		·					
Municipality	С Г	Illinois D	Department sportation	CO	Name Crawford, Mu	rphy and Tilly, Ir	ìC.
Township	A L	O SI IIGIII		N S U	Address 550 N. Comm	ons Drive, Suite	116
County Lake County – Division of Transportation	G E N	Preliminary I Services A	greement	T A N	City Aurora		
Section 08-00148-02-FP	C Y	Non-Motor Fu		T	State IL.		
THIS AGREEMENT is made and enter Agency (LA) and Consultant (ENGINE improvement of the above SECTION. supervision of the State Department of to finance ENGINEERING services as	EER) a Non- of Trar	and covers certain pro- Motor Fuel Tax Fundation, hereinaft	ds, allotted to the LA ter called the "DEPA	۹ <del>by</del> ۱RT۱	services in conn the State of Illin	ois, under the g	eneral
		Section De	scription				•
Name <u>Lake Avenue Improvements</u>							
Route C.H. 68 Length 8	235.0	0 Mi. 1.56	FT		(Structure No. (Structure No.	049-3025	— <u>)</u>
Termini 8235' on Lake Avenue (II. I	Rte. 1	73 to Wisconsin Stat	te Line)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Description: This project is classified as a resurfaci	ing pro	pject with some reco	nstruction. Bridge o	leck	replacement is	also included.	
		Agreement F	Provisions				
The Engineer Agrees,							
<ol> <li>To perform or be responsible for the proposed improvements herein be</li> </ol>				ervic	es for the LA, Ir	connection wit	n the
a. Make such detailed surveys	s as a	re necessary for the	preparation of deta	iled r	oadway plans		
<ul> <li>b.  Make stream and flood plain of detailed bridge plans.</li> </ul>	n hydr	raulic surveys and ga	ather high water dat	a, ar	nd flood historie	s for the prepar	ation
c. Make or cause to be made analyses thereof as may be Such investigations are to be	requi	red to furnish sufficie	ent data for the desi	ign o	f the proposed	improvement.	and
d. Make or cause to be made furnish sufficient data for the				erse	ction studies as	may be require	d to
e. Prepare Army Corps of Eng of Natural Resources-Office Utility plan and locations, ar	of Wa	ater Resources Pern	nit, Bridge waterway	agen y ske	n <b>ent Commissio</b> etch, and/or Cha	n Permit, Depa annel Change sl	rtment ketch,
f. Prepare Preliminary Bridge and high water effects on ro	desigi adwa	n and Hydraulic Rep y overflows and brid	ort, (including econ- ge approaches.	omic	analysis of brid	lge or culvert ty	pes)
g. Make complete general and with one (1) copy of each do documents, if required, shal reproduction.	cumer	it in both hardcopy (	and electronic form	at. /	Additional copie	s of any or all	ie LA
<ul> <li>h.</li></ul>							ıking
i. Assist the LA in the tabulation	n and	I interpretation of the	contractors' propos	sals			

	<ul> <li>j. Prepare the necessary environmental documents in accordance with the procedures adopted by the DEPARTMENT's Bureau of Local Roads &amp; Streets.</li> </ul>
	k. Prepare the Project Development Report when required by the DEPARTMENT.
	l. 🔀 Services as included and/or defined in the attached Scope of Services.
- 2.	That all reports, plans, plats and special provisions to be furnished by the ENGINEER pursuant to the AGREEMENT, will be in accordance with current standard specifications and policies of the LA of the DEPARTMENT. It is being understood that all such reports, plats, plans and drafts shall, before being finally accepted, be subject to approval by the LA and the DEPARTMENT.
3.	To attend conferences at any reasonable time when requested to do so by representatives of the LA or-the-Department.
4.	In the event plans or surveys are found to be in error during construction of the SECTION and revisions of the plans or survey corrections are necessary, the ENGINEER agrees that the ENGINEER will perform such work without expense to the LA, even though final payment has been received by the ENGINEER. The ENGINEER shall give immediate attention to these changes so there will be a minimum delay to the CONTRACTOR.
5.	That basic survey notes and sketches, charts, computations and other data prepared or obtained by the ENGINEER pursuant to this AGREEMENT will be made available, upon request, to the LA or the DEPARTMENT without cost and without restriction or limitations as to their use.
6.	That all plans and other documents furnished by the ENGINEER pursuant to this AGREEMENT will be endorsed by the ENGINEER and will show the ENGINEER's professional seal where such is required by law.
Th	e LA Agrees,
1.	To pay the ENGINEER as compensation for all services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT according to the following method indicated by a check mark:
	a. A sum of money squal to percent of the awarded contract cost of the proposed improvement as approved by the DEPARTMENT.
-	b.   A sum of money equal to the percent of the awarded contract cost for the proposed improvement as approved by the DEPARTMENT based on the following schedule:
	Schedule for Percentages Based on Awarded Contract Cost
	Awarded Cost Percentage Fees
	Under \$50,000 (see note)
	%
	Note: Not necessarily a percentage. Could use per diem, cost-plus or lump sum.
2.	To pay for all services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT at the actual cost of performing such work plus 14.5 percent to cover profit, overhead and readiness to serve - "actual cost" being defined as material cost plus payrolls, insurance, social security and retirement deductions. Traveling and other out-of-pocket expenses will be reimbursed to the ENGINEER at the ENGINEER's actual cost. Subject to the approval of the LA, the ENGINEER may sublet all or part of the services provided in section 1 of the ENGINEER AGREES. If the ENGINEER sublets all or part of this work, the LA will pay the cost to the ENGINEER plus an additional service charge of up to five (5) percent.
	"Cost to Engineer" to be verified by furnishing the LA and the DEPARTMENT copies of invoices from the party doing the work. The classifications of the employees used in the work should be consistent with the employee classifications for the services performed. If the personnel of the firm, including the Principal Engineer, perform routine services that should normally be performed by lesser-salaried personnel, the wage rate billed for such services shall be commensurate with the work performed.

