(s)
WRF Project Na	arrative describing each item listed in WDO Appendix Site information] & C [Design information]:	
Site Locati		Completed Appendix C – Project Match Summary
Physical D	Description Hydrology	Estimate of Probable Cost (This is in addition to the
Land Use	(current) Soils	Project Match Summary) – includes all reasonable expected costs, e.g., costs to administer, plan,
Ownership	Planting Plan	design, acquire property, construct, and improve
	t Biological Resources	(management and monitoring for a minimum of 5 full growing seasons after planting) the mitigation site
Statements (se		growing seasons after planting) the miligation site
	anagement Plan, including:	Description of anticipated management practices to be
	performance standards (e.g., a reference to WDP ection H, or as otherwise proposed)	Description of anticipated management practices to be employed each year to meet performance standards
Field samp	pling methodologies for wetland hydrology and	A Schedule (i.e., calendar indicating month/year of
. — .	n (wetland & buffer areas)	activity) for proposed management & monitoring
Reporting	requirements	practices for a min. 5-year period)
Identificati	on of long-term management entity	Dedicated source of funding for long-term management
	Statements and Si	ignatures
Yes No No		we recognize that a financial surety is required prior to construction n, monitoring & management for the mitigation wetlands and buffers.
Yes No	Statement of Compliance: I/we confirm the proposed WF and SMC policies.	RF mitigation project will comply with all applicable ordinances, laws,
Yes No	Statement of Acknowledgement for Compliance/Compand completion criteria as specified in WDO Appendix N, S	pletion Criteria: I/we acknowledge the mitigation project compliance Section K.
Yes No	Statement of Long-Term Protection Acknowledgementerm protection by a recorded deed or plat restriction and	t: I/we the site owner(s) acknowledge the mitigation area will have long-reflecting language approved by the SMC.
Signature of Site Own	ner/Authorized agent Printed Nan	ne
Signature of Applicant	t (date) Printed Nar	me

#### Appendix C

#### Wetland Restoration Fund - Project Match Summary



Budget Item	WRF COSTS: (\$) (Place costs in this column if not being used as match)	MATCH COSTS: (\$) (Place costs in this column if being used as match)	TOTAL COSTS: (\$) (Sum row)
Administrative			
Planning & Engineering (example*: Information gathering, wetland delineation, plan preparation, survey, permits, approvals, drain tile and soil investigation)			
Construction (exemple": site preparation, clearing, grading, erosion control water control structures)			
Planting (example: planting/seeding/installation, enhancement)			
Management & Monitoring (include period up to compliance, and any long term funding)			
Land Value (includes the project area only)			
Column Subtotal (without contingency)			
Contingency		NA	
Column Subtotal	>		
PROJECT TOTAL (sum WRF and Match costs)=	-		
% Match———— (min. 30% required		1	

<sup>\*</sup>Example budget items provided are for guidance only. Your project may have additional expenses. For these items, place the item within the closest topic category above.

U:\regulatory\Wetland Restoration Fund\breakdown\_SMC Approved.xls

# Appendix C



# Wetland Restoration Fund - Project Match Summary\*

SMC APPROVED January 4, 2007 Revision #1 July \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

Project:			

Budget Item	WRF COSTS: (\$) (Place costs in this column if not being used as match)	MATCH COSTS: (\$) (Place costs in this column if being used as match)	TOTAL COSTS: (\$) (Sum row)	
Administrative	not being used as match)	i being used as match)	(Sum Tow)	
Planning & Engineering (example**: Information gathering, wetland delineation, plan preparation, survey, permits, approvals, drain tile and soil investigation) Construction				
(example**: site preparation, clearing, grading, erosion control measures,  DECI inspections, water control structures)				
Planting (example**: planting/seeding/installation, enhancement)				
Management & Monitoring (include period up to compliancea min. of 5 yrsand any long-term funding)				
Land Value (include the project area only)				
Column Subtotal (without contingency)				
Contingency		NA		
Column Subtotal>				
PROJECT TOTAL (sum WRF and Match costs) =				
<b>% Match&gt;</b> (min. 30% required)				

<sup>\*</sup> This form is to be completed in addition to the more detailed estimate of probable cost.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Example budget items provided are for guidance only. Your project may have additional expenses. For these items, place the item within the closest topic category above.

Appendix D – Notice of Invitation to Bid

#### NOTICE OF INVITATION TO BID

# SMC Wetland Restoration Fund – XXX Watershed Lake County, Illinois

The Lake County Stormwater Management Commission (SMC) desires to purchase a minimum of x.xx acres of *standard* wetland mitigation bank credits or a minimum of [x.xx] acres of *certified* wetland mitigation bank credits from a [U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) or SMC] permitted mitigation bank in the XXX Watershed within Lake County, Illinois.

Notice is hereby given that SMC is seeking **sealed bids** from qualified wetland mitigation bank administrators for the purchase of the above referenced wetland mitigation bank credits. The deadline for submission of sealed bids is <u>xx, 20xx at xx:00 A.M.</u> (CST) at the SMC office at 500 West Winchester Road, Unit 201, Libertyville, Illinois, 60048. At that time, the bids will be publicly opened and read. Sealed envelopes or packages containing bids shall be clearly marked or endorsed: "Wetland Mitigation Credits – XXX Watershed".

#### Sealed bids must contain the following:

- (1) Copy of Page 2 of this Notice with all information completed, including original signature of authorized representative;
- (2) Copy of USACE or SMC permit for the mitigation bank from which credits will be purchased; and,
- (3) Copy of USACE or SMC documentation confirming release/availability of mitigation credits at the mitigation bank from which credits will be purchased.

Award of Purchase Agreement: The award of the bank credit purchase agreement will be made to the lowest responsible bidder to provide the required mitigation acreage. SMC reserves the right to reject any and all non-conforming, non-responsive, unbalanced, or conditioned bids, and to reject the bid and any bidder if SMC believes that it would not be in the best interest of the SMC to make an award to that bidder. SMC also reserves the right to waive irregularities or information or informalities at its discretion. SMC also reserves the right to negotiate the purchase of additional credits at the unit price contained in the bid of the selected bidder

WRF Implementation Guidance Document Revision #2 - SMC Approved February 4, 2010	
2022	
Page 21 of 22	

Contact XXX, SMC Chief Engineer, at 847-xxx-xxxx or @lakecountyil.gov with any questions.

# **NOTICE OF INVITATION TO BID - PAGE 2**

# SMC Wetland Restoration Fund – XXX Watershed Lake County, Illinois

Name of Firm:							
Address:							
Contact Person:							
Phone/E-Mail:							
Name of Mitigation Bank*:							
Bank Approval:	USACE	or	LCSMC	(circle one)			
Type of Mitigation Credit Available** (Standard or Certified):							
Unit Price (\$ per acre):							
Total Price (Unit Price x Credit Acreage Needed):							
Authorized Representative:							
Signature:	Date:						
_				Date			
Print Name:				_			

<sup>\*</sup>\_Include copy of USACE or SMC permit for referenced mitigation bank.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Include USACE or SMC documentation confirming release/availability of mitigation credits at referenced mitigation bank.