Municipali	ty	L 0 C	Illinois Department of Transportation	CO	Name Crawford, Murphy and Tilly, Inc.
Township		A L A	Preliminary Engineering Services Agreement	N S U L	Address 550 N. Commons Drive, Suite 116
County Lake Co	unty	GENC	For Motor Fuel Tax Funds	T A N T	City Aurora
Section 08-0006	5-02-RS	Ÿ	·	•	State IL
Agency (improver supervisi	ment of the above SECTION. I ion of the State Department of	ER) a Moto Tran	to this day of and covers certain professional engineer or Fuel Tax Funds, allotted to the LA by the sportation, hereinafter called the "DEPA" ribed under AGREEMENT PROVISIONS	ne S RTN	State of Illinois under the general
			Section Description		
Name _	Cedar Lake Road				
Route _	Length .53	3	Mi. <u>+/- 2800</u> FT	1	(Structure No)
Termini	Nippersink Road to Hart Roa	ad			
Description Phase I Finance to v	Project to study the existing two	-lan as v	e section of Cedar Lake Road for safety whether or not a complete reconstruction	and	capacity. A determination will be equired.
			Agreement Provisions		
1. To pe	ineer Agrees, erform or be responsible for the osed improvements herein befo	per ore d	formance of the following engineering se escribed, and checked below:	rvic	es for the LA, in connection with the
а. 🗀	Make such detailed surveys	as a	re necessary for the preparation of detail	led ı	roadway plans
b. 🗌	Make stream and flood plain of detailed bridge plans.	hydi	aulic surveys and gather high water data	a, ar	nd flood histories for the preparation
с. 🗌	analyses thereof as may be r	equi	soil surveys or subsurface investigations red to furnish sufficient data for the desig de in accordance with the current require	gn o	f the proposed improvement.
d. 🗌	Make or cause to be made so furnish sufficient data for the	uch t desi	raffic studies and counts and special inte gn of the proposed improvement.	erse	ction studies as may be required to
e. 🗌	Prepare Army Corps of Engir Bridge waterway sketch, and agreements.	eers or C	Permit, Department of Natural Resourc hannel Change sketch, Utility plan and I	es-(oca	Office of Water Resources Permit, tions, and Railroad Crossing work
f. 🔲	Prepare Preliminary Bridge d and high water effects on roa	esigi dwa	n and Hydraulic Report, (including econd y overflows and bridge approaches.	mic	analysis of bridge or culvert types)
g. 🗌	with five (5) copies of the plar	1S, S	ed plans, special provisions, proposals a pecial provisions, proposals and estimat rnished to the LA by the ENGINEER at I	es.	Additional copies of any or all
h. 🛚	Furnish the LA with survey ar construction easement and b and staking as required.	id dr orro	afts in duplicate quadruplicate of all ne w pit and channel change agreements in	ces	sary right-of-way dedications, ling prints of the corresponding plats

Note: Four copies to be submitted to the Regional Engineer



	i. Assist the LA in the tabulation and interpretati	ion of the contractors' proposals	
	j. Prepare the necessary environmental documental	ents in accordance with the precedures adopted	l by the
	/ "Time Ti a buleau oi Local Roads & Si	treets.	
	k. Prepare the Project Development Feasibility	y Report as when required by the LA DEPART	AENT.
	I. $igsim$ Additional services as included and/or	defined in the attached Scope of Service	es (Exhibit "A").
(2)	That all reports, plans, plats and special provisions to be in accordance with current standard specifications all such reports, plats, plans and drafts shall, before because the between the between the best of	be furnished by the ENGINEER pursuant to the	AGREEMENT, wi
(3)	To attend conferences at any reasonable time when r	requested to do so by representatives of the LA	or the Department
	In the event plans or surveys are found to be in error survey corrections are necessary, the ENGINEER agrithough final payment has been received by him. He sminimum delay to the Contractor.	during construction of the SECTION and revisio	ns of the plans or
(5)	That basic survey notes and sketches, charts, comput pursuant to this AGREEMENT will be made available, without restriction or limitations as to their use.	tations and other data prepared or obtained by t upon request, to the LA or the DEPARTMENT	he Engineer without cost and
(6)	That all plans and other documents furnished by the E and will show his professional seal where such is requ	ENGINEER pursuant to this AGREEMENT will builed by law.	e endorsed by him
The	LA Agrees,		
1.	To pay the ENGINEER as compensation for all service	es performed as stipulated in paragraphs 1a, 1a	1: 2 2 5 1 2:-
	The second of the senewing methods mulcate	ed by a check mark:	; H, 4, 3, 5 and 6 in
	a. A sum of money equal to perc	sent of the awarded contract cost of the propose	d improvement as
	approved by the DEPARTMENT.		
	b. A sum of money equal to the percent of the awa the DEPARTMENT based on the following sche	arded contract cost for the proposed improveme edule:	ent as approved by
	Schedule for Percentages	Based on Awarded Contract Cost	
	Awarded Cost	Percentage Fees	
	Under \$50,000		(see note)
			%
	•		%
			%
			%
			%
	Note: Not necessarily a percentage	Could use per diem, cost-plus or lump sum.	
2 1			
r	o pay for services rendered in accordance with this AG erforming such work plus 140 percent to cover pr	GREEMENT at actual cost of	
		rofit, overhead and readiness to serve - "actual	cost" being defined
e	s material cost plus payrolls, insurance, social security	and retirement deductions. Traveling and other	r out-of-pocket
S	xpenses will be reimbursed to the ENGINEER at his acublet all or part of the services provided under the para	ctual cost. Subject to the approval of the LA, th	e ENGINEER may
	ublet all or part of the services provided under the para r part of this work, the LA will pay the cost to the ENGI	NEER plus a five (5) percent service charge.	
"(Cost to Engineer" to be verified by furnishing the LA and	d the DEDARTMENT and a street of the	o partu daine the
	nould normally be performed by lesser-salaried personionmensurate with the work performed.	nel, the wage rate billed for such services shall	er vices mar
	ammanariests with the contract of the contract	G Switch out the control of the	~~

- 3. That payments due the ENGINEER for services rendered in accordance with this AGREEMENT will be made as soon as practicable after the services have been performed in accordance with the following schedule:
 - a. Upon completion of detailed plans, special provisions, proposals and estimate of cost being the work required by paragraphs 1a through 1g under THE ENGINEER AGREES - to the satisfaction of the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, 90 percent of the total fee due under this AGREEMENT based on the approved estimate of cost.
 - b. Upon award of the contract for the improvement by the LA and its approval by the DEPARTMENT, 100 percent of the total fee due under the AGREEMENT based on the awarded contract cost, less any amounts paid under "a" above.

By Mutual agreement, partial payments, not to exceed 90 percent of the amount earned, may be made from time to time as the work progresses.

- 4. That, should the improvement be abandoned at any time after the ENGINEER has performed any part of the services provided for in paragraphs 1a, through 1h and prior to the completion of such services, the LA shall reimburse the ENGINEER for his actual costs plus 140 percent incurred up to the time he is notified in writing of such abandonment -"actual cost" being defined as in paragraph 2 of THE LA AGREES.