The Total Not-to-Exceed Contract Amount shall be \$293,114.24

2.

- 3. That payments due the ENGINEER for services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT will be made as soon as practicable after the services have been performed in accordance with the following schedule:
  - a. Upon completion of detailed plans, special provisions, proposals and estimate of cost being the work required by section 1 of the ENGINEER AGREES - to the satisfaction of the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, 90 percent of the total fee due under this AGREEMENT based on the approved estimate of cost.
  - b. Upon award of the contract for the improvement by the LA and its approval by the DEPARTMENT, 100 percent of the total fee due under the AGREEMENT based on the awarded contract cost, less any amounts paid under "a" above.

By Mutual agreement, partial payments, not to exceed 90 percent of the amount earned, may be made from time to time as the work progresses.

- 4. That, should the improvement be abandoned at any time after the ENGINEER has performed any part of the services provided for in sections 1 and 3 of the ENGINEER AGREES and prior to the completion of such services, the LA shall reimburse the ENGINEER for the ENGINEER's actual costs plus 14.5 percent incurred up to the time the ENGINEER is notified in writing of such abandonment -"actual cost" being defined as in paragraph 2 of the LA AGREES.
- 5. That, should the LA require changes in any of the detailed plans, specifications or estimates except for those required pursuant to paragraph 4 of the ENGINEER AGREES, after they have been approved by the DEPARTMENT, the LA will pay the ENGINEER for such changes on the basis of actual cost plus 14.5 percent to cover profit, overhead and readiness to serve -"actual cost" being defined as in paragraph 2 of the LA AGREES. It is understood that "changes" as used in this paragraph shall in no way relieve the ENGINEER of the ENGINEER's responsibility to prepare a complete and adequate set of plans and specifications.

## It is Mutually Agreed,

- 1. That any difference between the ENGINEER and the LA concerning their interpretation of the provisions of this Agreement shall be referred to a committee of disinterested parties consisting of one member appointed by the ENGINEER, one member appointed by the LA and a third member appointed by the two other members for disposition and that the committee's decision shall be final.
- 2. This AGREEMENT may be terminated by the LA upon giving notice in writing to the ENGINEER at the ENGINEER's last known post office address. Upon such termination, the ENGINEER shall cause to be delivered to the LA all surveys, permits, agreements, preliminary bridge design & hydraulic report, drawings, specifications, partial and completed estimates and data, if any from traffic studies and soil survey and subsurface investigations with the understanding that all such material becomes the property of the LA. The ENGINEER shall be paid for any services completed and any services partially completed in accordance with section 4 of the LA AGREES.
- 3. That if the contract for construction has not been awarded one year after the acceptance of the plans by the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, the LA will pay the ENGINEER the balance of the engineering fee due to make 100 percent of the total fees due under this AGREEMENT, based on the estimate of cost as prepared by the ENGINEER and approved by the LA and the DEPARTMENT.
- 4. That the ENGINEER warrants that the ENGINEER has not employed or retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, to solicit or secure this contract, and that the ENGINEER's has not paid or agreed to pay any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, any fee, commission, percentage, brokerage fee, gifts or any other consideration, contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this contract. For Breach or violation of this warranty the LA shall have the right to annul this contract without liability.

Executed by the LA:	·		
•		County of Lake (Municipality/Fownship/County)	_ of the
ATTEST:		State of Illinois, acting by and through its	
Зу		County Board	
Lake County	Clerk	Ву	
(Seal)		Title Chairman of the County Board	
		RECOMMENDED FOR EXECUTION	
		Martin G. Buehler, P.E. Director of Transportation/County Engineer Lake County	
	<u></u>		
xecuted by the ENGINEER:	•	Crawford, Murphy and Tilly, Inc.	******************************
		Engineering Firm 550 North Commons Drive, Suite 116	
		Street Address	
TTEST:		Aurora, II., 60504	
		City, State	
y		Ву	
itle		Title	

## Exhibit A - Preliminary Engineering

Firm's approved rates on file with IDOT'S Bureau of Accounting and Auditing:

