

Firm										
	Evaluator Name	Evaluator #1	Evaluator #2	Evaluator #3	Evaluator #4	Evaluator #5	Evaluator #6	Evaluator #7	Evaluator #8	TOTAL
1	<b>Baxter &amp; Woodman</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>8</b>
2	<b>Firm #1</b>	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	<b>18</b>
8	<b>Firm #2</b>	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	<b>22</b>

Evaluation Criteria		
A	Proven experience in design and successful implementation of similar projects.	15
B	The strength of value engineering approach, and the proposed engineering approach.	10
C	The evaluation of examples of outcome-based accomplishments that have measurable success factors to demonstrate a firm's qualifications and expertise including the testimonials of owners or owner's agents.	10
D	The depth of talent and staffing experienced in the execution of similar projects.	10
E	The ability of the proposer to work with other consultants to maximize a design solution while maintaining budgetary discipline.	10
F	Design quality control and the ability to understand and translate the client's design goals.	15
G	Quality and time availability of key project team members.	5
H	A proven consistent engineer team leader that listens to the client and appropriately manages and directs the team's efforts.	20
I	Experience and capability presenting to policymakers, stakeholders and the public.	5