It is Mutually Agreed,

- That any difference between the ENGINEER and the LA concerning their interpretation of the provisions of this
 Agreement shall be referred to a committee of disinterested parties consisting of one member appointed by the
 ENGINEER, one member appointed by the LA and a third member appointed by the two other members for disposition
 and that the committee's decision shall be final.
- 2. This AGREEMENT may be terminated by the LA upon giving notice in writing to the ENGINEER at his last known post office address. Upon such termination, the ENGINEER shall cause to be delivered to the LA all surveys, permits, agreements, preliminary bridge design & hydraulic report, drawings, specifications, partial and completed estimates and data, if any from traffic studies and soil survey and subsurface investigations with the understanding that all such material becomes the property of the LA. The ENGINEER shall be paid for any services completed and any services partially completed in accordance with Section 4 of THE LA AGREES.
- 3. That if the contract for construction has not been awarded one year after the acceptance of the plans by the LA and their approval by the DEPARTMENT, the LA will pay the ENGINEER the balance of the engineering fee due to make 100 percent of the total fees due under this AGREEMENT, based on the estimate of cost as prepared by the ENGINEER and approved by the LA and the DEPARTMENT.
- 4. That the ENGINEER warrants that he/she has not employed or retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, to solicit or secure this contract, and that he/she has not paid or agreed to pay any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the ENGINEER, any fee, commission, percentage, brokerage fee, gifts or any other consideration, contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this contract. For Breach or violation of this warranty the LA shall have the right to annul this contract without liability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caus which shall be considered as an original by their	ed the AGR duly author	EEMENT to be executed in quadruplicate counterparts, each of ized officers.
Executed by the LA:		
ATTEST:	·	County of Lake of the (Municipality/Township/County) State of Illinois, acting by and through its County Board
Lake County	Clerk	Ву
(Seal)		Title Board Chairman
		RECOMMENDED FOR EXECUTION
		Martin G. Buehler, P.E. Director of Transportation/County Engineer Lake County
		Crawford, Murphy and Tilly, Inc.
		550 North Commons Drive, Suite 116
ATTEST:		Aurora, IL 60504
Ву		Ву
Title		Title

NOTE: Three (3) Original Executed Contracts - (2) LCDOT, (1) Consultant

EXHIBIT "A"

CMT Phase I Scope

EXHIBIT "A"

Lake County Division of Transportation Project Scope Description to Provide Feasibility Study Services for Cedar Lake Road Relocation – Nippersink Road to Hart Road

General Project Information

- 1. This project is anticipated to begin in April 2012 and be completed by March 2013.
- 2. Roadway limits: Nippersink Road to Hart Road along with multiple side street relocations and reconfiguration.
 - a. Scope assumes that the south limits of the project will match into existing Cedar Lake Road at Nippersink Road.
 - b. Scope assumes that our north limits will match into existing Cedar Lake Road south of Hart Road.
 - c. Scope includes the closure of existing Cedar Lake Road at existing railroad crossing.
- 3. The Feasibility Study Scope of Services generally include: Alignment Alternative Analysis, Geometric Analysis, Traffic Analysis (Safety and Capacity), Non-Motorized Travel Analysis, Environmental Screening and Coordination with Multiple Agencies.
- 4. Plat of Highways is included in scope of work.
- 5. Detailed surveys are not included in scope of work.
- 6. Detailed Environmental Studies are not included in scope.
- 7. Geotechnical soil investigations are not included in scope.
- 8. Location Drainage Study is not included in scope.
- 9. Hydraulic Report is not included in scope.
- 10. Public involvement is not included in scope of services

Feasibility Study - Detailed Scope of Services

A. Data Collection

- 1. Obtain, Review, and Inventory the following:
 - a. Existing utility information (electric, natural gas, gas pipelines, transmission lines, telecommunication, cable TV, water, sewer).
 - b. Available roadway plans / record drawings (to be obtained from Lake County and Village of Round Lake)

- c. Establish survey datum and ground control
- d. Obtain/Review 2 years of recent/available accident data (to be provided by the Lake County Sheriff's Department and LCDOT/TARS)
- e. Existing ADT traffic data provided by LCDOT, CMAP Traffic projections will be obtained by CMT
- f. Property ownership / Tax Maps
- g. Soil Conservation Service Maps
- h. U.S.G.S. Maps
- i. NWI maps
- j. Current development plans
- k. Obtain School Bus Route Information
- l. Railroad/Metra crossing information (as-built, number of trains per day, etc.)
- 2. Project Site Visit by Project Manager and Project Engineer
- B. Field Surveys: Detailed surveys are not included in scope of services. CMT will use Lake County GIS aerial mapping for developing conceptual alignments.
 - 1. GIS file conversion into Microstation
 - 2. Creation of DTM model from GIS information
- C. Alternative Alignment Analysis
 - 1. Develop an Opportunity and Constraints Map based on the following criteria:
 - a. Topography, streams/physical features
 - b. Environmental constraints
 - c. Economic development potential/special traffic generators
 - d. Service to land use scenarios
 - e. Use of existing roads/R.O.W.
 - f. Impact on existing and future development
 - g. Traffic control/staging at tie-in points
 - h. Cost
 - 2. Develop Alignment Alternatives: This task will include the development of two (2) horizontal alignment alternatives (Exhibit C and Exhibit D that were provided by LCDOT) for Cedar Lake Road between Nippersink Road and Hart Road. The CMT Team will work with Lake County, the Village of Round Lake, Metra and IDOT to establish a recommended alignment that will be conducive to the area.
- D. Traffic Analysis
 - 1. Peak hour traffic counts at the following intersections (6:30 AM 9:30 AM and 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM)
 - a. Cedar Lake Road at Nippersink Road (2) locations

- b. West alley at Nippersink Road
- c. West alley at Avilon Road
- d. West alley at IL 134
- e. Goodnow Road at Nippersink Road
- f. Goodnow Road at Avilon Road
- g. Goodnow Road at IL 134
- h. East alley at Nippersink Road
- i. East alley at Avilon Road
- j. East alley at IL 134
- k. Cedar Lake Road at Avilon Road
- 1. Cedar Lake Road at IL 134
- m. Cedar Lake Road at Lake Wood Terrace
- n. Cedar Lake Road at Hart Road
- 2. Tabulation of traffic data collected during peak hour counts
- 3. Traffic Projections and Assignment to the Roadway Network for the two Alignment Alternatives
- 4. Crash Analysis for Existing and Proposed Roadway Networks (including intersections and segments)
 - a. Existing Crash Map
 - b. Existing Collision Diagrams
 - c. Existing Crash Frequency for Existing Network
 - d. Expected Crash Frequency for Existing and Proposed Roadway Network
 - e. Summary Table of Expected Crashes for both alternatives/scenarios
- 5. Capacity Analysis for Existing and Proposed Roadway Networks (including intersections and segments)
 - a. Intersection and Segment Level of Service
 - b. Evaluation of various intersection controls (signal vs. roundabout)
 - c. Recommendations for number of thru lanes on each segments and the lane configuration at each intersection.
 - d. Summary Table to evaluate all alternatives
- 6. Coordination with CMAP
- E. Preliminary Intersection Design Studies
 - 1. Realigned Cedar Lake Road at Hart Road (incorporate study provided by Village of Round Lake)
 - 2. Realigned Cedar Lake Road at Lakewood Terrace
 - 3. Realigned Cedar Lake Road at Illinois Route 134
 - 4. Realigned Cedar Lake Road at Avilon Avenue

- 5. Realigned Cedar Lake Road at Nippersink Road
- 6. Existing Cedar Lake Road at Illinois Route 134 (convert to "T" intersection)

Perform the following tasks:

- Prepare IDS base sheets
- Perform Optimum Phasing Analysis
- Perform Capacity Analysis (Highway Capacity Software)
- Determine effective intersection geometry (standard vs. round-a-bout)
- Determine storage length for required turn lanes
- Develop preliminary traffic signal layout plan (if required)
- Develop preliminary pavement marking plans
- Prepare and submit Modified IDS drawings for submittal
- Address County/Village review comments (IDOT where applicable)

F. Conceptual Roadway Design

- 1. Establish project design criteria and standards
- 2. Perform roadway capacity to determine roadway geometrics (Tech Memo)
- 3. Review accident reports and conduct safety analysis to establish roadway geometrics (Tech Memo)
- 4. Develop and finalize roadway typical sections.
- 5. Determine geometric and location requirements/need for bike path/pedestrian facilities (Tech Memo)
- 6. Establish preferred preliminary horizontal and vertical alignment
- 7. Develop conceptual Maintenance of Traffic/Construction Staging Plan for preferred alignment.

G. Preliminary Design Studies

- 1. Refine horizontal and vertical geometry based on concept review comments
- 2. Prepare preliminary opinion of construction costs
- H. Environmental Studies: Environmental Screening will be the only environmental work completed as part of the Feasibility Study. Screening results will be incorporated into opportunities and constraints map. Detailed environmental studies will be completed during Phase I.