Overhead Rate (OH) 1.5482 Complexity Factor ® 0.00 Calendar Days 355

Lake Avenue Local Agency: Lake County 08-00148-02-FP Section: Project: Job No.: Route:

| 14.5%[DL + R(DL) + OH(DL) + IHDC] | 14.5%[DL + R(DL) + 1.4(DL) + IHDC] | 14.5%[C.3 + R)DL + IHDC] |X| {14.5%[DL + R(DL)+OH(DL)]} + (Services by others \*0.05) Method of Compensation: Cost Plus Fixed Fee 1 Cost Plus Fixed Fee 2 Cost Plus Fixed Fee 3 Specific Rate

Lump Sum

% of Grand Tota 100.00% 57.27% 1.13% 10.85% 2.49% 3.12% 3.21% 3.94% 7.64% 4.63% 1.02% 4.70% \$9,389.75 \$3,298.12 57,301.13 \$22,397.62 \$13,581.86 \$2,985.62 \$13,787.54 \$31,814,28 59,144.21 \$167,860,44 \$293,114.24 \$11,543.66 Total 20.00 20.00 80.00 80.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 80.00 80.00 20.00 \$0.00 80.00 80.00 **Outside Direct** Casts \$3,909.34 \$924.60 \$526.61 \$409.29 \$1,158.00 \$21,076.98 \$1,176,99 \$691.15 \$369.72 \$1,746.02 \$33,429.86 \$1,440.97 Profit \$944.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 S66.12 \$0.00 \$1,425.00 \$165.00 \$0.00 \$66.12 \$0.00 \$2,666,24 Cost Estimate of Consultant's Services in Dollars

Ate Payroli Costs Overhead' Services by In-House Direct

(DL) Overhead' others Costs (HDC) 20.00 20.00 \$0.00 80.00 80.00 20.00 \$0.00 \$20,000.00 80.00 \$8,000.00 \$12,400.00 \$40,400,00 \$298.14 \$1,714.98 \$16,380.55 \$3,874,17 \$4,852.15 \$530.50 \$741.61 \$1,549.16 \$7,316.02 \$131,609.97 \$88,314.88 \$6,037.81 \$4,725.50 \$10,580.39 \$3,134.06 \$342,65 \$192,57 \$1,000.62 \$85,008.37 \$1,107.73 \$2,502,37 \$57,043.58 \$3,899.89 \$479.02 Payroll Rate \$33.06 \$35.45 \$32.10 \$31.27 \$32,58 532.93 \$31.98 \$34.27 533,36 \$34.22 \$40.05 \$33.63 Man-Hours 2528.0 320.0 1710.0 110.0 118.0 0.86 10,0 14.0 32.0 34.0 76.0 6.0 Plans, Quantities, Specifications, and Estimate of Cost Soil investigation & Geotechnical Report Data Collection and Bridge Inspection Element of Wark Wetlands and Endangered Species Existing Storn Sewer Televising 10 Bidding Assistance/Evaluation Field Surveys and ROW Plats Drainage Study and Permits Meetings and Coordination Preliminary Design Study 11 Project Administration Totals

## Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

## Summary of Man Hours

	ltem			CMT Total
. ,	Data Collection and Bridge Inspection			Hours 34.0
A B	Data Collection and Bridge Inspection Field Surveys and ROW Plats			320.0
Č	Preliminary Design Study			76.0
Ď	Drainage Study and Permits			98.0
E	Wetlands and Endangered Species	·		10.0
F	Plans, Quantities, Specifications, and Estimate of Cost		•	1,710.0
G	Meetings and Coordination	•		110.0
H	Soil Investigation & Geotechnical Report			14.0
!	Existing Storm Sewer Televising			6.0
J	Bidding Assistance/Evaluation			32.0
K	Project Administration Totals			118.0 2,528.0
.,	A. D. A. B. H. M. A. B. L. A. B. M. A. B. M. B.			
ltem	A: Data Collection and Bridge Inspection	,	<u>Hours</u>	
A-1	Data Collection		16	
A-2	Project Site Visit		. 8	14
A-3	Photo Log		4	
A-4	Review existing bridge report prepared by HLR		6	
	Sub - total			34
	Sub - total			34
ltem	B: Field Surveys and ROW Plats			
B-1	Office set-up and coordination	•	2	
B-2	Obtain permits for survey access (if required)		2	
B-3	Preliminary field work/set control: (2 day @ 12hrs/day * 2 people)		40	
B-4	Bridge and elevation survey		12	
B-5	Topographic survey and cross sections (100ft. Centers and driveways/access):		. 100	
8-6	Drainage surveys (rims, inverts, and outlet elevation)		10	
B-7	Survey wetland delineation		4	
8-8	Stake right-of-way	•	28	
8-9	Download and Plot Survey Data		. 4	
B-10	Plan in hand review of existing conditions		8	
B-11	Pick-up surveys		18	
B-12	Survey marked utilities	•	4	
B-13	Prepare ROW plats and legal descriptions (assume 2 Plats @ 24 hrs/plat)		48	
B-14	Prepare Plats of Highway and legal descriptions for entire section of project		40	
	Sub - total			320
item (	: Preliminary Design Study			
110111	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
C-1	Eslablish project design criteria and standards		2	
C-2	Establish initial project limits		2	
C-3	Develop horizontal and vertical alignment geometrics	•	8	
C-4	Incorporate responses to environmental issues into the project design		2	
C-5	Develop and evaluate typical section		4	
C-6	Identify driveway and access issues	•	4	
C-7 .	Develop and evaluate pavement design		4	
C-8	Determine right-of-way or easement needs		4	•
C-9.	Develop concept maintenance of traffic		8	
C-10	Develop preliminary plan/profile drawings		8 .	
C-11	Identify any barrier warrant analysis locations		4	
C-12	Initiate coordination with utilities/identify any conflicts		8.	
C-13	Identify and develop pedestrian/bike access needs		2 8	
C-14 C-15	Develop prefiminary cost estimate Update bridge report originally prepared by HLR		. o 8	•
0-10	Operation bridge report originally prepared by TLR	*		
	Out with	ě		. 70

## Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

ı	ltem D	: Drainage Study and Permits			
		Foliation ducks are made as		24	
	)-1 . ^	Existing drainage system		40	•
	1-2 1-3	Proposed drainage system Floodplain Encroachment Evaluation		40	
	3 1-4	Permits		30	
		remits		30	
		Sub - total			98
	tem E: -1	: Wetlands and Endangered Species		. 6	
	-2	Subconsultant coordination and meetings (assume 2 meetings) Review environmental reports and permits		4	
_	-			•	
		Sub - total			10
		Plans, Quantities, Specifications, and Estimate of Cost			<b>~</b>
F-	1	Plan Sheet Preparation	No. Sheets	Hours/Sheet	<u>Total</u>
		Cover Sheet	1	8	8
		General Notes/Index	1 .	10	10
		Summary of Quantities	1	24	24
		Alignment, Ties and Benchmarks	. 1	16	16
		Typical Sections (assume Pavement marking and seeding limits included)	1	20	20
		Detour Plan	1	18	18
		Maintenance of Traffic Notes	1	10	10
		Maintenance of Traffic Typical Sections	1	16	16
		Maintenance of Traffic Sheets (assume 2 stages)	9	18	162
		Removal, Plan & Profile and Drainag & Utility Sheet (scale: 1"=20'@ 14	14	24	336
		sheets) Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (scale: 1" = 100')	3	14	42
		General Plan and Elevation	1	48	48
		Structural Notes and Bill of Material	1	46	46
		Stage Construction Details	1	40	40
		Temporary Concrete Barrier	1	16	16
		Deck Elevations	2	46	92
		Approach Pavement Elevations	2	40	80
		Superstructure	2	48	96
		Bridge Railing Details	1	40	40
		Bar Splicer Assembly Details	1	16	16
		Framing Plan and Elevation	1	46	46
		Framing Details and Tables	1	46	46
		Bearing Details	1	46	46
		Anchor Bolt Details	1	16	16
		Concrete Removal, Abutments	1	46	46
		Abutment Details	1	46	46
		Boring Logs	-1	8	8
		Construction Detail Sheets	2	14	28
		Cross-Section Sheets (35 x-sections @ 3 x-sect./sheet)	11	12	132
		Highway Standards	1	4	4
		Total Sheet Count Estimate:	67		
		Contract Documents		40	
		Special Provisions		40 90	
		Quantily Calculations		90	_
		Estimate of Cost Estimate of Time	•	12	٠ .
	č	Estimate of Firing	•	14	

Sub - total

### Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

### Item G: Meetings and Coordination Kick-off meeting with Lake County (1 Meeting) G-2 Preliminary Preview Meeting (1 Meeting) G-3 Pre-final Plans Review Meeting (1 Meeting) Meeting with IDOT (1 Meeting) G-4 Meeting with LCSMC (1 Meeting) G-5 G-6 Coordination with IDOT 12 Coordination with Lake County DOT G-7 16 G-8 Coordination with Antioch Township and Kenosha County G-9 Coordination with utility companies 16 G-10 Prepare time prior to Meetings (total of 5 meetings) 15 G-11 15 Prepare Meeting Minutes (total of 5 meetings) G-12 Assume no meetings with adjacent residents and business owners Sub - total 110 Item H: Soil Investigation & Geotechnical Report H-1 Subconsultant coordination and (1) meetings Provide sketches to subconsultant for boring layout program H-2 H-3 Provide elevation information for boring logs Review and analyze available soils surveys for roadway pavement design H-4 H-5 CTLI will provide a PDF copy of final report 14 Sub - total Item I: Existing Storm Sewer Televising 1-1 Subconsultant coordination and meetings 1-2 Review and analyze televised taped of existing storm sewer Provide a DVD/Tape of existing storm sewer 1-3 Sub - total 6 Item J: Bidding Assistance/Evaluation .1.1 **Bidding Assistance** 16 J-2 Attend Pre-Construction Meeting 6 J-3 Review Shop Drawings (assume 3 shop drawings) 10 32 Sub - total Item K: Project Administration K-1 Project administrative set-up K-2 Prepare and maintain Quality Assurance Plan K-3 Resource Planning and internal kick-off meeting 8 K-4 Scope of Work reviews 8 K-5 Create and maintain Project Scheduling 12 K-6 Monthly progress reports 20 K-7 8 **Budget control** K-8 Internal project team meetings 18 K-9 Project Quality Assurance and constructability reviews 20 K-10 Project close out 10

Sub - total

118

## **Estimate of Direct Costs**

## Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

Item A:	Data Coffection and Bridge Inspection		
1	Travel: 2 trip @ \$33.06/trip	\$66.12	
•	57 Miles * \$.58/mile	\$00.12	
	Sub - total		\$66,12
	Sun - totat		\$66.12
item 8:	Field Surveys and ROW Plats		
1 2	Travel: 8 trips @ \$33.06/trip Meals (\$5.00x8daysx2people)	\$264.00 \$80.00	
3	Instrument Rental (\$100/day*6 days)	\$600.00	
•		4000.00	
	Sub - total		\$944.00
Item C:	Preliminary Design Study		
nom o.	No direct costs expected for this task	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
item D:			
	No direct costs expected for this task Sub - total	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sup-total		\$0.00
Item E:	Wetlands and Endangered Species		
	No direct costs expected for this task	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
item F:	Plans, Quantities, Specifications, and Estin	ate of Cost	
1	Print 9 full size sets @ \$100/set	\$900.00	
2	Print 15 half size sels @ \$25/set	\$375.00	
3	Misc. printing and supplies	\$150.00	
	Sub - total		\$1,425.00
Item G:	Meetings and Coordination	•	
1	Assume (5) meetings @ \$33.06/trip	\$165.00	
	Sub - total		\$165.00
Item H:	Soli investigation & Geotechnical Report		
	No direct costs expected for this task	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
Item I:	Existing Storm Sewer Televising		
	No direct costs expected for this task	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
Item J:	Bidding Assistance/Evaluation		
	Assume (2) meetings @ \$33.06/trip	\$66.12	
	Sub - total		\$66.12
Item K:	Project Administration		
ACCUPANT OF	No direct costs expected for this task	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
	•	•	

total ≂

\$2,666.24

DATE 04/15/09

# AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

Crawford, Murphy & Tilly, Inc.

FIRM PSB PRIME/SUPPLEMENT

	- 1	- 1	_	٠.,		_						_					٠,	٠.,	٠.,	٠.,		<del>,</del>	_						- <b>,</b>	<u></u>				_,	
		pecies	Wgtd	Avg			16.77	6.63		10.87																									\$34.27
2		andangered S	8	Part			40.00%	20.00%		40.00%																									100%
RO		Wetlands and Endangered Species	Hours				4	2		4								-				-	-		+						-				5
-			wgto	AVG			5.13	10.14	3,95	12.76										1								_	-			+			\$31.98
l		Uramage Study and Permits	 8 }	HE LE	-		12.24%	30.61%	10.20%	46.94%	+			-					-						+			-	_	-		1	+		100%
SHEET		House Stu		1		+	╬	+	+	46 46	1	1	_	1	1			<u> </u>		+			_	-		+	+		_			+	1	1	98 1(
£	ſ	Τ,			+	- -	3.31	6.97	11.20	11.45	+	+	1		+	_				1				-	-				_		_	1	-	$\dagger$	\$32.93
				1	+	2006	1	1	1	42.11%	+	-	1	+	-	-	_	_		-				_	-		1					<u> </u>		1	100% S:
	occinitation of	Hours			+	4	╫	1	77 50	+	1	1	+	1	+		_				-	-		_	_	-	-				-	-	-	-	76
	Г	Τ	Ave		T		69.0	70.0	1		200	2 2	2017		1						1		-			-	T					T	T	T	533.06
	VOG bree at	8	Part				7 50%	200.		1	100000	2000	RS1.00		1																				100%
•	Field Surveyor and BOM Diefe	Hours			T	1	ď	,		Ì	8		1		†				_	ŀ	1						T	1				-	İ		320
	Γ	Ę	Avg			7.40	16 50	200	03 6				***************************************	1												-					-				\$32.58
	d Bridge Inspe	%	Part	- 		17.65%	47.06%		35 29%			-			1	1			:	-		1				_		-				-			100.00%
	Data Collection and Bridge Inspection	Hours			-	9	16		12		-						-		-								-	+				-		-	34
	ğ	Wgtd	Avg		-	4.92	6.41	838	822	-	1.48	2 62		-	+	ļ		-				+						1							\$33.34
		%	Part.		-	11.75%	19.34%	21.67%	33.93%		4.07%	9 25%	-	$\mid$			1					$\dagger$		1		-	-						-	-	100%
	TOTAL PROJECT RATES	Hours		0	0		456	ļ			96			0	0																				2358
			RATES	66.59	53.79	41.92	33.13	38.68	27.18	22,25	36.37	31.61	23.18	18.15	18.85																			-	
	PAYROLL		CLASSIFICATION	Principal	Senior Project Engineer	Project Engineer	Senior Engineer	Senior Technical Manager	Engineer	Planner	Registered Land Surveyor	Senior Technician	Technician	Technical Assistant	Clerical																				TOTALS

# AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

Crawford, Murphy & Tilly, Inc. FIRM PSB PRIME/SUPPLEMENT

8 Q F 04/15/09 DATE SHEET

PAYROLL	AVG	Diane Ores	afiliae Char	in subjective	Manting	A Property of	ſ						ſ						
		200 Command	The state of	- 1	e company	asta coolulis	Ţ	Son investigation & Geotechnical Report	n & Geotechnic	al Report	Existing Sto	Existing Storm Sewer Televising		Bidding Assistance/Evaluation	stance/Evalu	nation	Project A	Project Administration	_
MOH & CITIES & 10	HOUREY	Hours	\$ <u>`</u>		Hours	× 1	Wgtd	Hours	*	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Watd	Hours	%	Watd
CLASSIFICATION	KA IES		Part.	Avg		Part.	Avg		Part	Avg		Part,	Ava	_	Part	Ava		t 0	Δ,,Λ
Principal	66,59												>			5		3	2
Senior Project Engineer	53.79				-												ļ	74 000	6
Project Engineer	41.92	205	11.99%	5.02	44	40.00%	16.77	2	14.29%	5.99	2	33.33%	13.97				<u> </u>	0.00.0	9 2
Senior Engineer	33.13	342	20.00%	6.63	44	40.00%	13.25							22	68 75%	77.50	3 8	37.00076	20 0C
Senior Technical Manager	38.68	479	28.01%	10.83			-	9	42.85%	16.58					2000	77.77	f	07.53.70	37
Engineer	27.18	684	40.00%	10.87	22	20.00%	5,44	φ	42.86%	11.65	4	66.67%	18.12	ç	34 250	08.0			
Planner	22.25							-					******	2	21.50%	20.00			T
Registered Land Surveyor	36.37																		
Senior Technician	31.61																		
Technician	23.18						-												Ī
Technical Assistant	18.15										,								T
Clerical	18,85																		
								+											
							†												
			***************************************		1														
																			T
																			T
							-											T	T
									·										
						-													
						_	-												T
																			T
							-	-											T
																			Ī
																			T
																			T
																			Ī
																			T
TOTALS		1710	100%	\$33.36	7,0	100%	\$35.45	4.	100%	\$34.22	ဖ	100%	\$32.10	32	100%	\$31.27	118	100%	\$40.05
												-				,	?	7	3

# Development of Project Hourly Rates (IDOT Method)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
ltem	2009 Actual Rate	Projected @ 5.0% Increase	Projected @ 5.0% Increase	Projected @ 5.0% Increase	Projected @ 5.0% Increase	Projected @ 5.0% Increase
Average Hourly Rate as a Percent of 2009 Rate	100.0%	105.0%	110.3%	115.8%	121.6%	127.6%
Estimated Months of Contract in Given Year	7	5	0	0	. 0	0
% of Project Duration	58.33%	41.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Extension	0.583	0.438	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Weighted Project Hourly Rate Multiplier	Note: Sal	Salary Adjustments are Given on January 1 of Each Year	is are Given or	January 1 of	Each Year	1.0208

Project Duration: June 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010=

12 months

## Computation of Prorated Project Hourly Rates

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

Classification	Actual 2009 Average Hourly Rate	Weighted Hourly Rate Multiplier	Project Hourly Rates *
Principal	\$65.23	1.0208	\$66.59
Senior Project Engineer	\$52.69	1.0208	\$53.79
Project Engineer	\$41.06	1.0208	\$41.92
Senior Engineer	\$32.45	1.0208	\$33.13
Senior Technical Manager	\$37.89	1.0208	\$38.68
Engineer	\$26.63	1.0208	\$27.18
Planner	\$21.80	1.0208	\$22.25
Registered Land Surveyor	\$35.63	1.0208	\$36.37
Senior Technician	\$30.96	1.0208	\$31.61
Technician	\$22.71	1.0208	\$23.18
Technical Assistant	\$17.78	1.0208	\$18.15
Clerical	\$18.47	1.0208	\$18.85

<sup>\*</sup> Rates to be applied to all project work tasks

## Lake County Division of Transportation Phase II Engineering for Lake Avenue Improvements From II. Rte. 173 to Wisconsin State Line Scope of Services for Phase II Engineering