Environmental Screening Services Scope is submitted as <u>Attachment "A"</u> and will be performed by <u>Huff & Huff, Inc.</u>

- 1. Subconsultant coordination and meetings (assume 1 meeting)
- 2. Review environmental screening results.

I. Draft Feasibility Report

- 1. Prepare Report Outline
- 2. List environmental and engineering commitments
- 3. Develop text portion of report
- 4. Assemble exhibits, text and submit report

J. Final Feasibility Report

- 1. Incorporate review comments from Draft Report
- 2. Revisions to text and exhibits
- 3. Update preliminary opinion of construction costs
- 4. Address final report review comments (if necessary)

K. Right of Way Services

- 1. Establish existing ROW conditions
 - a. Research and review existing plats (Approx 40 parcels)
 - b. Search and locate existing property/section monumentation
 - c. Download monumentation (fieldwork), calculate section, subdivision, highway, railroad and R.O.W. lines
 - d. Calculate and complete property parcel mapping
- 2. Right-of-Way Impact Analysis
 - a. Identify Right-of-way impacts based on proposed improvements.
 - b. Identify property ownership, parcel number and information
- 3. Plat of Highways
 - a. Preparing Plat of Highways (Scope/manhours assumes 40 parcels)
 - b. Preparation of legal descriptions (Assumes 40 Parcels)

L. Meetings and Coordination (2 people per meeting @ 3 hours per meeting)

- 1. Kick-off meeting with LCDOT (1 Meeting)
- 2. Draft Report review meeting with LCDOT (1 Meeting)
- 3. Progress meetings LCDOT (assume 3 Meetings)
- 4. Meet with IDOT Bureau of Programming (assume 2 meetings)
- 5. Meet with Village of Round Lake (assume 5 Meetings)
- 6. Meet with METRA regarding rail crossing (assume 2 meetings)
- 7. Preparation time prior to meetings (Total of 14 meetings)
- 8. Prepare Meeting Minutes (Total of 14 meetings)
- Coordination with IDOT Bureau of Programming, LCDOT, Village of Round Lake and METRA.

M. Project Administration

- 1. Project Setup
 - a. Project Manual for team members
 - b. File Management (electronic and design binders)
 - c. Accounting and Billings
 - d. Project close-out
- 2. Project Management
 - a. Scope of Work reviews
 - b. Create and maintain progress schedule
 - c. Budget control
 - d. Resource planning
 - e. Project team meetings
 - f. Prepare progress reports
- 3. Quality Assurance
 - a. Prepare and maintain Quality Assurance Plan
 - b. Quality Assurance Reviews
 - c. Constructability Reviews

EXHIBIT "B"

CMT Cost Estimate of Consultant Services (CECS)

"Exhibit B"

*Firm's approved rates on file with DOT'S Bureau of Accounting and Auditing:

157.80% 0.00

Overhead Rate (OH) Complexity Factor '® Calendar Days 580

Route: Cedar Lake Road Relocation - Feasibility Project

Local Agency: Lake County

Method of Compensation:

Cost Plus Fixed Fee 1

Cost Plus Fixed Fee 2

Cost Plus Fixed Fee 3

| X | 14.5%|DL + R(DL) + OH(DL) + IHDC| | 14.5%|DL + R(DL) + 1.4(DL) + IHDC| | 14.5%|(2.3 + R)DL + IHDC] Cost Estimate of Consultant's Services in Dollars

L			SOO	cost Estimate of Consultant's Services in Dollars	Jitant's Service	s in Dollars				
	Element of Work	Man-Hours	Payroll Rate	Payroll Costs	Overhead*	Services by	In-House Direct	Profit	Total	i
				- 2	New York Control of the State o	orners (+5%)	Costs (IHDC)	1101	יסומו	% of Grand Lotal
<u>1</u>	A C 4-0			reasib	Feasibility Tasks					
`	_	64.0	\$32.16	\$2,057.98	\$3,247.49	\$0.00	\$204.00	\$798.87	\$6.308.35	4 040/
	B Field Surveys	46.0	\$36.73	\$1,689.36	\$2,665.81	\$0.00	00.08	\$634 60	000000	1.01%
9	C Alternative Alignment Analysis	160.0	\$34.24	\$5.477.88	\$8 644 09	00 0\$	9000	00.100	94,800.07	1.43%
נ	D Traffic Analysis	280.0	\$29.85	\$0 2E0 42	4 0 0 0	0 0	00.04	\$2,047.69	\$16,169.66	4.64%
1 -	:		00:00	40,538.43	\$13,189.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,124.46	\$24,672.50	7.09%
	Freiminary Intersection Design Studies	744.0	\$33.36	\$24,821.38	\$39,168.14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9.278.48	\$73.268.00	21 05%
<u>" </u>	F Conceptual Roadway Design	154.0	\$34.23	\$5,270.72	\$8,317.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1 970 25	\$15 55 16	V V V V
ن	G Preliminary Design Studies	48.0	\$36.17	\$1,736.09	\$2,739.55	\$0.00	00.08	\$648.07	90,000	4.47.70
Τ.	H Environmental Studies	12.0	\$35.71	\$428.51	\$676.19	47 603 73	0000	0.00	60,124,01	1.47%
_	Draft Feasibility Report	1320	408.04	1000		2	00.00	\$1.00.18	\$8,958.61	2.57%
Ĺ		102.0	0.000	\$4,753.37	\$7,500.82	\$0.00	\$150.00	\$1,798.61	\$14,202.80	4.08%
<u>'</u>	Final Feasibility Report	80.0	\$34.20	\$2,736.27	\$4,317.83	\$0.00	\$150.00	\$1.044.60	\$8 248 70	2 37%
ㅗ	K Right of Way Services	1055.0	\$33.88	\$35,745.55	\$56,406.48	\$0.00	\$16,660.00	\$15 777 74	\$124 589 77	36 700/
	L Meetings and Coordination	220.0	\$39.23	\$8,629.85	\$13,617.90	\$0.00	\$717.20	\$3 329 92	\$26.200.87	7 650/
2	M Project Administration	170.0	\$39.39	\$6,696.05	\$10,566.37	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,503.05	\$19.765.47	5.68%
Ĕ	Totals	3165.0	\$34.25	\$108,401.44	\$171,057.47	\$7,693.73	\$17,881.20	\$43,114.32	\$348,148.16	100.00%

Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

Summary of Man Hours

	Item			CMT Total
	Feasibility Task	S		Hours
A	Data Collection			
В	Field Surveys			64.0
C	Alternative Alignment Analysis			46.0
D	Traffic Analysis			160.0
E	Preliminary Intersection Design Studies			280.0
F	Conceptual Roadway Design			744.0
G	Preliminary Design Studies			154.0
Н	Environmental Studies			48.0
Ī	Draft Feasibility Report			12.0
J	Final Feasibility Report			132.0
K	Right of Way Services			80.0
L	Meetings and Coordination			1,055.0
M	Project Administration			220.0
		Total Project Hours:		170.0
		rotal Project nours:		3,165.0
A	Data Collection		<u>Hours</u>	
A-1	Data Collection		50	
A-2	Project Site Visit		50 14	
	Sub - total			64
В	Field Surveys	•		
B-1	GIS File Converstion		40	
B-2	Create DTM Model		16 30	
	Sub - total		••	46
С	Alternative Alignment Analysis			40
C-1	Develop Opportunity/Constraints Map			
C-2	Develop Alignment Alternatives		60	
02	Bovolop Augustem Allematives		100	
	Sub - total			
D	Traffic Analysis			160
D-1	Traffic Counts			
D-1 D-2			144	
D-2 D-3	Tabulation of Traffic Count Data		12	
D-3 D-4	Traffic Projections		40	
	Crash Analysis		40	
D-5	Capacity Analysis		40	
D-6	CMAP Coordination		4	
	Sub - total		•	
		•		280

Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

E	Preliminary Intersection Design Studies		
E-1	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road/Hart Road (Incorporate Round Lake Study)	24	
E-2	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road/Lakewood Terrace	24	
E-3	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road/Illinois Rt 134	120	
E-4	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road/Avilon Avenue	180	
E-5	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road/Nippersink	120	
E-6	Prepare IDS for Cedar Lake Road(existing)/IL 134 (convert to "T' Intersection)	120	
	The read (Submitgy to 1 (Convert to 1 intersection)	180	
	Sub - total		744
F	Conceptual Roadway Design		
F-1	Establish Oesign Criteria		
F-2	Perform Roadway Capacity	8	
F-3	Review Accident Reports and conduct safety analysis	10	
F-4	Develop Typical Sections	12	
F-5	Determine Bicycle/Pedestrian Facility Requirements	20	
F-6	Establish Preliminary Horizontal and Vertical Alignment	12	
F-7	Develop Concept MOT/Staging for Preferred Alignment	60	
	- 11 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15	32	
	Sub - total		154
G	Preliminary Design Studies		
G-1	Refine Horizontal and Vertical Alignments per Review Comments	24	
G-2	Preliminary Opinion of Construction Costs	24 24	
	Sub - total	2.	48
н	Environmental Studies		40
H-1	Coordination and Meetings with Subconsultant	6	•
H-2	Review Environmental Reports and Permits	6	
		Ü	
	Sub - total		12
I	Draft Feasibility Report		
J-1	Prepare Report Outline		
I-2	List of Environmental and Province and Constitution of the Constit	24	
l-3	List of Environmental and Engineering Commitments Develop Text Portion of Report	4	
, o I-4	Assemble Exhibits and Text	60	
	A COUNTRIE CANDIDA BAILO TEXT	44	
	Sub - total		132
J	Final Feasibility Report		
i- 1	Incorporate Review Comments from Draft Report		
J-2	Revisions to Text and Exhibits	24	
-3	Update Preliminary Opinion of Construction Costs	16	
I - 4	Address Final Report Review Comments	20	
	, zamieno	20	
	Sub - total		80
K	Right of Way Services		
-1	Existing ROW Conditions		
-2	Right-of-Way Impact Analysis	400	
-3	Plat of Highways	30	
		625	
	Sub - total		1055

Man Hour Estimate for Consulting Services (Total Project)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

L	Meetings and Coordination		
L-1	Kick-off meeting w/ Lake County (1 Meeting)		
L-2	Draft Report Review Meeting (1 Meeting)	6	
L-3	Progress Meetings (Assume 3)	6	
L-4	Meeting w/ IDOT Bureau of Programming (2 Meetings)	18	
L-5	Meeting w/ Village of Round Lake (5 Meetings)	12	
L-6	Meeting w/ METRA regarding rail crossing (2 meetings)	30	
L-7	Preparation Time for Meetings (14 Meetings)	12	
L-8	Prepare Meeting Minutes (Total of 14 Meetings)	28	
L-9	Coordination w/ IDOT Programming, LCDOT, Round Lake and METRA	28	
	3) - 3 3 1) Notified Edite and METRA	80	
	Sub - total		
			220
М	Project Administration		
M-1	Project Setup		
M-2	Project Management	30	
M-3	Quality Assurance	60	
		80	
	Sub - total		
			170

PREPARED BY THE AGREEMENTS UNIT

Printed 2/16/2012 10:24 AM

AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

FIRM PSB PRIME/SUPPLEMENT

Crawford, Murphy & Tilly, Inc. N/A

SHEET

DATE 02/14/12

Part	₹		TOTAL PROJECT RATES			Data Collection	ection	ľ	Fleid Surveys	,				, -		-	ь Г	~ 	
Avg Part Avg Part Avg Hours % Wight Hours % 1.27 1.20 1.21 Avg Part Avg Hours % Wight Hours % 1.27 1.20 1.21 Avg 1.21 Avg 1.21 Avg Hours % Might Hours % 5.28 6 8.38% 1.21 1.6 21.74% 8.10 26.00% 10.34 10.34% 10.34% 10.34% 10.32% 10.34% 10.32% 10.34% 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.34% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.32% 10.4 10.4 <td< th=""><th>HOURLY Hours</th><th>Hours</th><th></th><th>%</th><th>Wgtd</th><th>Hours</th><th>%</th><th>+-</th><th>Hours</th><th></th><th>_</th><th>ative Alignn</th><th>nent Analysis</th><th></th><th>alysis</th><th></th><th>Prefimin</th><th>ary Intersec</th><th>tion Design Stu</th></td<>	HOURLY Hours	Hours		%	Wgtd	Hours	%	+-	Hours		_	ative Alignn	nent Analysis		alysis		Prefimin	ary Intersec	tion Design Stu
1.000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000				Part	Avg		Part.	_					water .	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours		Wgtd
127 6 9.33% 3.39 10 2174% 9.10 40 25.00% 10.47 20 7.14% 2.99 100 13.44% 9.03 4.2 66.63% 21.41 20 43.48% 11.35 80 25.00% 10.47 20 7.14% 2.99 100 13.44% 1.38		0		0.00%	0.00					╀	2	4	B _A		Part	Avg		Part.	Avg
5.98 6 9.38% 3.98 10 21.74% 8.10 40 25.00% 10.47 20 7.14% 2.90 10.04 40.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.32% 10.44 10.44 10.32% 10.44 10.44	-	76		2.40%	1.27				-		<u> </u>	+		\downarrow					
9.03 4/2 65.63% 21,41 16 34.78% 11.35 80 50.00% 16.31 80 28.57% 9.32 310 13.44% 14.35 8.0 50.00% 16.31 80 28.57% 9.32 310 40.328% 14.3 6.0 10.00 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 44.3 40.0 45.2 40.0 44.3 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 44.3 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 45.2 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40	32.62 452	452		14.28%	5.98	G)	9.38%	3.93	-	╀-	-	\dagger	\perp	ķ	7 4 407				
% 4.36 % 4.39 16 25.00% 6.82 30 18.75% 5.12 180 64.29% 17.54 200 76.89% % 4.74 1 <td></td> <td>0/0</td> <td></td> <td>27.68%</td> <td>9.03</td> <td>42</td> <td>65.63%</td> <td>21.41</td> <td>-</td> <td>⊢-</td> <td>L</td> <td>T</td> <td>丄</td> <td>3 8</td> <td>20 576</td> <td>2.38</td> <td>8</td> <td>13.44%</td> <td>5.63</td>		0/0		27.68%	9.03	42	65.63%	21.41	-	⊢-	L	T	丄	3 8	20 576	2.38	8	13.44%	5.63
6 0.00		2 1		13.24%	4.96				-	⊢	L	T	L	3	97.10.02	9.32	300	40.32%	13.15
6 4.74	1	514		16.24%	4.43	16	25.00%	6.82	1	+	-	十	1				144	19.35%	7.24
6 474		0		%00.0	0.00				-	H	3	†	\perp	982	64.29%	17.54	200	26.88%	7.33
2.36		400		12.64%	4.74					-	-	+							
\$\frac{0.00}{0.00}\$ \$0.		230		7.27%	2.36			†	1	+	1	-							
0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10	180	180		5 69%	1 38					+	1						L		
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 1100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 24 280 12000	18.50 0	0		0.00%	00.0			+	-	-	4								
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 24 250 100%		18		200	0.00			1											
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%		2	ľ	0.57%	0.10									ľ					
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%									_	_									
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%						-	_				-	-							
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%								 			<u> </u>	\downarrow							
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%								\dagger		+	1								
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%								1		1	+								
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%											_								
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%			Ī																
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 160% \$34.24 280 1000.																		1	
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24																		1	
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%														+				1	
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%																			
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%				-							_	-							
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%						ľ			t	 	+	1		1					
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%							T		1	1	+	\downarrow							
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 280 100%						† 		+	1	+	+								
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 28 280 100%			T			Ť	1	\dagger	1	+								T	Ī
\$34.25 64 100.00% \$32.16 46 100% \$36.73 160 100% \$34.24 24 280 100%						1		+											
	3165	3165		100%	\$34.25			\$32,16				100%	\$34.24	oac.	1000,	000			