## I. PHASE II ENGINEERING

- A. Data Collection and Bridge Inspection
  - 1. Obtain, Review, and analyze inventory data:
    - a. Existing utility information (electric, natural gas, gas pipelines, transmission lines, telecommunication, cable TV, water, sewer).
    - b. Available roadway plans / record drawings (to be obtained from Lake County DOT).
    - d. Survey ground control.
    - e. Obtain/Review accident data. (to be obtained from Lake County DOT)
    - f. Verify existing traffic data (ADT) through coordination with CMAP.
    - g. Available ROW and easement information and documents (provided by Lake County DOT)
    - h. Property ownership / Tax maps
    - i. Soil Conservation Service maps
    - j. U.S.G.S. maps
    - k. NWI maps.
  - 2. Project Site Visits
  - 3. Photographs of existing conditions / create photo log.
  - 4. Review existing bridge report prepared by HLR
- B. Field Surveys and ROW Plats (Field survey: assume 2 man crew using GPS and robotics)
  - 1. Office set-up and coordination (State Plane)
    - a. Obtain and review locations of Vertical and Horizontal control points (to be provided by Lake County)
    - b. Schedule and coordinate field survey activities
  - 2. Obtain permits for survey access (if required)
  - 3. Preliminary field work/set control
    - a. Run traverse between control points to verify closure, and to set intermediate control points
    - b. Run level circuit between bench marks to verify closure, horizontal control points, and set temporary bench marks
  - 4. Bridge and elevation surveys
  - 5. Topographic survey and cross sections
    - a. Topographic surveys (Survey shall be taken 20' beyond right-of-way. The limits of survey is Lake Avenue (approximately 8,235 feet)
    - b. Cross section (100ft. centers and driveways/access)
  - 6. Drainage surveys (Type, size, condition and invert of existing storm sewers, structures and culverts.)
  - · 7. Survey wetland delineation
  - 8. Stake right-of-way

- 9. Download and Plot Survey Data
  - a. Download of survey data
  - b. Create existing conditions plan view
  - c. Create existing Digital Terrain Model (DTM) (Contours)
  - d. Generate existing condition cross sections
  - e. Generate existing centerline profile.
- 10. Plan in hand review of existing conditions
- 11. Pick-up surveys
- 12. Survey marked utilities
- 13. Prepare Right-of-Way Plats and Legal Descriptions (Assume 2 Plats)
  - a. Tract Search (assume 2 parcels)
  - b. Plat Preparation
  - c. Prepare Legal Description
- 14. Prepare Plat of Highway and Legal Descriptions (entire section of project)
  - a. Tract Search
  - b. Plat Preparation
  - c. Prepare Legal Description

## C. Preliminary Design Studies

- 1. Establish project design criteria and standards
- 2. Establish initial project limits
- 3. Develop horizontal and vertical alignment geometrics
- 4. Develop and evaluate typical section
- 6. Identify driveway and access issues
- 7. Develop and evaluate pavement design
- 8. Determine right-of-way or easement needs
- 9. Develop concept maintenance of traffic
- 10. Develop preliminary plan/profile drawings
- 11. Identify any barrier warrant analysis locations
- 12. Initiate coordination with utilities/identify any conflicts
- 13. Develop preliminary cost estimate
- 15. Update bridge report originally prepared by HLR

## D. Drainage Study and Permits

- 1. Existing Drainage System
  - a. Identify Drainage Problems
    - 1.) Research, obtain, and document the location and description of any identified drainage problems.
    - 2.) Define factors leading to non-maintenance drainage problems, if any, and determine responsibility for corrective measures.
  - b. Identify Base Floodplains
  - c. Identify Major Drainage Features:
    - 1.) Major Culvert Crossings
    - 2.) Identify existing drainage outlets and outlet treatments
    - 3.) Identify wetland areas
- Proposed Drainage System [It is assumed that no Hydraulics Report will be required.]

- a. Establish design criteria for proposed drainage north of Wilmot Road
  - 1.) Develop Concept Drainage Plan-(trunk line pipe sizes, layout and outlet locations)
- b. Outlet Evaluation
  - 1.) Qualitatively evaluate whether each existing outlet is suitable for continued use, sensitive, or unsuitable.
  - 2.) Document location and source of unsuitable or sensitive outlets
  - 3.) Perform quantitative evaluation of unsuitable or sensitive outlets.
    - a.) Determine if there is an increase in runoff as a result of proposed improvements.
    - b.) Analyze existing outlet with proposed flow to determine potential impacts.
    - c.) Analyze capacity of existing system.
    - d.) Analyze depressional storage areas, ponds, and wetlands to determine potential increases in water surface elevation or impacts of increased volume runoff.
  - 4.) Develop feasible, cost effective recommendations in accordance with Lake County and IDOT policies, practices, and procedures.
- c. Summary Drainage Alternatives
- d. Develop Proposed Drainage Plans
  - 1.) Identify locations of ditch re-grading
  - 2.) Identify locations where sheet flow is proposed
  - 3.) Identify existing storm sewers to be maintained
  - 4.) Design of proposed storm sewers
  - 5.) Provide plan and profile of proposed trunk line sewers
  - 6.) Plot Hydraulic Grade Line
- 3. Floodplain Encroachment Evaluation
  - 1.) Confirm no floodplain encroachment.
- 4. Permits (assume no work within IDOT right-of-way and no IDOT Access Permit)
  - a. Lake County Watershed Development Permit Application (LCSMC)
  - b. NPDES Stormwater Permit Application (IEPA)
  - c. Borrow/Use Areas (IDOT)
  - d. Cultural Resources (IDOT) (prepared by Huff & Huff)
  - e. Endangered Species (IDOC) (prepared by Huff & Huff)
- E. Wetlands and Endangered Species: Scope is submitted as Attachment <u>B</u> and will be performed by <u>Huff & Huff, Inc.</u>
  - 1. Subconsultant coordination and meetings (assume 2 meetings)
  - 2. Review environmental reports and permits. Huff & Huff, Inc will provide a PDF copy of final report.
- F. Plans, Quantities, Specifications, and Estimate of Cost