PREPARED BY THE AGREEMENTS UNIT

AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

Crawford, Murphy & Tilly, Inc. N/A FIRM PSB PRIME/SUPPLEMENT

Р SHEET

02/14/12

DATE

	ב כ	Conceptual	Conceptual Roadway Design		Des firming														
	>	Hours	0/	1000	Lenung	reuminary Design Studies		Environme	Environmental Studies		Draft Feas	Draft Feasibility Report		Final Feasi	Final Feasibility Renort		Diana agus		
CLASSIFICATION		0	Part	Ava hours	Hours	% t		Hours	% !	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	Hours %	Wate
Principal	71.94			2			500		ran.	Avg		Part.	Avg		Part.	Avg		Part.	Ava
Senior Project Engineer	53.10	9	3.90%	2.07				1											
Project Engineer	41.88	24	15.58%	6.53	α	16.670/	8	†			9	7.58%	4.02						
Senior Engineer	32.62	09	38.96%	12.71	, 5	41.679	92.5	4	33.33%	13.96	9	30.30%	12.69	20	25.00%	10.47	20	1.90%	0 70
Senior Technical Manager	37.43	24	15.58%	5 83	3 8	41.07.70	3.58	»	66.67%	21.75	09	45.45%	14.83	30	37.50%	12.23	30	2 84%	200
Engineer	27.28	04	25.97%	200,7		41.07%	13.6U	+			9	4.55%	1.70	10	12.50%	4.68	185	17 54%	0.33
Planner	25.53			201			1				80	6.06%	1.65	20	25.00%	6.82			00.00
Registered Land Surveyor	37.48				-			+											
Senior Technician	32.50							+									400	37.91%	14 21
Technician	24.19				+	1	†	1						-			230	21 80%	7 7
Technical Assistant	18.50						†	+									180	17.06%	4 13
Clerical	18.37				+							i							2
					+			1			8	6.06%	1.11	<u> </u>			10	0.050	į
		1			+			+										0/22/2	
		+		<u> </u>	+			1											
		+		_	+				-										
		1											T	-					
							-						1	+	+		1		
											1			1	1				
								+			\dagger		1	+					
								\dagger	+		+			+					
										1		1	1	-					
								+	1	1	1		1						
		-		1	+	1	1	\dagger											
		+			+	+	1	+											
		+		†	+			1											
		+		1	+		1	1							-				
		+			+			-					,	-					
		1			1														
TOTALS		154	100%	\$34.23	48	100%	\$36.17	12	100%	\$35.71	132	100%	836.04	<u> </u>	è	200	;		
,												1	1222	3	0.001	⊅34.∠ 0	ccol	300c	\$33.88

PREPARED BY THE AGREEMENTS UNIT

Printed 2/16/2012 10:25 AM

AVERAGE HOURLY PROJECT RATES

	DATE	SHEET
rawford, Murphy & Tilly, Inc.		
Crawford,	A/N	
FIRM	PSIME/SUPPLEMENT	

က

Р

02/14/12

PAYROLI	5/\V	1.0														
1	2	sbunaam	Weetings and Coordination	ation	Project /	Project Administration	<u>د</u>							L		
	HOURLY	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Watd	Hours	%	Wate	Hours	70	Marke	110		
CLASSIFICATION	RATES		Part.	Avg		Part.	Ava		Рад		0 000	° 1	Digw.	Hours		Wgtd
Principal	71.94									2		ומני	Avg		Part.	Avg
Senior Project Engineer	53.10	40	18.18%	9.65	20	11.76%	6.25									
Project Engineer	41.88	80	36.36%	15.23	80	47.06%	19.74									
Senior Engineer	32.62	80	36.36%	11.86	02	41 18%	13.73									
Senior Technical Manager	37.43					20	2									
Engineer	27.28	20	9.09%	2.48												
Planner	25.53															
Registered Land Surveyor	37.48															
Senior Technician	32.50															
Technician	24.19		,													
Technical Assistant	18.50				1											
Clerical	18.37															
											•••					
					1											
					7											
											1					
		 -			1											
		+														[
		1														
						-					+					
											1					
						-					1					
											1					
TOTALS		220	100%	\$39.23	170	100%	\$39.39	0	%0	\$0.00	0	%0	\$0 O\$	_	%	0

Cedar Lake Road Relocation - Feasibility Project Lake County Division of Transportation

Development of Project Hourly Rates (IDOT Method)

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

		2013	2014	2015	2016	2047
ltem	2012 Actual Rate	Projected @ 3.0%	Projected @ 3.0%	<u>م</u>	Projected @ 3.0%	Projected @ 3.0%
Average Hourly Rate as a Percent of 2012 Rate	100.0%	103.0%	106.1%	109.3%	increase 112.6%	Increase 115.9%
Estimated Months of Contract in Given Year	თ	က	0	0	0	0
% of Project Duration	75.00%	25.00%	%00.0	%00:0	0.00%	0.00%
Extension	0.750	0.258	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Weighted Project Hourly Rate Multiplier	Note: Sala	Salary Adjustments are Given on January 1 of Each Year	s are Given on	January 1 of E	ach Year	1.0075

Project Duration: April 2012 to March 2013 =

12 months

Computation of Prorated Project Hourly Rates

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

Classification	Actual 2012 Average Hourly Rate	Weighted Hourly Rate Multiplier	Project Hourly Rates *
Principal	\$71.40	1.0075	\$71.94
Senior Project Engineer	\$52.70	1.0075	\$53.10
Project Engineer	\$41.57	1.0075	\$41.88
Senior Engineer	\$32.38	1.0075	\$32.62
Senior Technical Manager	\$37.15	1.0075	\$37.43
Engineer	\$27.08	1.0075	\$27.28
Planner	\$25.34	1.0075	\$25.53
Registered Land Surveyor	\$37.20	1.0075	\$37.48
Senior Technician	\$32.26	1.0075	\$32.50
Technician	\$24.01	1.0075	\$24.19
Technical Assistant	\$18.36	1.0075	\$18.50
Clerical	\$18.23	1.0075	\$18.37

^{*} Rates to be applied to all project work tasks

Estimate of Direct Costs

Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly, Inc.

A _.	Data Collection		
1	Travel: 2 trips x 100 miles x \$.55/mile	\$204.00	
	Sub - total		\$204.00
В	Field Surveys		
1	No Direct Costs	\$0.00	
	Sub - totaí		\$0.00
С	Alternative Alignment Analysis		
1	No Direct Costs	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
D	Traffic Analysis		
1	No Direct Costs	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
E	Preliminary Intersection Design Studies		
1	No Direct Costs	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00
F	Conceptual Roadway Design		
1	No Direct Costs		
	Sub - total		\$0.00
G	Preliminary Design Studies		
1	No Direct Costs		
	Sub - total		\$0.00

Estimate of Direct Costs

Н	Environmental Studies		
1	No Direct Costs		-
	Sub - total		\$0.00
ſ	Draft Feasibility Report		
1	Draft Project Development Report 6 sets * \$25 / set	\$150.00	
	Sub - total		\$150.00
J	Final Feasibility Report		
1	Final Project Development Report 6 sets * \$25 / set	\$150.00	
	Sub - total		\$150.00
ĸ	Right of Way Services		
1 2	Travel: 12 trips x 100 miles x \$.55/mile Title Commitments	\$660.00	
	40 Parcels @\$400/Parcel	\$16,000.00	
	Sub - total		\$16,660.00
L	Meetings and Coordination		
1	Travel: 10 trips x 108 miles x \$.55/mile Travel: 4 trips x 56 miles x \$.55/mile	\$594.00 \$123.20	
	Sub - total		\$717.20
М	Project Administration		
1	No Direct Costs	\$0.00	
	Sub - total		\$0.00

ATTACHMENT "A"

Huff & Huff, Inc.

Sub-Consultant Agreement

Scope and Man-hours for Environmental Screening



915 Harger Road, Suite 330 Oak Brook, IL 60523 Phone (630) 684-9100 Fax (630) 684-9120 Website: http://huffnhuff.com

February 14, 2012

Mr. Kelly Farley, P.E. Crawford, Murphy, and Tilly 550 Commons Drive Aurora, Illinois 60504-8198

Re: Environmental Services for Cedar Lake Road Extension

Nippersink Road to Hart Road Round Lake, Lake County, Illinois Proposal No.: T12-001 (Revised)

Dear Mr. Farley:

Huff & Huff, Inc. (Consultant) is pleased to submit this revised proposal to perform environmental services associated with the proposed roadway extension of Cedar Lake Road in Round Lake, Lake County, Illinois. This proposal presents our project approach, the scope of services, cost, and schedule for completing the project.

1. PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

The Lake County Division of Transportation (LCDOT) is studying the potential extension of Cedar Lake Road around the downtown business district from Nippersink Road to south of the Hart Road/Cedar Lake Road intersection in Round Lake, Illinois. This proposal includes the following scope of services:

- Task 1 Wetland Screening
- Task 2 Special Waste Screening
- Task 3 Clean Construction Demolition Debris Assistance (CCDD)
- Task 4 Project Management

2. SCOPE OF SERVICES

Task 1: Wetland Screening

Consultant will perform an investigation within the project limits to determine if any areas within the project limits will be considered wetlands. A site visit will be conducted to determine if wetlands are present. If no wetlands are located within the project limits, a summary letter report will be prepared and submitted to the County. If wetlands are present, H&H will notify both the County and CMT prior to conducting formal delineations. Formal delineations are not included in this scope. If wetlands are present and will be impacted, permitting with the Chicago District, Corps of Engineers

Re: Environmental Services - Cedar Lake Road

Page 2

and Lake County will be required. Wetland permitting is not expected to be required for this project and is not included in this scope of services.

Off-site Record/Document Review

The following records/documents will be reviewed prior to conducting the field investigation. Soils information will be reviewed to determine the soil types encountered during the delineation procedures. The maps reviewed and to be used include:

- U.S. Geological Survey Topographic Maps
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps
- Lake County ADID Map
- Lake County Soil Survey
- Lake County Flood Insurance Rate Maps

On-Site Screening (Field Inventory)

The on-site screening will be conducted by our environmental staff experienced in Federal methods for conducting wetland delineations. Our staff will conduct cursory investigations to determine if wetlands are present. This will include as assessment of vegetation, shallow soil probes, and evidence of persistent high water table or ponding.

Wetland Screening Letter Report

A wetland screening summary will be prepared in letter format summarizing the findings of the fieldwork including mitigation recommendations and options. At this time, formal delineation and mitigation design will not be included in the scope of services.

The letter report will summarize conditions in the field, noting vegetation conditions, general soil type and evidence of hydrology. If wetlands are identified in the field, the letter will document this condition and recommend completion of formal delineations. If no wetlands are present, the letter will summarize this condition which will be used in further coordination with the regulatory agencies.

A formal delineation of wetlands is required in accordance with Lake County regulations, prior to permitting any wetland impacts. In Lake County, the wetland delineation report must include a floristic quality assessment. Any impacts to wetlands will require permits. If delineations are required, then a separate scope of services will be prepared at that time.

Task 2. Special Waste Screening

Consultant will screen the study area within the existing and proposed right-of-way for potential areas of environmental contamination. The Special Waste Screening procedure includes reviewing environmental resource agency databases. Based on the Illinois Department of Transportation Bureau of Local Roads and Streets (BLRS) Section 20.12 Special Waste and BDE Memo 10-07,

Re: Environmental Services - Cedar Lake Road

Page 3

Special Waste Procedures, which was incorporated into Section 27-2 of the IDOT BDE Manual, site analysis is required for sites within defined distances from the corridor. The specific databases to be reviewed and the screening distances for each are outlined in Figure 27-2.B of Section 27-2.12 of the IDOT BDE Manual.

Based on the review of the databases identified above, a Special Waste Screening memorandum will be prepared presenting the results. One site visit will be conducted to confirm the location of identified sites. The memorandum will follow the flow chart required by IDOT.

The proposed scope is limited to the review of the above-referenced databases. No soil or groundwater sampling is proposed as part of this scope of services. No meetings have been proposed as part of this scope of services

Task 3. Clean Construction Demolition Debris (CCDD)

On July 30, 2010, Public Act 96-1416 became law and modified the requirements for the handling and disposal of Clean Construction or Demolition Debris (CCDD). Based on current industry trends, analytical testing and the completion of Form 663 is typically required before taking clean fill to any offsite CCDD facility.

Based on the agricultural land use of the adjacent property, no contamination is expected to be found in excavated material. If the potential for contamination is discovered during the special waste screening task, sampling may be needed. Consultant will assess the conditions of the site and consult with the Client to determine whether further analysis is needed to meet the CCDD requirements. No sampling is including in this scope of services. If sampling is required, a separate cost estimate and proposal will be prepared to conduct this work.

Task 4. Project Management

Consultant will provide progress updates and attend up to one meeting with LCDOT in additional to coordination with Client.

3. COST ESTIMATE

Costs for these services are presented in the Cost Estimate for Consultant Services. Costs will be invoiced as a cost plus fixed fee.

4. <u>SCHEDULE</u>

The project will be initiated after the receipt of Notice to Proceed.

5. CONTRACT CONDITIONS

1. CONSULTANT'S SERVICES: The Consultant's (Huff & Huff, Inc.) services shall consist of those tasks described in Section 2.

- 2. SCHEDULE: The Consultant's work under this Agreement shall begin within two weeks of receipt of written notice to proceed or a signed copy of this Agreement and staking of the sewer alignment.
- 3. COMPENSATION: The fee basis for the scope of work, as outlined in Section 2, pertains to the specific scope work.
- 4. DIRECTION: For work performed under this Agreement, Consultant shall take direction from the CLIENT.
- 5. CHANGES: This Agreement may only be changed by written amendment which specifies the terms being revised and which has been signed by both parties hereto.
- 6. PROJECT DATA: The Consultant, in coordination with the CLIENT, shall obtain from the appropriate sources all data and information necessary for the proper and complete execution of the Consultant's services.
- 7. INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT: The Consultant shall be deemed to be an independent contractor in all its operations and activities hereunder. The employees furnished by Consultant to perform the work shall be deemed to be Consultant employees exclusively, and said employees shall be paid by Consultant for all services in this connection. The Consultant shall be responsible for all obligations and reports covering Social Security, Unemployment Insurance, Workmen's Compensation, Income Tax, and other reports and deductions required by an applicable state or Federal law.
- 8. RIGHTS OF WORK PRODUCT: CLIENT shall have unlimited rights in all drawings, designs, specifications, notes, and other work developed in the performance of this contract, including the right to use same on any other work without additional cost to the CLIENT. The Consultant shall not be liable for any use or reuse of the drawings, designs, specifications, notes and other work for use other than intended under the terms of this Agreement.
- 9. INDEMNIFICATION: The Consultant hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the CLIENT and any proper owners whose property it is necessary to access in the performance of this work, against any and all liability, loss, damages, demands, or actions or causes of action, which may result from any damages or injuries sustained by a person or entity in connection with or on account of any negligent act or omission of the Consultant or its employees relating to its obligations pursuant to this Agreement.
- 10. TERMINATION: CLIENT may terminate this Agreement at any time upon ten (10) days written notice for whatsoever reason, provided CLIENT shall pay the Consultant a reasonable fee for work satisfactorily performed prior to the effective date of termination. In no case, however, shall the total amount paid to Consultant exceed the amount set out above.
- 11. INSURANCE: The Consultant shall maintain insurance as set forth in the prime contract, if attached, or as set forth below.

Page 5

- a. Worker's Compensation and Employer's Liability Insurance: Worker's Compensation in compliance with applicable State and Federal laws.
- b. Comprehensive General Liability Insurance for Bodily Injury and Property Damage to a combined single limit of \$2,000,000 per occurrence/claim or an umbrella of \$3,000,000.
- c. Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance, including owned, hired, and non-owned automobiles, for Bodily Injury and Property Damage to a combined single limit of \$1,000,000 per occurrence/\$2,000,000 aggregate.
- d. Professional liability insurance \$2,000,000 on a claims made basis.
- 12. STANDARD OF CARE: Services performed by the Consultant under this Agreement will be conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality under similar conditions.
- 13. RETENTION OF RECORDS: Consultant shall maintain complete records of all hours billed and direct costs incurred under this Agreement so as to accurately reflect the services performed and basis for compensation and reimbursement under this Agreement.
- 14. LEGAL: This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted solely in accordance with the laws of the State of Illinois.

BOTH PARTIES HERETO WARRANT AND REPRESENT that they have full right, power, and authority to execute this Contract.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first specified above.

	CONSULTANT	CLIENT
	HUFF & HUFF, INC.	CRAWFORD, MURPHY AND TILLY
	Lude & Duff	
	Signature //	Signature
By:	Linda L. Huff, P.E.	
	Typed Name	Typed Name
	President	
	Officer's Title	Officer's Title
	February 14, 2012	
	Date	Date



Huff & Huff, Inc. FIRM NAME PRIME/SUPPLEMENT

Payroll Escalation Table Fixed Raises

02/14/12 DATE PTB NO.

155.87%

OVERHEAD RATE COMPLEXITY FACTOR % OF RAISE

12 MONTHS 04/01/12 01/01/13

CONTRACT TERM START DATE RAISE DATE

3.00%

ESCALATION PER YEAR

0110		
04/01/12 - 01/01/13	9	= 75.00% = 1.0075

04/01/13 ೭

25.75%

0.75%

The total escalation for this project would be:

BDE 025 (Rev. 2/06) PRINTED 02/14/12, 3:42 PM PAGE 1



Payroll Rates

FIRM NAME PRIME/SUPPLEMENT PTB NO.

łuff & Huff, Inc.	DATE	02/14/12
CMT		

ESCALATION FACTOR

0.75%

		
CLASSIFICATION	CURRENT RATE	ESCALATED RATE
Principal	\$64.11	\$64,59
Senior Project Manager	\$56.60	\$57.02
Senior Transp. Planner	\$38.44	\$38.73
Senior Engineer III	\$0.00	\$0.00
Senior Engineer II	\$35.42	\$35.69
Senior Engineer I	\$0.00	\$31.80
Senior Scientist IV	\$43.92	\$0.00
Senior Scientist III	\$36.40	\$44.25
Senior Scientist II	\$31.36	\$36.67
Senior Scientist I	\$25.08	\$31.60
Senior Geologist I	\$31.56	\$25.27
Transportation Planner	\$32.60	\$32.84
Project Engineer II	\$32.15	\$32.39
Project Engineer I	\$25.58	\$25.77
Project Scientist II	\$20.20	\$20.35
Project Geologist I	\$18.00	\$18.14
Project Associate	\$23.00	\$23.17
Senior CADD I	\$33.68	\$33.93
CADD II	\$26.00	\$26.20
CADD I	\$19.00	\$19.14
Admin. Manager I	\$32.68	\$32.93
Administrative IV	\$20.74	\$20.90
Administrative III	\$0.00	\$0.00
Administrative II	\$18.44	\$18.58
Administrative I	\$0.00	\$0.00
Interns	\$15.00	\$15.11
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
	•	\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		\$0.00
		7 0.00



Consultant Services Cost Estimate of (CPFF)

irm	Hiff & Hiff Inc	Doto
		ממס
Soute	Cedar Lake Road Extension	•
Section		Overhead Rate
Sounty	Lake	•
lob No.		Complexity Factor
TB & Item		

0

02/14/12

Date

155.87%

Overhead Rate

ltem	Manhours	Payroll	Overhead & Eringe Benefits	In-House Direct	Fixed	Outside Direct	Services By	Total	% of Grand
Wetland Screening	22	619.77	966.04	54.05	237.78	85.50		1 963 15	26.79%
Special Waste Screening	36	1,218.81	1,899.76	66.85	461.89	331.00		3,978,31	54.29%
CCDD Consulting	8	319.96			118.71	00.00		937.40	
Project Admin	3	153.09	238.62	00.0	56,80	00.0	00.00	448.51	
				The state of the s					
			The second secon						
				Mithight and the same and the s					
			The state of the s						
			-						
TOTALS	69	2,311.64	3,603.15	120.90	875.17	416.50	00.00	7,327.36	100.00%



Average Hourly Project Rates

Roufe	Cedar Lake Road Extension	ou					
Section							
County	Lake	Consultant	Huff & Huff, Inc.	Date	Date 02/14/12		
Job No.							
PTB//tem				Sheet	-	OF	-

Payrolí	Total P	otal Project Rates	s	Wetland	Wetland Screening		Special V	Special Waste Screening		CCDD C	CCDD Consulting		Project Admin	Admin				
	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Wgtd	Hours	%	Watd	Hours	%	Watd
Classification		Part.	Avg		Part.	Avg		Part.	Avg		Part	Avg		Part.	Ava		Part.	Ava
Principal	2	7.25%	4.68				2	5.56%	3.59	2	25.00%	16.15	1	33.33%	21.53			
Senior Geologist I	36	52.17%	16.59				30	83.33%	26.50	9	75.00%	23.85						
Senior Scientist IV	4	2.80%	2.57	2	%60.6	4.02							2	66.67%	29.50	-		
Senior Scientist I	14	20.29%	5.13	14	63.64%	16.08												
Senior CADD I	8	11.59%	3.93	4	18.18%	6.17	4	11.11%	3.77									
Administrative IV	2	2.90%	0.61	2	860.6	1.90												
	0																	
	0																	
	0]								
	0																	
TOTALS	69	100%	\$33.50	22	100%	\$28,17	36	100%	\$33.86	ω	100%	\$40.00	3	100%	\$51.03	0	%0	\$0.00

SUMMARY OF INHOUSE DIRECT COSTS

Project: Cedar Lake Road Extension

•								DIRECT
Task 1 - Wetland Screei	ning							
Trips - Company	95 miles	Х	1	Х	\$	0.51	=	\$ 48.45
Reproduction	4 sets	Х	20	Х	\$	0.03	=	\$ 2.40
Color copies	4 sets	х	6		\$	0.10	=	\$ 2.40
Photo sheets	4 sets	Х		х	\$	0.10	=	\$ 0.80
					Tas	k Total		\$ 54.05
Task 2 - Special Waste	Screenina							
Trips - Company	95 miles	х	1	Х	\$	0.51	==	\$ 48.45
Tolls	00 ,,,,,	• •	Ö	Х	\$	1.00	==	\$ -
Reproduction	4 sets	Х	100	Х	\$	0.03	=	\$ 12.00
Color copies	4 sets	Х			\$	0.10	=	\$ 4.80
Photo sheets	4 sets	X	4		\$	0.10	=	\$ 1.60
						k Total		\$ 66.85
Task 3 - CCDD								
					Tas	k Total	· · · · · ·	\$ **
Task 4 - Project Mgmt								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Tas	k Total		\$ =
			G	3R∕	AND T	TOTAL		\$ 120.90

P:\Proposal-2012\CMT\[Cedar Lake Road Extension Screening DC,xls]Inhouse Direct Costs

SUMMARY OF OUTSIDE DIRECT COSTS

Project: Cedar Lake Road Extension

						OUTSIDE
Task 1 - Wetland Screening						
Maps/Aerials	7	Х	\$	10.00	=	\$ 70.00
Federal Express			\$	15.50	=	15,50
	·	^		sk Total		\$ 85.50
Task 2 - Special Waste Screening						
EDR Search	1	х	\$	300.00	=	\$ 300.00
Federal Express	7		\$			\$ 31.00
rederar Express	2	^		sk Total		\$ 331.00
Tk2 0000						
Task 3 - CCDD	Task Total				\$ ₩	
Task 4 - Project Mgmt			Ta	sk Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ Mr.
		GR	AND	TOTAL		\$ 416.50

P:\Proposal-2012\CMT\[Cedar Lake Road Extension Screening DC.xls]Outside Direct Costs

EXHIBIT "C"

LCDOT Survey Procedures

SURVEY PROCEDURES (Revised 4/21/08)

UNITS-COORDINATES

The CONSULTANT will conduct all surveying, stationing, and preparation of required plans using English units of measure and the U.S. Survey Foot. State Plane Coordinates – Illinois East Zone, NAD 83 shall be obtained for all alignment and survey control points.

HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

Unless otherwise specified in the services contract, the CONSULTANT is to provide the horizontal alignment. The CONSULTANT'S SURVEYOR will try to re-establish the original horizontal alignment as shown on the recorded R.O.W. plats. The CONSULTANT shall contact LCDOT's Land Surveyor to obtain R.O.W. plats and field notes and benchmarks before establishing the horizontal alignment and stationing. Notify LCDOT's Surveyor immediately if the alignment cannot be reproduced or if in the CONSULTANT'S opinion the existing alignment information is in error.

The CONSULTANT'S SURVEYOR, prior to construction, shall stake the PCs, PIs, PTs, and POTs so that LCDOT's Surveyor can locate them later for construction staking. The CONSULTANT'S SURVEYOR will provide four reference ties to all U.S. Public Land Survey Monuments located within the construction limits. The reference points should be located outside of the anticipated construction limits if practical, so that they can be used after construction to replace the monuments. The CONSULTANT shall record Monument Records for all Section and Quarter Section corners set or found within the construction limits.

The CONSULTANT will mark all 100-foot interval station locations on the survey base line for construction, when on paved surfaces with a P.K. or Mag nail and spray paint. The baseline for relocated alignments when off pavement will be marked at 100-foot intervals with iron rods. The rods shall be set one foot below the surface in farmed land. The CONSULTANT will advise the County of any pavement alignment variations. In cases where the proposed centerline of construction or survey baseline is different from the existing centerline of R.O.W., both shall be shown and the relationship between them will be indicated on the Alignment and Tie sheet.

An Alignment and Tie Sheet shall be provided as part of the final product. The Alignment and Tie sheet shall be signed and sealed by the CONSULTANT'S SURVEYOR. The station, offset and coordinates of the alignment points and survey control points shall be shown. It shall be noted whether the coordinates, stationing and distances are State Plane grid or ground surface. In the case that the information shown is ground surface distances, the State Plane Coordinates still must also be shown for all alignment points and survey control points in order that they can be located with GPS and so that the project can be referenced into our GIS maps. The coordinates may be

shown in a separate table. In either case the grid (combination) factor must also be shown.

VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

Vertical control for the project shall be based on NGVD 29 or NAVD 88 benchmarks. Indicate on the plans which Datum is used. NGVD 29 Lake County Mapping Benchmarks are preferred (http://gis.lakeco.org/maps/). LCDOT's Land Surveyor may also be contacted for benchmarks that may be in the area. The controlling benchmarks and the site benchmarks shall be described on the plans. Site benchmarks are to be located at less than 1000-foot intervals with a minimum of two (2) on each project.

All benchmarks will be located on stable objects. LCDOT prefers these objects to be outside the construction site. Some acceptable benchmark examples are, spikes in poles, bolts on fire hydrant rings, and concrete foundations. LCDOT's surveyor can be contacted for benchmarks that may be in the area.

TOPOGRAPHY

The CONSULTANT shall cut cross sections at 50-foot intervals in urban areas (100-foot intervals in rural areas) and at all points needing clarification. The cross section interval should be defined in the engineering services contract.

Full cross-section profiles will be taken at all cross streets, alleys, cross road culverts, and entrances (commercial, private and field). Half cross-sections will not be accepted because they skew the computer terrain model.

The CONSULTANT will locate and identify all trees (6 inches in diameter or greater) within the area either side of the centerline, defined by the proposed ROW or construction limits (whichever is greater) plus an additional 10 feet. The trees shall be identified by species and size. The trees shall be located by station/offset and have a ground elevation.

Streams, tributaries or major drainage ditches located within a lateral distance of 250 feet from centerline (upstream and downstream) shall be surveyed. Alignment, profiles and cross sections will be taken. The stream width shall be shown as the distance measured between the tops of the stream banks. Profile elevations along the bottom of the watercourse shall be taken at a minimum of 50-foot intervals.

The survey shall extend a minimum of 200 feet beyond the roadway construction limits. Cross sections shall be taken a minimum of 10 feet beyond the proposed ROW or construction limits (whichever is greater). Cross sections will extend 30 feet beyond the proposed R.O.W. at entrances 150 feet at minor side roads.

The collected survey data for the existing topography shall have a minimum of 3rd Order Accuracy horizontally with readings to the nearest 0.1 feet for vertical on gravel or ground and readings to the nearest 0.01 feet for vertical on all other surfaces.

RAILROAD INSURANCE

The CONSULTANT will comply with the railroad's requirements when conducting a survey on the railroad's ROW. Usually this includes obtaining a permit, paying a fee, obtaining Railroad Protective Liability Insurance, notification of a flagman to be present near the rails during the survey operations and any other requirements of the railroad. The CONSULTANT is responsible for all of the foregoing requirements.

DELIVERABLES

- I. Copies from the CONSULTANT'S field books, showing benchmarks, level circuits, & structure details, such as size and inverts etc.
- II. Base Drawing at 1:1. All the topographic information shall be plotted electronically. The data shall be recorded in a MICROSTATION.DGN format. All line work defining different elements shall be completed using LCDOT's CELL and LINE LIBRARIES (see attachment). ASCII files containing all point information as described below shall be included. Backup CD's or diskettes shall be provided.
- III. SUMMARY SHEETS showing:
 - (1) Point number
 - (2) Point identification by code and description
 - (3) Station
 - (4) Distance offset (right or left)
 - (5) Northing and Easting coordinate values
 - (6) "Z" elevations
- * Four computer printouts shall be provided:
 - 1. List of points referenced by stations.
 - 2. List of points referenced by sequential point numbering.
 - 3. List of points sorted by point identification.
 - 4. "ID" acronym explanation sheets.

An example showing the different printouts is shown on the next page.

(LCDOT'S IDENTIFICATION CODES SHALL BE USED – see attachment)

TYPICAL PRIN	IT-OUT FORM (EAI	MPLE)						
	POINT NUMBERS							
POINT NUMBER	STATION	OFFSET	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	DEFINITION CODE (1)	DESCRIPTION PD	MATERIAL CODE (1)
3331	104+23.306	-45.869	10313.993	20392.255	207.495	491.10	10 INCH TREE PINE	0
3332	104+50.475	-49.159	10323.810	20416.938	207.743	668	PAVEMENT EDGE	759
3333	104+69.987	-44.270	10261.604	20452.162	207.126	310	FL W/GRATE	774
3334	103+93.865	+40.590	10297.779	20365.781	207.378	304.15	6 INCH TILE	836
BY S	TATION	_ ,				J	<u></u>	
STATION	POINT NUMBER	OFFSET	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	DEFINITION CODE (1)	DESCRIPTION PD	MATERIAL CODE (1)
103+93.865	3334	+40.590	10297.779	20365,781	207.378	304.15	6 INCH TILE	836
104+23.306	3331	-45.869	10313.993	20392.255	207.495	491.10	10 INCHTREE PINE	0
104+50.475	3332	-49.159	10323.810	20416.938	207.743	668	PAVEMENT EDGE	759
104+69.987	3333	-44.270	10261.604	20452.162	207.126	310	FL W/GRATE	774
BY P	OINT DESCRIPTIO	N				' 		
POINT NUMBER	STATION	OFFSET	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	DEFINITION CODE (1)	DESCRIPTION PD	MATERIAL CODE (1)
3331	104+23.306	+40.590	10297.779	20365.781	207.378	304.15	6 INCH TREE PINE	0
3336	104+50.475	-45.869	10313.993	20392.255	207.495	491.10	10 INCHTREE PINE	0
2323	104+69.987	-49.159	10323.810	20416.938	207.743	668	6 INCH TREE OAK	0
2565	103+93.865	-44.270	10261.604	20452.162	207.126	310	5 INCH TREE OAK	0

⁽¹⁾ LCDOT CODES

LCDOT's Land Surveyor:

Steve Heuer, PLS 600 West Winchester Road Libertyville, IL 60048 (847) 377-7488

EXHIBIT "D"

Projected Survey/Project Limits