- 1. Refer to the Manhour Estimate for a complete detail of the anticipated project sheets.
- G. Meetings and Coordination (assume 2 people per meeting @ 3 hours per meeting)
  - 1. Kick-off meeting with Lake County (1 Meeting)
  - 2. Preliminary Preview Meeting (1 Meeting)
  - 3. Pre-final Plans Review Meeting (1 Meeting)
  - 4. Meeting with IDOT Local Roads personnel to discuss signal installation at the intersection of IL. Rte 173 and Lake Avenue (1 Meeting)
  - Meeting with Lake County Stormwater Management Commission (1 Meetings)
  - 6. Coordination with IDOT District One Local Roads
  - 7. Coordination with Lake County DOT
  - 8. Coordination with Antioch Township and Kenosha County
  - 9. Coordination with utility companies
  - 10. Preparation time prior to meetings (total of 7 meetings)
  - 11. Prepare Meeting Minutes (total of 7 meetings)
  - 12. Assume no meetings with adjacent residents and business owners
- H. Soil Investigation & Geotechnical Report: Geotechnical Scope is submitted as Attachment C and will be performed by Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc.
  - 1. Subconsultant coordination and meetings (assume 1 meeting)
  - 2 Provide sketches to subconsultant for boring layout program
  - 3. Provide elevation information for boring logs
  - 4. Review and analyze available soils surveys for roadway pavement design
  - 5. CTLI will provide a PDF copy of final report.
- I. Existing Storm sewer televising Scope is submitted as Attachment <u>D</u> and will be performed by <u>Sheridan Plumbing & Sewer, Inc.</u>
  - 1. Subconsultant coordination and meeting (assume I meeting)
  - 2. Review and analyze televised tape of existing storm sewer
  - 3. A DVD/Tape of existing storm sewer will be provided
- J. Bidding Assistance/ Evaluation
  - 1. Bidding Assistance
  - 2. Attend Pre-construction Meeting
  - 3. Review Shop Drawings (assume 3 shop drawings)
- K. Project Administration
  - 1. Project administrative set-up
  - 2. Prepare and maintain Quality Assurance Plan
  - 3. Resource Planning and internal kick-off meeting
  - 4. Scope of Work reviews
  - 5. Create and maintain Project scheduling
  - 6. Monthly progress reports (I Report/Month \*12 Months = 12 Reports)
  - 7. Budget control

- 8. Internal project team meetings
- 9. Project Quality Assurance and constructability reviews
- 10. Project close out

## Scope of Work Assumptions:

- 1. Verification of existing Average Daily Traffic (ADT) will be coordinated with Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP). Traffic counts are not included in the Scope of Work.
- 2. Attendance at the Pre-construction Meeting is included in the Phase II engineering services. Three (3) shop drawing reviews are included in the Phase II engineering services. (RFI's) and construction meetings will be included in the Phase III Engineering Services.
- 3. No impact to the floodplain is anticipated for this project. Calculations for compensatory storage and related permitting is not anticipated or included in this Scope of Services.
- 4. A hydraulic report is not anticipated for this project. However, the results obtained from Item D. will be tabulated and submitted to the County for review.
- 5. A Bridge Condition Report (BCR) is not anticipated or included in this Scope of Services.
- 6. Type, Size, and Location Sheet (TSL) is not anticipated.
- 7. Topographic survey and cross sections assumptions:
  - a. 8235' of project length
  - b. Assume 5 days of survey (2 people)
- 8. Televising existing storm sewer @ \$2.50/ft for 5,000 ft of storm sewer. (subconsultant work)
- 9. Geotechnical Investigation:
  - a. No structural borings
  - b. Lake Avenue from II. Rtc. 173 to Wilmot Road: 300' spacing for pavement cores, no borings.
  - c. Lake Avenue from Wilmot Road to Wisc. State Line: 300' spacing for pavement cores and borings
- 10. Proposed bridge improvements include superstructure replacement and approach slabs construction. The bridge substructure will be maintained to carry the proposed superstructure system with minor modifications to the abutment seat. No substructure widening or strengthening is anticipated or included in this Scope of Services.
- 11. The existing drainage system along Lake Avenue from IL. Rte 173 to Wilmot Road is assumed to be in compliance with LCDOT design criteria.
- 12. No detention is anticipated for this project.

- 13. The only right-of-way acquisition that is anticipated is for two (2) parcels near the reverse curve at the state line.
- 14. It is anticipated a detour route will include II. Rte 173. Therefore, coordination is assumed with IDOT but no separate meeting to discuss the detour plan is anticipated.
- 15. Assume the Notice to Proceed is June 1, 2009.
- 16. Assume Project Letting is May, 2010.